

# **Public Notice**

In replying refer to: Published:

Public Notice: Raritan River to Arthur Kill Cut-Off December 21, 2023 January 21, 2024 Expires:

New York District 26 Federal Plaza New York, N.Y. 10278

ATTN: CENAN-OP-ST

**US Army Corps** 

of Engineers.

#### RARITAN RIVER TO ARTHUR KILL CUT-OFF, NEW JERSEY FEDERAL NAVIGATION PROJECT MAINTENANCE DREDGING

#### TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

The New York District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, pursuant to Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 and Section 404 (33 U.S.C. 1344) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (amended in 1977 and commonly referred to as the Clean Water Act), and Section 103 (U.S.C. 1463, 86 Statute 1052) or Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act (MPRSA) of 1972 (commonly referred to as the Ocean Dumping Act), proposes to perform maintenance dredging of the Raritan River to Arthur Kill Cut-Off New Jersey Federal Navigation Project (see Figure 1) with subsequent placement of the dredged material at the Historic Area Remediation Site (HARS, See Figure 2A and 2B).

- ACTIVITY: Maintenance dredging of Raritan River to Arthur Kill Cut-Off, New Jersey Federal Navigation Project, with placement of approximately 300,000 cubic yards (CY) of the dredged material at the HARS for the purpose of remediation.
- WATERWAY: Raritan River to Arthur Kill Cut-Off, New Jersey Federal Navigation Project.
- LOCATION: Adjacent to the northwest portion of Perth Amboy Anchorage and connects to Raritan River Channel to the southern end of the Arthur Kill Channel, New York and New Jersey Channels.

The Raritan River to Arthur Kill Cut-off, Federal Navigation Project was authorized and adopted by the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1935. The project includes the following channel:

a. The channel is located adjacent to the northwest portion of Perth Amboy Anchorage and connects to Raritan River Channel to the southern end of the Arthur Kill Channel, New York and New Jersey Channels. The existing project provides for a channel 20 feet deep, 800 feet wide and about 1 mile long.

A detailed description of the proposed activities is enclosed to assist in your review. This activity is being evaluated to determine if the proposed placement of dredged material will not unreasonably degrade or endanger human health, welfare or amenities, or the marine environment, ecological systems, or economic potentialities. On September 26, 2000, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and Corps of Engineers signed a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) outlining the steps to be taken to ensure that remediation of the HARS continues in a manner appropriately protective of human health and the aquatic environment. In making the determination, the criteria established by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) will be applied, including the interim change to one matrix value for PCBs as described in the MOA. In addition, based upon an evaluation of the potential effect which the failure to utilize this ocean site will have on navigation, economic and industrial development, and foreign and domestic commerce of the United States, an independent determination will be made of the need to place the dredged material in ocean waters, other possible methods of disposal, and other appropriate locations.

The Corps of Engineers is soliciting comments from the public; federal, state and local agencies and officials; Indian tribes; and other interested parties in order to consider and evaluate the impacts of this proposed activity. Comments are used to assess impacts on navigation, water quality, endangered species, historic resources, wetlands, scenic and recreational values, and other public interest factors. Comments are used in the preparation of an Environmental Assessment and/or an Environmental Impact Statement pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act and to determine the need for a public hearing.

ALL COMMENTS REGARDING THIS ACTIVITY MUST BE PREPARED IN WRITING AND EMAILED TO ALEXANDER.F.GREGORY@USACE.ARMY.MIL BEFORE THE EXPIRATION DATE OF THIS NOTICE, otherwise, it will be presumed that there are no objections to the activity.

Any person who has an interest which may be affected by the dredging and/or placement of this dredged material may request a public hearing. The request must be submitted in writing to the District Engineer within the comment period of this notice and must clearly set forth the interest which may be affected and the manner in which the interest may be affected by the activity. It should be noted that information submitted by mail is considered just as carefully in the process and bears the same weight as that furnished at a public hearing.

Pursuant to Section 307 of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 as amended [16 USC 1456(c)], for activities conducted or supported by a federal agency in a state which has a federally approved Coastal Zone Management (CZM) program or Federal Consistency Determination (FCD) program, the Corps must submit a determination that the proposed project is consistent with the State CZM program and/or State FCD program to the maximum extent practicable. This activity is subject to review by the New York State Department of State for CZM consistency and by State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protect for FCD consistency. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New York District, has determined that the proposed activities are consistent

to the maximum extent practicable and within the applicable policies of the CZM program and FCD program. A copy of the CZM determination will be provided to the New York State Department of State and a copy of the FCD determination will be forwarded to the State of New Jersey, Department of Environmental Protection. Additional information regarding the Corps of Engineers' consistency determination may be obtained by contacting the New York State Department of State, Office of Coastal, Local Government and Community Sustainability, One Commerce Plaza, 99 Washington Avenue, Suite 1010, Albany, NY 12231 and the State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Coastal Regulation, CN 401, 501 East State Street, Second Floor, Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0401.

The proposed project was reviewed based upon the "Biological Assessment for the Closure of the Mud Dump Site and Designation of the Historic Area Remediation Site (HARS) in the New York Bight and Apex", (USEPA, 1997). Based upon this review, and a review of the latest public listing of threatened and endangered species, it has been preliminarily determined that the proposed activity for which authorization is sought herein, is not likely to adversely affect any federally threatened or endangered species (humpback whales, finback whales, right whales, loggerhead turtles, leatherback turtles, green turtles, and Kemp's Ridley turtles) or their critical habitat pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (16 USC 1531).

The proposed HARS placements will not result in Remediation Material being placed within 0.27 nautical miles of any identified wrecks, as indicated in the National Register of Historic Places. Other than wrecks, there are no known sites eligible for or included in the Register within the project area. No known archaeological, scientific, prehistorical or historical data are expected to be lost by work accomplished under the required dredging.

Reviews of the activity pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act will include application of the guidelines announced by the Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, under authority of Section 404(b) of the Clean Water Act. The Corps will obtain a water quality certificate or waiver from the appropriate state agency in accordance with Section 401 of the Clean Water Act prior to commencement of any work.

In compliance with Section 305(b)(2) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (1996 amendments), an Essential Fish Habitat Assessment will be prepared and submitted to the National Marine Fisheries Service for review and comment.

The proposed work is being coordinated with the following Federal, State, and local agencies:

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- U.S. Department of Commerce, National Marine Fisheries Service
- U.S. Coast Guard, First District
- New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
- New York State Department of State
- New York City Department of Planning
- New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

#### DESCRIPTION OF PLANNED FEDERAL ACTION:

The New York District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers proposes to perform maintenance dredging of the Raritan River to Arthur Kill Cut-Off, New Jersey Federal Navigation Project. This channel was last dredged in 2014 by clamshell dredge, with the removal of approximately 329,445 cubic yards (CY) of sediment. The dredged material was used as remediation material at the Historic Area Remediation Site (HARS). This proposed maintenance dredging would involve the removal of approximately 300,000 CY of material to -20 feet MLLW plus 2 feet allowable overdepth. Maintenance dredging of the channel is usually accomplished by a clamshell dredge.

The purpose of the proposed dredging is to maintain the authorized project dimensions, thereby assuring safe and economical use of the federal channel by shipping interests. The material has been tested and meets the criteria for remediation material at the HARS. The dredged material would be used as such by placing it over degraded sediments within the HARS. The proposed dredged material would be transported by bottom dumping vessels to the placement site.

This public notice serves to announce the government's intent and identifies the proposed location for placement of approximately 300,000 CY of material. The dredging and placement at the HARS for this project is anticipated to occur in the summer / fall of 2024.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT:

The material to be placed at the HARS is dredged material that will be removed from the Raritan River to Arthur Kill Cut-Off, New Jersey Federal Navigation Project. The material has been evaluated and found to meet the regulatory testing criteria of 40 CFR Sections 227.6 and 227.27, and the requirements of the rule establishing the HARS in Section 228.15 (d)(6). It has been determined that maintenance dredging of the project-area within the Raritan River to Arthur Kill Cut-Off Federal Navigation Channel, with placement of the dredged material at the HARS is not likely to have significant adverse environmental impact on water quality, marine resources, fish, wildlife, endangered species, recreation, aesthetics, or flood protection of the area.

An update of the EA and a Section 404(b) evaluation, as required by the Clean Water Act 40 CFR 230, will be prepared prior to implementation of the proposed work.

#### PLACEMENT SITE:

The dredged material from this project is proposed to be placed at the HARS (see next section: Introduction to the HARS) using bottom dumping barges. As noted in the designation of the HARS, Remediation Material would not be allowed to be placed within 0.27 nautical miles of any wrecks identified in the National Register of Historic Places, or other wrecks that might be found.

#### **INTRODUCTION TO THE HARS:**

In 1972, the Congress of the United States enacted the Marine Protection Research and Sanctuaries Act (MPRSA) to address and control the dumping of materials into the ocean waters. Title I of the Act authorizes the US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to regulate dumping in ocean waters. USEPA and USACE share responsibility for MPRSA permitting and ocean disposal site management. USEPA regulations implementing MPRSA can be found in 40 CFR Sections 220 through 229. With few exceptions, MPRSA prohibits the transportation of material from the United States for the purpose of ocean dumping except as may be authorized by a permit issued under MPRSA. The MPRSA divides permitting responsibility for issuing permits for all materials other than dredged material. Under Section 103 of MPRSA, the secretary of the Army has the responsibility for issuing permits for dredged material. Determination to issue MPRSA permits for dredged material are subject to USEPA concurrence.

In the fall of 1997, the USEPA de-designated and terminated the use of the New York Bight Dredged Material Disposal Site (commonly known as the Mud Dump Site or MDS). The MDS had been designated in 1984 for the disposal of up to 100 million cubic yards of dredged material from navigation channels and other port facilities within the Port of New York and New Jersey. Simultaneous with the closure of the MDS, the site and surrounding areas that had been used historically as disposal sites for dredged material were redesigned as the HARS in 40 CFR Sections 228.15 (d)(6), (see 62 Fed. Reg. 46142 (August 29, 1997); 62 Fed. Reg. 26267 (May 13 1997)). The HARS will be managed to reduce impacts of historical disposal activities at the site to acceptable levels in accordance with 40 CFR Sections 228.11 (c). The need to remediate the HARS is supported by the presence of toxic effects, dioxin bioaccumulation exceeding Category 1 levels (a definition of which appears in an evaluation memorandum reviewing the results of the testing) in worm tissue, as well as TCDD/PCB contamination in area lobster stocks. Individual elements of those data do not establish sediments within the study area as imminent hazards to the New York Bight Apex Ecosystem, living resources, or human health; however, the collective evidence presents cause for concern, and justifies the need to remediation. Further information on the condition in the study area and surveys

performed may be found in the Supplemental Environmental Impact Assessment (SEIS) [USEPA, 1997].

The designation of the HARS identifies an area in and around the former Mud Dump Site (MDS) that has exhibited the potential for adverse ecological impacts (see Figure No. 2A: HARS Location Map 1 and 2B: HARS Location Map 2). The HARS will be remediated with dredged material that meets current Category 1 Standards and will not cause significant undesirable effects including through bioaccumulation or acceptable toxicity, in accordance with 40 CFR 227.6. This dredged material is referred to as "Material for Historic Area Remediation Site (HARS)" or "Remediation Material."

As of the end of November 2023, dredged materials from one hundred forty-nine (149) different completed and ongoing Department of the Army (DA) permitted and federal dredging projects in the Port of New York and New Jersey have been dredged and placed as Remediation Material in the ocean at the Historic Area Remediation Site (HARS) since the closure of the Mud Dump Site and designation of the HARS in September 1997. This represents approximately 85,211,000 cubic yards of Remediation Material.

The HARS, which includes the 2.2 square nautical mile area of the MDS, is approximately 15.7 nautical square mile area located approximately 3.5 nautical miles east of Highlands, New Jersey and 7.7 nautical miles south of Rockaway, New York. The MDS is located approximately 5.3 nautical miles east of Highlands, New Jersey and 9.6 nautical miles south of Rockaway, New York. When determined by bathymetry that capping is complete, the USEPA will take any necessary rulemaking to de-designate the HARS. The HARS includes the following three areas:

**Priority Remediation Area (PRA):** A 9.0 square nautical mile area to be remediated with at least 1 meter of Remediation Material. Then PRA encompasses the area of degraded sediments as described in greater detail in the SEIS.

**Buffer Zone:** An approximately 5.7 square nautical mile area (0.27 nautical mile wide band around the PRA) in which no placement of the Material for Remediation will be allowed, but which may receive Material for Remediation that incidentally spreads out of the PRA.

**No Discharge Zone:** An approximately 1.0 square nautical mile area in which no placement or incidental spread of Material for Remediation is allowed.

To improve management and monitoring of placement activities at the HARS, electronic monitoring equipment will be on-board any barges carrying Remediation Material to the HARS. This equipment records vessel positions throughout the duration of each trip to the HARS and during remediation operations. To improve communication reliability between tugs and scows, a prescribed formal communication procedure has been put in place (copies of the procedure are available upon request).

Additional information concerning the HARS can be obtained from Mr. Mark Reiss, Chief, Dredging, Sediments and Oceans Section, US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2, at (212) 637-3799.

### HARS SUITABILITY TESTING

A testing evaluation process was developed, which established a basic framework for assessing results of tissue analysis from bioaccumulation testing of dredged material proposed for ocean placement. The framework defines a standard approach for assessing each analyte (an item to be analyzed for as part of the testing), in relation to regulatory standards and human health and environmental factors, to facilitate decisions in accordance with Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972. USEPA and USACE utilize this testing evaluation process for identifying Category 1 dredged material in determining suitability of dredged sediments as remediation material at the HARS. The Testing Evaluation Memorandum for this project may be obtained by contacting Mr. Mark Reiss, Chief, Dredging, Sediments and Oceans Section, US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2, at (212) 637-3799.

#### Sediment Grain Size Analysis

The proposed maintenance dredging area has been characterized by eighteen (18) sediment core samples taken down to -20 feet MLLW plus 2 feet allowable over-depth. The 18 samples were then combined into a composite reach sample, which was subjected to chemical and biological testing. Based on the analysis of the sediment samples from the project area, the grain size characteristics of the proposed dredged material are:

0.0% gravel 6.6% sand 65.1% silt 28.3% clay

Results of the chemical and biological testing are summarized below.

#### **Evaluation of the Liquid Phase: Chemistry**

Under the requirements of 40 CFR Sections 227.6 (c) (1) and 227.27 (a), chemical analyses were conducted on project area site water and elutriate. Results of this evaluation are summarized in Table 1. Please note in reading Table 1 that detection limits have been listed for only those constituents which the laboratory reported as not-detected (ND) (this reporting convention was similarly applied in reporting the results of bioaccumulation potential testing discussed below). If the constituents were detected above the detection limit, the measured value would appear.

Expected concentrations of chemical constituents in the water column following ocean placement, after allowing for initial mixing, were calculated using the Automated Dredging and Disposal Alternatives Management System (ADDAMS). ADDAMS is a mixing model developed by the Corps Waterways Experiment Station (WES) and described in the joint USEPA/Corps implementation manual entitled "Ecological Evaluation of Proposed Discharge of Dredged Material Into Ocean Water" (commonly referred to as the National

"Green Book"). The material can be considered suitable for ocean disposal only if the concentration of the Suspended Particulate Phase (SPP) of the dredged material, after allowance for initial mixing, will not exceed the Limiting Permissible Concentration (LPC) beyond the boundaries of the disposal site within the first four hours following dumping or at any point in the marine environment after the first four hours. The ADDAMS Model predicted that applicable marine water quality criteria for listed constituents were not exceeded after allowance for initial mixing (40 CFR 227.29(a)). Results of the analyses indicate that the LPC will be met for the proposed dredged material from the project area.

#### BIOASSAYS

In accordance with 40 CFR Part 227 of the Ocean Dumping Regulations, bioassays were performed to assess the toxicities of the suspended particulate, liquid, and solid phases of the proposed dredged material from the project area.

#### **Evaluation of the Liquid Phase**

Liquid phase bioassays, run as part of the suspended particulate phase on three appropriately sensitive marine organisms: inland silversides (*Menidia beryllina*), mysid shrimp (*Americamysis bahia*, formerly *Mysidopsis bahia*), and the planktonic larvae of the blue or Mediterranean mussel (*Mytilus edulis or Mytilus galloprovincialis*), show that after initial mixing (as determined under 40 CFR Sections 227.29(a)(2)) the liquid phase of the material would not exceed a toxicity threshold of 0.01 of a concentration shown to be acutely toxic to appropriate sensitive marine organisms. Accordingly, it is concluded that the liquid phase of the material would be in compliance with 40 CFR Sections 227.6(c)(1) and 227.27(a). The specific test results and technical analysis of the data underlying this conclusion are described and evaluated in a joint USACE New York District / U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 2 memorandum (copies available upon request).

#### **Evaluation of the Suspended Particulate Phase**

The suspended particulate phase of the material was evaluated for compliance with 40 CFR Sections 227.6(c)(2) and 227.27(b). Bioassay testing of the suspended particulate phase of the material has been conducted using three appropriate sensitive marine organisms: inland silversides (*Menidia beryllina*), mysid shrimp (*Americamysis bahia*, formerly *Mysidopsis bahia*), and the planktonic larvae of the blue or Mediterranean mussel (*Mytilus edulis or Mytilus galloprovincialis*).

The information shows that when placed at the HARS and after initial mixing (as determined under 40 CFR Sections 227.29(a)(2)), the suspended particulate phase of this material would not exceed a toxicity threshold of 0.01 of a concentration shown to be acutely toxic in the laboratory bioassays and, thus, would not result in significant mortality. Moreover, the fact that after placement, the suspended particulate phase would only exist in the environment for a short time, means the suspended particulate phase would not cause significant undesirable effects, including the possibility of danger associated with

bioaccumulation, since these impacts require long duration exposures (see USEPA, 1994). Accordingly, it is concluded that the suspended phase of the material complies with 40 CFR Sections 227.6(c)(2) and 227.27(b). The results of bioassay tests conducted on proposed dredged sediments from the project area are presented in Table 2 of this public notice.

# Evaluation of the Solid Phase

The solid phase tests the whole test sediment before it has undergone processing that might alter its chemical or toxicological properties. The solid phase was evaluated for compliance with 40 CFR Sections 227.6(c)(3) and 227.27(b). This evaluation was made using the results of two specific types of evaluations on the solid phase of the material – one focusing on the acute (10-day) toxicity of the material, and the other focusing on the potential for the material to cause significant adverse effects due to bioaccumulation. Both types of tests used appropriate sensitive benthic marine organisms according to procedures approved by USEPA and the USACE. The following sections address the results of those tests and further analyze compliance with the regulatory criteria of 40 CFR Sections 227.6(c)(3) and 227.27(b) and 228.15 and with USEPA Region 2/USACE New York District guidance.

### 1. <u>Toxicity:</u>

Ten-day toxicity tests were conducted on proposed project dredged material using a filler feeding mysid shrimp (*Americamysis bahia*) and a deposit feeding, burrowing amphipod (*Ampelisca abdita*), which are appropriate sensitive benthic marine organisms. The results from the proposed project material are then compared to results for the same organisms that are exposed to reference sediments. The reference sediment represents existing background conditions in the vicinity of the HARS, removed from the influence of any placement operations. These organisms are good predators of adverse effects to benthic marine communities (see USEPA, 1996). The toxicity of project sediments was not statistically greater than reference sediments for either mysids or for amphipods, and the difference between percent survivals in test and reference sediments was less than 10% for mysid shrimp and less than 20% for amphipods.

These results show that the solid phase of the material would not cause significant mortality and meets the solid phase toxicity criteria of Sections 227.6 and 227.27. The results of the 10-day toxicity test are summarized in Table 2.

#### 2. <u>Bioaccumulation:</u>

Bioaccumulation tests for sediments from the project area were conducted on the solid phase of the project material for contaminants of concern using two appropriate sensitive benthic marine organisms: a burrowing, deposit-feeding polychaete *Alitta (Nereis) virens* and a filter-feeding bivalve *Macoma nasuta*. These species are considered to be good representatives of the phylogenetically diverse base of the marine food chain.

Contaminants of concern were identified for the regional testing manual from the NY/NJ Harbor Estuary Program Toxics Characterization report (Squibb, et al. 1991).

Table 3 of this notice addresses the bioaccumulation of contaminants of concern. Additional information on more rigorous evaluations conducted on individual contaminants may be found in the Testing Evaluation Memo for this project. Table 3 indicates that some contaminants bioaccumulated above reference in the clam and/or worm. All constituents identified in worm and clam tissue were compared to existing Food and Drug Administration (FDA) actions levels for poisonous or deleterious substance in fish and shellfish for human food, regional disposal criteria, background concentrations and risk-based criteria provided by USEPA Region 2. The testing memo further evaluates these contaminants and concludes that any contaminant that exceeded reference did not exceed any existing regional matrix or dioxin values. Several contaminants which did not have matrix values did exceed background levels, but in no case did any contaminant accumulate to toxicologically important concentrations even when very conservative assumptions were used in the analysis. Any contaminants that exhibited bioaccumulation test results above referenced were all below the acceptable human health risk range and acceptable aquatic effects range, again using conservative approaches and analyses. A discussion of this determination is available in the Testing Evaluation Memo for this project. The bioaccumulation tests results were used in evaluating the potential impacts of the material. The determination is that the combined results of the toxicity and bioaccumulation tests indicate that the material meets the criteria of 40 CFR Sections 227.6(c)(3) and 227.27(b) and 228.15(d)(6)(v)(A) of the Regulations, and that the material is suitable for placement at the HARS.

#### Conclusion

Based upon the results of testing of the sediments proposed for dredging in the Raritan River to Arthur Kill Cut-Off, New Jersey Federal Navigation Project, USACE and USEPA have determined that the material is Category 1, meeting the criteria for ocean placement as described in 40 CFR parts 227.6, 227.27, and 228.15, and is Remediation Material as defined under the USEPA Region 2/USACE, New York District guidance. The specific test results and technical analysis of the data underlying this conclusion are described in the joint USACE, New York District/USEPA, Region 2 memorandum as previously mentioned.

Placement of this material at the HARS will serve to reduce impacts at the HARS to acceptable levels and improve benthic conditions. Sediments in the HARS have been found to be acutely toxic to sensitive benthic marine organisms in laboratory tests, whereas project sediments used in laboratory acute toxicity tests with the same species were determined not to be toxic. Placement of project material over existing toxic sediments would serve to remediate those areas for toxicity. In addition, by covering the existing sediments in the site with this project material, surface dwelling organisms will be exposed to sediments exhibiting Category 1 qualities, whereas the existing sediments exceed these levels.

#### ALTERNATIVES TO HARS PLACEMENT

Regarding ocean placement of dredged material, the Ocean Dumping Regulations [Title 40 CFR Sections 227.16 (b)] states that "...alternative methods of disposal are practicable when they are available at reasonable incremental cost and energy expenditures which need not to be competitive with the costs of ocean dumping, taking into account the environmental impacts associated with the use of alternatives to ocean dumping...". The Corps has investigated the use of alternative placement sites for the dredged material that include beach placement, upland placement, and open water placement. Beneficial uses such as beach nourishment were found not to be practicable, as the dredged material is silty, fine-grained material that is not suitable for beach nourishment. Processing the dredged material for use in brownfields restoration projects has been considered, but the costs for handling and amending the material would be excessive. The Corps has also investigated the use of upland placement of the dredged material. However, based on historical bid prices of similar projects in the area, there is a significant increase in cost for upland placement as compared to placement at the HARS, thereby making upland placement not a practicable alternative. Other options are not available at reasonable incremental costs, which leave the HARS placement as the preferred alternative.

For more information on the New York District Corps of Engineers programs, visit our website at <u>http://www.nan.usace.army.mil</u>

It is requested that you communicate the foregoing information concerning the proposed work to any persons known by you to be interested and who did not receive a copy of this notice.

If you have any questions concerning this notice, you may contact Mr. Alexander Gregory by phone at (917) 790-8427 or email at <u>Alexander.F.Gregory@usace.army.mil</u>.

Questions about the HARS can be addressed to Mr. Mark Reiss, Chief, Dredging, Sediments and Oceans Section, US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2, at (212) 637-3799, or email at <u>Reiss.Mark@epa.gov</u>.

OSEBACK.MICHA EL.J.1384788943 Date: 2023.12.21 08:29:57 -05'00'

Michael J. Öseback Chief, Operations Support Branch

# Figure 1: Proposed Dredging Area in the New York and New Jersey Channels – Raritan River to Arthur Kill Cutoff









Figure 2B: HARS Location Map 2

TABLE 1. RESULTS OF CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF SITE WATER AND ELUTRIATE Raritan River to Arthur Kill Cut-Off Channel							
	SITE V	VATER	FLUTRIATE				
CONSTITUENTS	DETECTION LIMITS	CONCENTRATION	DETECTION LIMITS	CONCENTRATION			
Metals	ppb (ug/L)	ppb (ug/L)	ppb (ug/L)	ppb (ug/L)			
Ag	0.060	ND	FF= (=3,=)	0 117			
Cd	0.100	ND	0.100	ND			
Cr	0.400	ND		4.18			
Cu		2.30		7.30			
Hg	0.200	ND	0.200	ND			
Ni	1.00	ND		2.14			
Pb	1.00	ND		7.30			
Zn		7.52		14.2			
Pesticides	pptr (ng/L)	pptr (ng/L)	pptr (ng/L)	pptr (ng/L)			
Aldrin	0.531	ND	0.531	ND			
a-Chlordane	0.442	ND	0.442	ND			
trans Nonachlor	0.436	ND	0.436	ND			
Dieldrin	0.544	ND	0.544	ND			
4,4'-DDT	0.633	ND	0.633	ND			
2,4'-DDT	0.795	ND	0.795	ND			
4,4'-DDD	0.531	ND		0.611			
2,4'-DDD	0.582	ND	0.582	ND			
4,4'-DDE	0.445	ND		0.709			
2,4'-DDE	0.557	ND	0.557	ND			
Total DDT		ND		2.60			
Endosulfan I	0.531	ND	0.531	ND			
Endosulfan II	0.525	ND	0.525	ND			
Endosulfan sulfate	0.439	ND	0.439	ND			
Heptachlor	0.534	ND	0.534	ND			
Heptachlor epoxide	0.442	ND	0.442	ND			
Industrial Chemicals	pptr (ng/L)	pptr (ng/L)	pptr (ng/L)	pptr (ng/L)			
PCB 8	0.572	ND	0.572	ND			
PCB 18	0.366	ND	0.366	ND			
PCB 28	0.423	ND	0.423	ND			
PCB 44	0.534	ND	0.534	ND			
PCB 49	0.391	ND	0.391	ND			
PCB 52	0.499	ND	0.499	ND			
PCB 66	0.601	ND	0.601	ND			
PCB 87	0.461	ND	0.461	ND			
PCB 101	0.388	ND		0.695			
PCB 105	0.596	ND		0.209			
PCB 108	0.417	ND		0.313			
PCB 120	0.417	ND		1.13			
PCB 153	0.493	ND		0.430			
PCB 133	0.453	ND		0.430			
PCB 180	0.458	ND		0.030			
PCB 183	0.410	ND		0.358			
PCB 184	0.576	ND	0.576	ND			
PCB 187	0.423	ND	0.070	0.303			
PCB 195	0.429	ND		0.000			
PCB 206	0.464	ND		0.093			
PCB 209	0.445	ND		0.117			
Total PCB		ND		14.1			

Г

ND = Not detected For values reported as ND, one-half of the detection limit is used in the calculation of Total DDT and Total PCB

Total DDT = sum of 2,4- and 4,4-DDD, DDE, and DDT (If all DDT metabolites are ND, the total is reported as ND)

Total PCB = sum of congeners reported x 2 (If all PCB congeners are ND, the total is reported as ND)

ppb = parts per billion ug/L = micrograms per liter pptr = parts per trillion ng/L = nanograms per liter

#### TABLE 2

#### TOXICITY TEST RESULTS Raritan River to Arthur Kill Cut-Off Channel

Suspended Particulate Phase

Test Species	Test Duration	LC <sub>50</sub> /EC <sub>50</sub>	LPC (a)	
Menidia beryllina	96 hours	(b) 64.9%	0.649	
Americamysis bahia	96 hours	(b) 86.6%	0.866	
<i>Mytilus galloprovincialis</i> (larval survival)	48 hours	<b>(b)</b> >100%	>1.00	
<i>Mytilus galloprovincialis</i> (larval normal develop.)	48 hours	(c) 20.2%	0.202	

(a) Limiting Permissible Concentration (LPC) is the  $LC_{50}$  or  $EC_{50}$  multiplied by 0.01

(b) Median Lethal Concentration (LC  $_{\rm 50})$  resulting in 50% mortatlity at test termination

(c) Median Effective Concentration (EC<sub>50</sub>) based on normal development to the D-cell, prodissoconch 1 stage

#### Whole Sediment (10 days)

Test Species	% Survival	% Survival	% Difference	Is difference statistically		
	Reference	Test	Reference - Test	significant? (a=0.05)		
Ampelisca abdita	98%	95%	3%	No		
Americamysis bahia	99%	100%	-1%	No		

PN Table 2 Toxicity - Raritan River to AK Cutoff

	TABLE 3. 28	DAY BIOACC	Wet wei	ght concentrat	ions	ANALYSIS C	JF TISSUE	
			Raritan Rive	er to Arthur Kill	Cut-Off			
		Macon	na nasuta			Alitta (ne	ereis) virens	
	REFEF	RENCE	Т	EST	REFER	RENCE	TEST	
CONSTITUENTS	DETECTION	CONCEN	DETECTION	CONCEN	DETECTION	CONCEN	DETECTION	CONCEN
	LIMITS	TRATION	LIMITS	TRATION	LIMITS	TRATION	LIMITS	TRATION
Metals	ppm (mg/kg)	ppm (mg/kg)	ppm (mg/kg)	ppm (mg/kg)	ppm (mg/kg)	ppm (mg/kg)	ppm (mg/kg)	ppm (mg/kg)
Ag		0.043		0.033		0.036		0.022
As		4.01		4.04		2.58		1.93
Cd	0.025	ND	0.024	ND	0.024	ND	0.024	ND
Cr		0.123		* 0.237		0.174		0.040
Cu		3.13		2.90		1.11		1.09
Hg	0.010	ND	0.010	ND		0.025	0.010	ND
Ni		0.355		* 0.391		0.289		0.239
Pb		0.152		* 0.397		0.091		• 0.129
Zn		19.9		18.0		21.0		8.94
Pesticides	ppb (ug/kg)	ppb (ug/kg)	ppb (ug/kg)	ppb (ug/kg)	ppb (ug/kg)	ppb (ug/kg)	ppb (ug/kg)	ppb (ug/kg)
Aldrin	0.014	ND		0.114	0.014	ND	0.014	ND
a-Chlordane		0.018		* 0.248		0.020		* 0.342
trans Nonachlor		0.019	-	* 0.149		0.103		• 0.383
Dieldrin		0.037		* 0.181		0.033		* 0.201
4,4'-DDT	0.012	ND	0.012	ND	0.012	ND		* 0.041
2,4'-DDT	0.017	ND	0.017	ND	0.017	ND	0.017	ND
4,4'-DDD		0.069		* 1.03		0.033		* 1.43
2,4'-DDD	0.017	ND		* 0.440		0.034		* 0.525
4,4'-DDE		0.134		* 1.54		0.028		* 0.782
2,4'-DDE	0.009	ND		* 0.135	0.009	ND	0.009	ND
Total DDT		0.258		* 3.18		0.133		* 2.80
Endosulfan I	0.015	ND		* 0.131	0.015	ND	0.015	ND
Endosultan II		0.018		* 0.129		0.032		* 0.116
Endosulfan sulfate	0.012	ND		* 0.123	0.011	0.030	0.014	* 0.185
Heptachior	0.011	ND	0.047	* 0.040	0.011	ND	0.011	ND
Heptachior epoxide	0.017	ND	0.017	ND	0.017	ND	0.017	ND
Industrial Chemicals	ppb (ua/ka)	ppb (ug/kg)	ppb (ua/ka)	ppb (ua/ka)	ppb (ug/kg)	ppb (ua/ka)	ppb (ua/ka)	ppb (ug/kg)
PCB 8	0.030	ND	0.030	ND	0.030	ND		* 0.343
PCB 18	0.014	ND		0.097	0.014	ND		* 0.368
PCB 28		0.098		* 1.36		0.031		* 0.782
PCB 44		0.166		* 0.431		0.024		* 0.530
PCB 49		0.034		* 0.898		0.037		* 0.886
PCB 52		0.153		* 1.11		0.106		* 1.46
PCB 66		0.207		* 1.56		0.049		* 0.774
PCB 87		0.026		* 0.191	0.014	ND		* 0.140
PCB 101		0.177		* 1.10		0.244		* 1.64
PCB 105		0.027		* 0.156		0.067		• 0.256
PCB 118		0.161		* 0.632		0.081		* 0.720
PCB 128	0.015	ND		* 0.195		0.066		• 0.199
PCB 138		0.196		* 0.689		0.503		* 1.33
PCB 153		0.195		* 0.922		0.795		1.87
PCB 170	0.014	ND		* 0.203		0.116		* 0.261
PCB 180	0.012	ND		* 0.229		0.241		0.585
PCB 183	0.011	ND	0.001	* 0.095	0.001	0.122	0.001	• 0.234
PCB 184	0.024	ND	0.024	ND	0.024	ND	0.024	ND
PCB 18/	0.011	ND		* 0.206		0.285		0.529
PCB 195	0.009	ND		* 0.053		0.073		0.179
PCB 200	0.009	ND	0.017	0.014		0.128		0.179
Total DCD	0.017	ND 2.24	0.017	ND		0.142		0.164
1 4 Dichlorohonzana		3.21		20.4		6.38		26.9

# BIOACCUMULATION TEST RESULTS: CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF TISSUE

TABLE 3. (Continu	ued)									
		Macon	na nasuta			Alitta (nereis) virens				
	REFER	REFERENCE			т	REFERENCE			EST	
CONSTITUENTS	DETECTION	CONCEN	DETECTION		CONCEN	DETECTION	CONCEN	DETECTION	CONCEN	
	LIMITS	TRATION	LIMITS		TRATION	LIMITS	TRATION	LIMITS	TRATION	
PAH's	ppb (ug/kg)	ppb (ug/kg)	ppb (ug/kg)		ppb (ug/kg)	ppb (ug/kg)	ppb (ug/kg)	ppb (ug/kg)	ppb (ug/kg)	
Naphthalene		0.326	1	*	0.561		0.353		0.283	
Acenaphthylene		0.091		*	0.333		0.092		* 0.198	
Acenaphthene		0.198		*	0.569		0.153		• 0.335	
Fluorene		0.155		*	0.673		0.090		* 0.109	
Phenanthrene		1.04	1	*	3.49		0.331		0.396	
Anthracene		0.154		*	1.39		0.039		* 0.170	
Fluoranthene		1.76		*	23.0		0.338		* 5.78	
Pyrene		1.68		*	27.8		0.282		* 8.89	
Benzo(a)anthracene		0.294		*	5.01		0.024		* 0.228	
Chrysene		0.842		*	10.3		0.127		* 3.12	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		0.654		*	7.75		0.063		• 0.591	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		0.738		*	7.24		0.105		* 0.826	
Benzo(a)pyrene		0.525		*	4.90		0.055		• 0.521	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		0.356		*	2.82		0.063		* 0.216	
Dibenzo(a,h)antracene		0.095		*	0.683		0.047		• 0.087	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		0.383		*	3.59		0.090		* 0.595	
Total PAH's		9.30			100		2.25		22.3	
Dioxins	pptr(ng/kg)	pptr(ng/kg)	pptr(ng/kg)		pptr(ng/kg)	pptr(ng/kg)	pptr(ng/kg)	pptr(ng/kg)	pptr(ng/kg)	
2378 TCDD	0.070	ND	0.106		ND	0.102	ND		0.126	
12378 PeCDD	0.035	ND	0.052		ND		0.087	0.048	ND	
123478 HxCDD	0.040	ND	0.057		ND	0.118	ND	0.061	ND	
123678 HxCDD	0.040	ND	0.059		ND	0.106	ND		0.073	
123789 HxCDD	0.039	ND	0.057		ND	0.118	ND	0.065	ND	
1234678 HpCDD		0.155		*	0.524		0.417		• 0.694	
1234789 OCDD		1.11		*	9.11		3.90		• 6.45	
2378 TCDF		0.909			1.16		1.02		1.20	
12378 PeCDF		0.041	0.064		ND		0.091		* 0.160	
23478 PeCDF		0.065		*	0.068		0.130		0.154	
123478 HxCDF	0.026	ND	0.040		ND	0.070	ND		0.065	
123678 HxCDF	0.027	ND	0.036		ND	0.070	ND	0.052	ND	
234678 HxCDF		0.069			0.068		0.087		0.131	
123789 HxCDF	0.034	ND	0.050		ND	0.107	ND	0.067	ND	
1234678 HpCDF		0.060		*	0.154		0.226		0.206	
1234789 HpCDF	0.026	ND	0.042		ND	0.098	ND	0.052	ND	
12346789 OCDF		0.093		*	0.365		0.366		0.196	

ND = Not detected Concentrations shown are the mean of 5 replicate analyses in wet weight. For values reported as ND (not detected), one-half of the detection limit is used in the calculation of the mean concentration. \* = Statistically significant at the 95% confidence level.

Total PAH = Sum of all PAH's. (If all PAHs are ND, the total is reported as ND)

Total DDT = sum of 2,4'- and 4,4'-DDD, DDE, and DDT (If all DDT metabolites are ND, the total is reported as ND)

Total PCB = 2(x), where x = sum of PCB congeners (If all PCB congeners are ND, the total is reported as ND)