

# Tables

ES-1	Alternatives Evaluation Summary .....	ES-7
1-1	Base Year and Future No Action Travel Times .....	1-13
1-2	Year 2001 and Future No Action Intersection Delays .....	1-14
1-3	Year 2001 and Future No Action Levels of Service at Key Intersections .....	1-15
1-4	Screenline Crossing Non-Local Traffic Volumes .....	1-17
1-5	Additional Lanes Needed to Maintain Acceptable Volume-Capacity Ratio .....	1-18
1-6	Screenline Crossing Peak Hour Truck Volumes .....	1-19
2-1	Signal Removal, Interchange Construction Proposals, and Restrictions on Turning Movements at Remaining Signalized Intersections Along US Route 1 .....	2-26
2-2	2028 Non-Local Peak Hour Traffic Crossing Screenline on Local East-West Roads .....	2-37
2-3	Change in 2028 Non-Local Peak Hour Traffic Crossing Screenline on Local East-West Roads (Relative to No-Build).....	2-37
2-4	Change in 2028 Non-Local Peak Hour Traffic Crossing Screenline on Local East-West Roads (Relative to Proposed Route 92).....	2-37
2-5	Total VOC, CO, and NO <sub>x</sub> Loadings .....	2-38
2-6	Alternatives Evaluation Summary .....	2-50
2-7	Summary of Responsiveness of Alternatives to Project Objectives .....	2-51
3-1	Results of Laboratory Analysis for Acid Producing Soils .....	3-5
3-2	Soil Characteristics .....	3-6
3-3	Water Quality Characteristics at Nearby Ambient Surface Water Monitoring Network Stations (1995-1997) .....	3-15
3-4	Surface Water Criteria for FW2-NT Waters.....	3-16
3-5	NJPDES Permits in the Study Area.....	3-19
3-6	Soil Phase Hydrologic Groups.....	3-22
3-7	Well Search Results .....	3-24
3-8	Wildlife Species Observed or Expected to Inhabit the Route 92 Project Corridor .....	3-41
3-9	Summary of Habitat Suitability for Species of Concern .....	3-52
3-10	Cultural Resource Survey Results (Hunter, 1993) .....	3-58
3-11	Existing Air Quality Near the Project Area.....	3-62
3-12	Decibel Changes, Loudness, and Energy Loss .....	3-66
3-13	FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria .....	3-67
3-14	Noise Monitoring Locations.....	3-69
3-15	Noise Monitoring Results.....	3-71

3-16	Known Contaminated Sites Listing – NJDEP.....	3-73
3-17	Demographic Data for Study Area Municipalities and Middlesex County...	3-76
3-18	Occupations of Employed Residents.....	3-80
3-19	Racial Composition of Inhabited Census Blocks Overlapping the Proposed Route 92 Corridor.....	3-96
3-20	Racial Composition of Inhabited Census Blocks Near the Potential New US Route 1 Interchanges.....	3-98
3-21	Financial Data for Persons and Housing Units In or Near the Proposed Route 92 Corridor.....	3-100
3-22	Financial Data for Persons and Housing Units Near the Potential New US Route 1 Interchanges.....	3-102
4-1	VMTs and Average Speeds in the Traffic Study Area.....	4-3
4-2	Final Emission Factors.....	4-3
4-3	Total VOC, CO and NO <sub>x</sub> Loadings.....	4-4
4-4	Soil K-Factor Listing.....	4-15
4-5	Regulated Activities in Design Sections 1, 2 and 3 of the NJTA-Preferred Alignment.....	4-17
4-6	FHWA Runoff Model Constituent Equations.....	4-26
4-7	FHWA Runoff Modeling Results – Heathcote Brook Subbasin.....	4-27
4-8	FHWA Runoff Modeling Results – Devil’s Brook.....	4-28
4-9	FHWA Runoff Modeling Results – Shallow Brook Subbasin.....	4-29
4-10	Summary of FHWA Wetland Functional Assessment.....	4-32
4-11	Summary of Wetland Impacts.....	4-33
4-12	CAL3QHC Predicted Maximum CO Concentrations, in ppm.....	4-40
4-13	Projected 2028 Total Daily Peak-Hour Through-Traffic Volumes (A.M.+P.M.) – Proposed Route 92 vs. No Action.....	4-43
4-14	Projected 2028 Total Daily Peak-Hour Truck Volumes (A.M.+P.M.) – Proposed Route 92 vs. No Action.....	4-46
4-15	Estimated 2028 Peak Hour Travel Times (minutes).....	4-52
4-16	Intersection Level of Service – Proposed Route 92 vs. No Action.....	4-53
4-17	Projected Intersection Delays--Proposed Route 92 vs. No Action.....	4-54
4-18	Noise Modeling Receptors.....	4-56
4-19	Summary of Noise Modeling Results.....	4-57
4-20	Typical Construction Equipment Noise Levels.....	4-58
4-21	Projected 2028 Total Daily Peak-Hour Through-Traffic Volumes (A.M.+P.M.) – US Route 1 Widening and Signal Removal vs. No Action....	4-84
4-22	Projected 2028 Total Daily Peak-Hour Truck Volumes (A.M.+P.M.) – US Route 1 Widening and Signal Removal vs. No Action.....	4-90
4-23	Estimated Changes in Travel Times – US Route 1 Widening and Signal Removal vs. No Action.....	4-94

4-24	Intersection Level of Service – US Route 1 Widening and Signal Removal vs. No Action .....	4-95
4-25	Projected Intersection Delays--US Route 1 Widening and Signal Removal vs. No Action .....	4-96
4-26	Summary of Noise Modeling Results for Residences Near the Potential New US Route 1 Interchanges .....	4-98
4-27	Summary of Noise Modeling Results – US Route 1 Improvements vs. No Action .....	4-98
5-1	Noise Barrier Evaluation.....	5-16