#### APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: New York District, NAV-2010-01189-JD1  C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State:  NY - New York  County/par/sh/borough:  Clinton  City:  Chazy  Lat:  44.8827  Long:  73.42771  Universal Transverse Mercator  Folder UTIAL List  UTIAl is determined by folder location  • NADB3 / UTIA zone 18N  Waters UTIA zone 18N  Name of nearest waterbody:  Name of nearest waterbody:  Name of nearest waterbody:  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Great Chazy-Saranac (2010006)  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.  D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:  Field Determination Date:  Field Determination Date:  Field Determination Of JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CMA SECTION 440 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
State: NY - New York Countylparish/borough: Clinton City: Chazy Lat: 44.8627 Long: -73.42771 Universal Transverse Mercator Universal Transverse Mercator  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  Waters Stron Name of the U.S.* within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  Waters used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 1404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
State:  County/parish/borough:  Clinton  City:  Chazy  44.8627  Long:  -73.42771  Universal Transverse Mercator  Folder UTM List  UTM ist determined by folder location  • NAD83 /UTM zone 18N  Waters UTM List  UTM ist determined by waters location  • NAD83 /UTM zone 18N  Name of nearest waterbody:  unnamed tributary  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW):  Rame of nearest traditional Navigable Water (TNW):  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.  D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:  Field Determination Date(s):  Field Determination Date(s):  A RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of her beben used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
County/parish/borough: Clinton City: Chazy Lat: 44.8627 Long: -73.42771 Universal Transverse Mercator Folder UTM List UTM list determined by folder location  • NAD83 / UTM zone 18N Waters UTM List UTM list determined by waters location  • NAD83 / UTM zone 18N Waters utmaned thousary Name of nearest waterbody: unamed thousary Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW): Little Chazy River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Great Chazy-Saranac (2010006)  © Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  © Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.  D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:  © Field Determination Date(s): 01-Dec-2010  © 25-Jul-2012  SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS  A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  © Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  © Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  © Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the best of the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
City: Chazy Lat: 44.8627 Long: -73.42771 Universal Transverse Mercator  Folder UTM List Folder UTM List UTM list determined by folder location  NADB3 / UTM zone 18N Waters UTM List UTM list determined by waters location  NADB3 / UTM zone 18N Waters UTM List UTM list determined by waters location  NADB3 / UTM zone 18N Name of nearest waterbody: Name of nearest waterbody: Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Great Chazy-Saranac (2010006)  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, et.¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.  P. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:  Office Determination Date(s): 01-Dec-2010 25-Jul-2012  SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS  A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters subject to the ebb and flow of has been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
Lat: Long: - 73.42771 Universal Transverse Mercator    Folder UTM List   UTM first determined by folder location    - NAD83 / UTM zone 18N
Universal Transverse Mercator  Folder UTM List  UTM list determined by folder location  • NAD83 / UTM zone 18N  Waters UTM List  UTM list determined by waters location  • NAD83 / UTM zone 18N  Waters UTM List  UTM list determined by waters location  • NAD83 / UTM zone 18N  Name of nearest waterbody:  Name of nearest traditional Navigable Water (TWW): Little Chazy River  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Great Chazy-Saranac (2010006)  © Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  © Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc./) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.  D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:  © Office Determination Date:  © Field Determination Date(s):  © 101-Dec-2010  © 25-Jul-2012  SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS  A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  © Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  © Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
Universal Transverse Mercator    Folder UTM List   UTM list determined by folder location     • NADB3 / UTM zone 18N   Waters UTM List   UTM list determined by waters location     • NADB3 / UTM zone 18N   UTM list determined by waters location     • NADB3 / UTM zone 18N   Unnamed tributary     Name of nearest waterbody:
UTM list determined by folder location  • NADB3 / UTM zone 18N  Waters UTM List  UTM list determined by waters location  • NADB3 / UTM zone 18N  Name of nearest waterbody:  unnamed tributary  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW): Little Chazy River  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Great Chazy-Saranac (2010006)  © Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  © Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.  D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:  © Office Determination Date:  © Field Determination Date(s): © 01-Dec-2010  © 25-Jul-2012  SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS  A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  © Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  © Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
NAD83 / UTM zone 18N Waters UTM List UTM list determined by waters location  NAD83 / UTM zone 18N Unmaned fribulary Name of nearest waterbody: unnamed tribulary Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW): Little Chazy River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Great Chazy-Saranac (2010006)  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.  D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:  Office Determination Date: Field Determination Date(s): O1-Dec-2010 O25-Jul-2012  SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS  A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There 'navigable waters of the U.S.' within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
Waters UTM List UTM list determined by waters location  • NAD83 / UTM zone 18N unnamed tributary Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW): Little Chazy River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Great Chazy-Saranac (2010006)  © Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  □ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc∠) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.  D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:  □ Office Determination Date(s): □ 01-Dec-2010 □ 25-Jul-2012  SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS  A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There *navigable waters of the U.S.* within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  □ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. □ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. □ Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
Name of nearest waterbody:  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW): Little Chazy River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Great Chazy-Saranac (2010006)  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.  D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:  Office Determination Date(s):  Field Determination Date(s):  Field Determination Date(s):  A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
Name of nearest waterbody: unnamed tributary Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW): Little Chazy River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Great Chazy-Saranac (2010006)  □ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. □ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.  D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION: □ Office Determination Date: □ Field Determination Date(s): □ 01-Dec-2010 □ 25-Jul-2012  SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS  A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. □ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. □ Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
Name of nearest waterbody: unnamed tributary Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW): Little Chazy River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Great Chazy-Saranac (2010006)    Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.   Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.    D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:   Office Determination Date:   Field Determination Date(s):
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW): Little Chazy River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Great Chazy-Saranac (2010006)  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.  D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:  Office Determination Date:  Field Determination Date(s):  Office Determination D
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Great Chazy-Saranac (2010006)  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.  D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION: Office Determination Date: Field Determination Date(s): 01-Dec-2010 25-Jul-2012  SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS  A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
<ul> <li>☑ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.</li> <li>☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.</li> <li>D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:</li> <li>☐ Office Determination Date:</li> <li>☑ Field Determination Date(s):</li> <li>☐ 01-Dec-2010</li> <li>☐ 25-Jul-2012</li> <li>SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS</li> <li>A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION</li> <li>There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.</li> <li>☐ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.</li> <li>☐ Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.</li> <li>Explain:</li> <li>B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.</li> </ul>
Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.  D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:  Office Determination Date:  Field Determination Date(s):  25-Jul-2012  SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS  A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:  Office Determination Date: Field Determination Date(s): O1-Dec-2010 25-Jul-2012  SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS  A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
□ Office Determination Date: □ Field Determination Date(s): □ 01-Dec-2010 □ 25-Jul-2012  SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS  A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. □ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. □ Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS  A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS  A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS  A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS  A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION  There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
☐ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. ☐ Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
Explain:  B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There "waters of the LLS" within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 22 CER and 200) in the review area
There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
1. Waters of the U.S.
a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area: <sup>1</sup>
Water Name Water Type(s) Present
Stream A, 2010-01188, Graymont   Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
Stream S, 2010-01188, Graymont Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
Wetland A, 2010-01188, Graymont   Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
Wetland S, 2010-01188, Graymont   Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:  Area: (m²)

# c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:

based on:

OHWM Elevation: (if known)

# 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:<sup>3</sup>

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

Wetland/Stream A is isolated in that there is no continuous surface hydrologic connection to Wetland B (and therefore a TNW) via a stream with an ordinary high water mark. Water periodically flows from Wetland A to Wetland/Stream B, but only during major storm events and snow melt making it an event-driven ephemeral drainage. This wetland/stream is 315 linear feet from the closest jurisdictional wetland (Wetland B) and 350 linear feet from the closest tributary (Stream B,a tributary of the Little Chazy River [Section 10 waterway]). The difference in elevation between these water resources is 7 feet.

3/13/2013 3:04 PM 1 of 5

High Tide Line indicated by:

Wetland/Stream S is isolated in that there is no continuous surface hydrologic connection to Wetland B (and therefore a TNW) via a stream with an ordinary high water mark. This wetland/stream is 567 linear feet from the closest jurisdictional wetland (Wetland B) and 672 linear feet from the closest tributary (Stream B, which is a tributary of the Little Chazy River [Section 10 waterway]). The difference in elevation between these water resources is 8 feet

Wetland/Stream A and Wetland/Stream S are not within any FEMA-mapped 100-yr floodplain. Therefore, during times of heavy precipitation, there is very low probability that floodwater would reach an elevation necessary for water to flow from other jurisdictional waters into the subject wetland, and it is not considered as adjacent. These wetland/waters would not be considered a traditional navigable water in that they do not have the necessary water depth to support navigation of any kind. The wetland/waters do not cross any state boundary and do not have a use that would associate it with interstate commerce.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS	
A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs	
1.TNW Not Applicable.	
2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW Not Applicable.	
B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETL	ANDS (IF AN
1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW	
(i) General Area Conditions:	
Watershed size:	
Drainage area:  Average annual rainfall: inches	
Average annual snowfall: inches	
(ii) Physical Characteristics (a) Relationship with TNW:	
☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.	
☐ Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW. :Number of tributaries	
Project waters are river miles from TNW.	
Project waters are river miles from RPW.	
Project Waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.  Project waters are aerial(straight) miles from RPW.	
Project waters are aerial(straight) fillies from the w.	
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.  Explain:	
Identify flow route to TNW: <sup>5</sup>	
Tributary Stream Order, if known: Not Applicable.	
(b) General Tributary Characteristics: Tributary is: Not Applicable.	
Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Not Applicable.	
Primary tributary substrate composition: Not Applicable.	
Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient): Not Applicable.	
(c) Flow: Not Applicable.	
Surface Flow is: Not Applicable.	
Subsurface Flow: Not Applicable.	
Tributary has: Not Applicable.	

2 of 5 3/13/2013 3:04 PM

Not Applicable.	
Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Not Applicable.	
(iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.	
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports: Not Applicable.	
2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW	
(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties:	
Not Applicable.	
(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Not Applicable.	
Surface flow is: Not Applicable.	
Subsurface flow: Not Applicable.	
(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Not Applicable.	
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW: Not Applicable.	
(ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.	
(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports: Not Applicable.	
3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any): All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis: Not Applicable.	
Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Not Applicable.	
C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION	,
A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions perform determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situatic combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant redistance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent not solely determinative of significant nexus.	ons, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in d/or biological integrity of a TNW. by of water in the tributary and its proximity to a nexus based solely on any specific threshold of
Significant Nexus: Not Applicable	
D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:	
	,
1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands: Not Applicable.	
2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.	
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:  Not Applicable.	
3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: <sup>8</sup> Not Applicable.	
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:  Not Applicable.	

Not Applicable.	
Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.	
5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into Not Applicable.	o TNWs:
Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  Not Applicable.	
6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.	
Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  Not Applicable.	
7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters: <sup>9</sup> Not Applicable.	

# E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS: 10

Waters Name	Interstate\Foreign Travelers	Fish/Shellfish Commerce	Industrial Commerce	Interstate Isolated	Explain	Other Factors	Explain
Stream A, 2010-01188, Graymont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stream S, 2010-01188, Graymont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wetland A, 2010-01188, Graymont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wetland S, 2010-01188, Graymont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Water Name	Adjacent To TNW Rationale	TNW Rationale
Stream A, 2010-01188, Graymont	-	-
Stream S, 2010-01188, Graymont	-	-
Wetland A, 2010-01188, Graymont	-	-
Wetland S, 2010-01188, Graymont	-	-

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Water Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
Stream A, 2010-01188, Graymont	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	80.93712
Stream S, 2010-01188, Graymont	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	161.87424
Wetland A, 2010-01188, Graymont	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	6879.6552
Wetland S, 2010-01188, Graymont	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	647.49696
Total:		0	7769.96352

#### F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):

☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):

Other (Explain):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:

Water Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
Stream A, 2010-01188, Graymont	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	80.93712
Stream S, 2010-01188, Graymont	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	161.87424
Wetland A, 2010-01188, Graymont	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	6879.6552
Wetland S, 2010-01188, Graymont	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	647.49696
Total:		0	7769.96352

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Not Applicable.

4 of 5 3/13/2013 3:04 PM

# SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

### A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	Delineation Map	Drawings entitled "Water Resources within Jurisdictional Determination Limits, Approved JD Area A (in part), Chazy Orchards West Side Quarry", sheets 1 and 2 of 2, and "Water Resources within Jurisdictional Determination Limits, Approved JD Area B, Chazy Orchards West Side Quarry", all prepared by The Environmental Collaborative and last revised 9/30/2012
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report	Delineation Report	Report entitiled "Chazy Orchards West Site Quarry, Wetland Delineation Report", dated 9/2010, and amended information submitted by letter dated 9/4/2010, all prepared by The Environmental Collaborative
Photographs	-	
Other	Site Photographs	Photos dated 12/1/2010 and 7/25/2012
Other information	Delineation Report Addendum	Addendum submitted by The Environmental Collaborative by letter to this office dated 9/30/2012
Other information	Site Inspection Reports	SIRs dated 9/28/2012 (for 7/25/2012 visit) and 11/8/2012 (for 12/1/2010 visit)

### B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Not Applicable.

 $<sup>\</sup>begin{tabular}{ll} 1. Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below. \end{tabular}$ 

<sup>2-</sup>For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

 $<sup>\</sup>boldsymbol{3}_{\text{-}}$  Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>4-</sup>Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>5-</sup>Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

<sup>6.</sup> A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7&</sup>lt;sub>-Ibid.</sub>

<sup>8-</sup>See Footnote #3.

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$  -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>10</sup>\_Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

#### APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: BACKGROUND IN	FORMATION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE F	OR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 05-Feb-2013
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME,	AND NUMBER: New York District, NAN-2010-01188-JD2
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BAC	KGROUND INFORMATION:
State:	NY - New York
County/parish/borough:	Clinton
City:	Chazy
Lat:	44.8627
Long:	-73.42771
Universal Transverse Mercator	Folder UTM List  UTM list determined by folder location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 18N
	Waters UTM List
	UTM list determined by waters location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 18N
Name of nearest waterbody:	unnamed tributary
	able Water (TNW): Little Chazy River
Name of watershed or Hydrologic	Unit Code (HUC): Great Chazy-Saranac (2010006)
Check if man/diagram of review	varea and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
· · ·	emitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.;) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.
Crieck if other sites (e.g., offsite	r finingation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different 3D form.
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SIT	E EVALUATION:
- O'' - D	
Office Determination Date:	
Field Determination Date(s):	01-Dec-2010
	25-Jul-2012
	,
SECTION III SUMMARY OF FU	IDINOS
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FI	NDINGS
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINAT	ION OF JURISDICTION
There "navigable waters of the U.S.	within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
☐ Waters subject to the	bbb and flow of the tide.
Waters are presently	sed, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
Explain:	
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETER	IINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There "waters of the U.S." within C	lean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
•	,
I. Waters of the U.S.	
. Indicate presence of waters of U.	S. in review area: <sup>1</sup>
Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
Wetland C, 2010-01188, Graymont	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
Wetland D, 2010-01188, Graymont	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
-	
Identify (actimate) =!== =f	of the LLC in the region area.
b. Identify (estimate) size of waters	of the U.S. III the review area:
Area: (m²)	

Linear: (m)

### c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:

based on:

OHWM Elevation: (if known)

# 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:<sup>3</sup>

# Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

Wetlands C and D are isolated in that they occur in a quarried area that is at least 10 feet lower than the surrounding upland and there are no connections to a TNW. These wetlands are 1,500 linear feet from the closest jurisdictional wetland (Wetland/Stream B) and tributary (Stream B is a tributary of the Little Chazy River [Section 10 waterway]). The difference in elevation between these water resources is 10 feet. Please note the upland surrounding these wetlands is at least 10 feet higher since the wetlands occur in an excavated quarry making the difference in elevation deceptive.

These wetlands are not within any FEMA-mapped 100-yr floodplain. Therefore, during times of heavy precipitation, there is very low probability that floodwater would reach an elevation necessary for water to flow from other jurisdictional waters into the subject wetlands, and they are not considered as adjacent. There is no man-made or natural discrete and/or confined

3/13/2013 3:05 PM 1 of 5

surface water connection between the wetlands and any other jurisdictional water. The wetlands would not be considered a traditional navigable water in that they do not have the necessary water depth to support navigation of any kind, and do not have any surface hydrologic connection to a waterbody that would. The wetlands do not cross any state boundary and do not have a use that would associate it with interstate commerce.

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs	
,	
NW	
t Applicable.	
Wetland Adjacent to TNW ot Applicable.	
I. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS	(IF ANY):
. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW	
i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size:	
Drainage area:	
Average annual rainfall: inches	
Average annual snowfall: inches	
ii) Physical Characteristics a) Relationship with TNW:	
☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.	
☐ Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW.	
:Number of tributaries	
Project waters are river miles from TNW.	
Project waters are river miles from RPW.	
Project Waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.	
Project waters are aerial(straight) miles from RPW.	
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.	
Explain:	
dentify flow route to TNW: <sup>5</sup>	
Tributary Stream Order, if known:  Not Applicable.  b) General Tributary Characteristics:	
Tributary is: Not Applicable.	
ributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Not Applicable.	
Primary tributary substrate composition: Not Applicable.	
···	
Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient): Not Applicable.	
c) Flow:	
Not Applicable.	
Numbers Flave in	
Surface Flow is: lot Applicable.	
Subsurface Flow:	
Not Applicable.	
ributary has:	
Not Applicable.	
f factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:	
ligh Tide Line indicated by:	
.g 1140 =0	
Not Applicable.	

(iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.	
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports: Not Applicable.	
2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW	
(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Not Applicable.	
(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Not Applicable.	
Surface flow is: Not Applicable.	
Subsurface flow: Not Applicable.	
(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Not Applicable.	
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW: Not Applicable.	
(ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.	
(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports: Not Applicable.	
3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any): All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis: Not Applicable.	
Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Not Applicable.	
C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION	
A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situation combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/ Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nedistance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent work solely determinative of significant nexus.	ns, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in or biological integrity of a TNW. or of water in the tributary and its proximity to a xus based solely on any specific threshold of
Significant Nexus: Not Applicable	
D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:	N.
V	
1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands: Not Applicable.	
2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.	
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:  Not Applicable.	
3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: <sup>8</sup> Not Applicable.	
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:  Not Applicable.	
4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Not Applicable.	

Provide acreage estimates for jurison Not Applicable.	dictional wetlands in the	e review area:						
5. Wetlands adjacent to but not dire Not Applicable.	ctly abutting an RPW th	at flow directly	or indirectly int	o TNWs:				
Provide acreage estimates for jurison Not Applicable.	dictional wetlands in the	e review area:						
6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs Not Applicable.	that flow directly or indi	rectly into TNW	's:					
Provide estimates for jurisdictional Not Applicable.	wetlands in the review a	area:						
7. Impoundments of jurisdictional w Not Applicable.	vaters: <sup>9</sup>							
E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INT	-		TED WETLAND	S, THE USE,	, DEGRADAT	ON OR DESTRU	CTION OF W	HICH COULD AFFECT
INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUD								
Waters Name	Interstate\Foreign Travelers	Fish/Shellfish Commerce	Industrial Commerce	Interstate Isolated	Explain	Other Factors	Explain	
Wetland C, 2010-01188, Graymont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Wetland D, 2010-01188, Graymont	-	-	-	-	-		1.	
						-	-	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							-	
Water Name	rationale supporting de		/ Rationale				-	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			/ Rationale	-		<u>-</u>	-	
Water Name	Adjacent To TNW Ra	tionale TNW	/ Rationale				1-	
Water Name Wetland C, 2010-01188, Graymont Wetland D, 2010-01188, Graymont	Adjacent To TNW Ra	tionale TNW	/ Rationale			•		
Water Name Wetland C, 2010-01188, Graymont Wetland D, 2010-01188, Graymont Provide estimates for jurisdictional	Adjacent To TNW Ra	tionale TNW	/ Rationale		Size (Linea	r) (m)   Size (A		
Water Name Wetland C, 2010-01188, Graymont Wetland D, 2010-01188, Graymont  Provide estimates for jurisdictional Water Name	Adjacent To TNW Ra	ea:		d wetlands	Size (Lineal		rea) (m²)	
Water Name Wetland C, 2010-01188, Graymont Wetland D, 2010-01188, Graymont  Provide estimates for jurisdictional Water Name Wetland C, 2010-01188, Graymont	Adjacent To TNW Ra  waters in the review are Isolated (interstate or in	trastate) waters,	including isolate		-	161.8742	rea) (m²)	
Wetland C, 2010-01188, Graymont Wetland D, 2010-01188, Graymont  Provide estimates for jurisdictional Water Name	Adjacent To TNW Ra	trastate) waters,	including isolate		•		rea) (m²) 24 3	

# F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS

☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regiona
Supplements:

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):

☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):

Other (Explain):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:

Water Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
Wetland C, 2010-01188, Graymont	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	161.87424
Wetland D, 2010-01188, Graymont	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	202.3428
Total:		0	364.21704

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Not Applicable.

# SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	Delineation Map	Drawings entitled "Water Resources within Jurisdictional Determination Limits, Approved JD Area A (in part), Chazy Orchards West Side Quarry", sheets 1 and 2 of 2, and "Water Resources within Jurisdictional Determination Limits, Approved JD Area B, Chazy Orchards West Side Quarry", all prepared by The Environmental Collaborative and last revised 9/30/2012
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report	Delineation Report	Report entitiled "Chazy Orchards West Site Quarry, Wetland Delineation Report", dated 9/2010, and amended information submitted by letter dated 9/4/2010, all prepared by The Environmental Collaborative
Photographs	-	

3/13/2013 3:05 PM 4 of 5

Other	Site Photographs	Photos dated 12/1/2010 and 7/25/2012
Other information	Delineation Report Addendum	Addendum submitted by The Environmental Collaborative by letter to this office dated 9/30/2012
Other information	Site Inspection Reports	SIRs dated 9/28/2012 (for 7/25/2012 visit) and 11/8/2012 (for 12/1/2010 visit)

#### **B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

Not Applicable.

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{1}}\xspace$  -Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>2-</sup>For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

 $<sup>\</sup>mathbf{3}_{\text{-}}$  Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>4-</sup>Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>5-</sup>Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

<sup>6-</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7&</sup>lt;sub>-Ibid.</sub>

<sup>8-</sup>See Footnote #3.

 $<sup>\</sup>boldsymbol{9}$  -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>10.-</sup>Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.