

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Revised 8/13/04

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: 2004-00567

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Richmond
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat:40-32-35.9880 lon:74-12-43.9920
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 29 acres.
Name of nearest waterway: Lemon Creek
Name of watershed: ARTHUR KILL

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Completed: Desktop determination Date: July 24, 2006
Site visit(s) Date(s):

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

Preliminary JD - Based on available information, *there appear to be* (or) *there appear to be no* "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).
Check all that apply:

There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area:

There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area:

There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.

Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

(1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

(2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹.

(3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):

(i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

(ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

(iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

(4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.

(5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.

(6) The presence of territorial seas.

(7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). *If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make adjacency determination:* The Porzio's Pond, Lemon Creek/Sandy Brook watershed is tributary to the Arthur Kill which is navigable.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
 - the presence of litter and debris
 - changes in the character of soil
 - destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 - shelving
 - other: Applicant's photos & drawings
- High Tide Line indicated by:
- oil or scum line along shore objects
 - fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
 - physical markings/characteristics
 - tidal gages
 - other:
- Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
- survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map prepared by Hazen & Sawyer (Undated).

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
- The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
 - Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 - Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 - Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 - Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 - Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
- Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
- Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 - Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 - Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 - This office concurs with the delineation report, dated _____, prepared by (company):
 - This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated _____, prepared by (company):
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
- Corps' navigable waters' studies:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps:
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
- U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey:
- National wetlands inventory maps:
- State/Local wetland inventory maps:
- FEMA/FIRM maps (Map Name & Date):
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: _____ (NGVD)
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
- Other photographs (Undated)
- Advanced Identification Wetland maps:
- Site visit/determination conducted on:
- Applicable/supporting case law:
- Other information (please specify):

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Revised 8/13/04

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: NAN-2005-1104-WBR

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Clinton
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat:44-58-36 lon:73-28-36
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 5 acres.
Name of nearest waterway: Great Chazy River
Name of watershed: Great Chazy River/Lake Champlain

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Completed: Desktop determination Date:
Site visit(s) Date(s): 10/26/05

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

Preliminary JD - Based on available information, *there appear to be* (or) *there appear to be no* "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Check all that apply:

There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.

Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

(1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

(2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹.

(3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):

(i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

(ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

(iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

(4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.

(5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.

(6) The presence of territorial seas.

(7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). *If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document*

the rationale used to make adjacency determination: Project proposed in wetlands and an unnamed tributary to the Great Chazy River, a Federally navigable waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: | <input type="checkbox"/> High Tide Line indicated by: |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> oil or scum line along shore objects |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics |
| <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation | <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gages |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other: | |

- Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
 survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by:
 Allen Racine

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
 Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
 The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
 Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
 Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
 Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 This office concurs with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
 Corps' navigable waters' studies:
 U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps:
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey:
 National wetlands inventory maps:
 State/Local wetland inventory maps:
 FEMA/FIRM maps (Map Name & Date):
 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (NGVD)
 Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
 Other photographs (Date):

Advanced Identification Wetland maps:

Site visit/determination conducted on: 10/26/05

Applicable/supporting case law:

Other information (please specify):

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Revised 8/13/04

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: NAN-2006-109-WBR

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Franklin
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat:44-53-55 lon:74-19-13
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 5 acres.
Name of nearest waterway: Salmon River
Name of watershed: English-Salmon River

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Completed: Desktop determination Date: 1/31/07
Site visit(s) Date(s):

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

Preliminary JD - Based on available information, *there appear to be* (or) *there appear to be no* "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Check all that apply:

There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area:

There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.

Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:**A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":**

The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

- (1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- (2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹.
- (3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):
- (i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- (ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- (iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- (4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.
- (5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.
- (6) The presence of territorial seas.
- (7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). *If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document*

the rationale used to make adjacency determination: The Salmon River is a tributary to the St. Lawrence River, a navigable waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: | <input type="checkbox"/> High Tide Line indicated by: |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> oil or scum line along shore objects |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics |
| <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation | <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gages |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> other: | |

- Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
 survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

- Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by:

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
 Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
 The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
 Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
 Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
 Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 This office concurs with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
 Corps' navigable waters' studies:
 U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps:
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey:
 National wetlands inventory maps:
 State/Local wetland inventory maps:
 FEMA/FIRM maps (Map Name & Date):
 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (NGVD)
 Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
 Other photographs (Date):
 Advanced Identification Wetland maps:
 Site visit/determination conducted on:

Applicable/supporting case law:

Other information (please specify):

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Revised 8/13/04

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: NAN-2006-3081

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Albany
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat:42-25-47 lon:73-52-37
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 6.32 acres.
Name of nearest waterway: Lisha Kill
Name of watershed: Mohawk River

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION 2

Completed: Desktop determination [] Date:
Site visit(s) [X] Date(s): 8/4/06

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

[X] Preliminary JD - Based on available information, [X] there appear to be (or) [] there appear to be no "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

[] Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).
Check all that apply:

[] There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: Entire Schroon Lake

[] There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area:

[] There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.

[] Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

[] The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

[] (1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

[] (2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹.

[] (3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):

[] (i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

[] (ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

[] (iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

[] (4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.

[] (5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.

[] (6) The presence of territorial seas.

[X] (7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document

the rationale used to make adjacency determination: Wetlands on site are contiguous to an unnamed tributary which flows into the Lisha Kill. The Lisha Kill empties into the Mohawk River, which is a navigable waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
 - the presence of litter and debris
 - changes in the character of soil
 - destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 - shelving
 - other:
- High Tide Line indicated by:
- oil or scum line along shore objects
 - fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
 - physical markings/characteristics
 - tidal gages
 - other:

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

- survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by Ingalls & Assoc.

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
- The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
- Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 - Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 - Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 - Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 - Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
 - Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
 - Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 - Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 - Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
- This office concurs with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
- This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
- Corps' navigable waters' studies:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps:
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
- U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey:
- National wetlands inventory maps:
- State/Local wetland inventory maps:
- FEMA/FIRM maps (Map Name & Date):
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (NGVD)
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
- Other photographs (Date):
- Advanced Identification Wetland maps:
- Site visit/determination conducted on:8/4/06

- Applicable/supporting case law:
 Other information (please specify):

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Revised 8/13/04

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: NAN-2006-3128-WBR

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Clinton
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat:44-55-29 lon:73-58-06
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 0.1 acres.
Name of nearest waterway: Unnamed Tributary
Name of watershed: St. Lawrence

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Completed: Desktop determination Date:
Site visit Date: September 13, 2006

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

Preliminary JD - Based on available information, *there appear to be* (or) *there appear to be no* "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Check all that apply:

There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.

Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

(1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

(2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹.

(3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):

(i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

(ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

(iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

(4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.

(5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.

(6) The presence of territorial seas.

(7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). *If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make adjacency determination:* The unnamed tributary drains to the St. Lawrence River, which is a navigable waterbody.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

High Tide Line indicated by:

clear, natural line impressed on the bank

oil or scum line along shore objects

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation | <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gages |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other: | |

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

- survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by:

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
- The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
- Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 - Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 - Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 - Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 - Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
 - Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
 - Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 - Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 - Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
- This office concurs with the delineation report, dated May 2006, prepared by: TEC
- This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated _____, prepared by (company): _____
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
- Corps' navigable waters' studies:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps:
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
- U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey:
- National wetlands inventory maps:
- State/Local wetland inventory maps:
- FEMA/FIRM maps (Map Name & Date): _____
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: _____ (NGVD)
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): _____
- Other photographs (Date): _____
- Advanced Identification Wetland maps: _____
- Site visit/determination conducted on: September 13, 2006
- Applicable/supporting case law: _____
- Other information (please specify): _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Revised 8/13/04

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: NAN-2006-3187

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Suffolk
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat: 40.9828 lon: -72.5291
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 0.05 acres.
Name of nearest waterway: James Creek
Name of watershed: Great Peconic Bay

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Completed: Desktop determination Date: July 5, 2006
Site visit(s) Date(s):

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

Preliminary JD - Based on available information, *there appear to be* (or) *there appear to be no* "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).
Check all that apply:

There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.

Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

(1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

(2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹.

(3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):

(i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

(ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

(iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

(4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.

(5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.

(6) The presence of territorial seas.

(7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). *If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document*

the rationale used to make adjacency determination: James Creek is subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and is a tributary to Great Peconic Bay, which is considered to be navigable.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Tide Line indicated by: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> oil or scum line along shore objects |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics |
| <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation | <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gages |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other: | |

- Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
 survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

- Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by:

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
 Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
 The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
 Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
 Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
 Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 This office concurs with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
 Corps' navigable waters' studies:
 U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps:
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey:
 National wetlands inventory maps:
 State/Local wetland inventory maps:
 FEMA/FIRM maps (Map Name & Date):
 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (NGVD)
 Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
 Other photographs (Date):
 Advanced Identification Wetland maps:

Site visit/determination conducted on:

Applicable/supporting case law:

Other information (please specify):

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Revised 8/13/04

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: NAN-2006-3386-WDA

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Delaware
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat:42-12-28 lon:75-08-25
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 1 acre.
Name of nearest waterway: Kerr's Brook
Name of watershed: Upper Delaware

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Completed: Desktop determination Date: November 21, 2007
Site visits Date:

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

Preliminary JD - Based on available information, *there appear to be* (or) *there appear to be no* "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).
Check all that apply:

There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area:

There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area:

There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.

Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

(1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

(2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹.

(3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):

(i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

(ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

(iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

(4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.

(5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.

(6) The presence of territorial seas.

(7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). *If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make adjacency determination:* Kerr's Brook is a tributary to the West Branch of the Delaware River. The Delaware River is a navigable waterbody.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

High Tide Line indicated by:

clear, natural line impressed on the bank

oil or scum line along shore objects

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation | <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gages |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other: | |

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

- survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by:

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
- The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
- Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 - Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 - Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 - Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 - Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
 - Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
 - Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 - Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 - Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
- This office concurs with the delineation report, dated _____, prepared by (company):
 - This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated _____, prepared by (company):
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
- Corps' navigable waters' studies:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 - U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps:
 - U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 - U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 - USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey:
 - National wetlands inventory maps:
 - State/Local wetland inventory maps:
 - FEMA/FIRM maps (Map Name & Date):
 - 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: _____ (NGVD)
 - Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
 - Other photographs (Date):
 - Advanced Identification Wetland maps:
 - Site visit/determination conducted on:
 - Applicable/supporting case law:
 - Other information (please specify):

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Revised 8/13/04

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: NAN-2006-3389-WSH

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Saratoga
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat: 42.96333 lon: -73.82908
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 5.47 acres.
Name of nearest waterway: Wetlands
Name of watershed: Hudson-Hoosic. NY,MA,VT

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Completed: Desktop determination Date:
Site visit(s) Date(s): 12-14-06

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

Preliminary JD - Based on available information, *there appear to be* (or) *there appear to be no* "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).
Check all that apply:

There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.

Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

(1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

(2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹.

(3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):

(i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

(ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

(iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

(4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.

(5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.

(6) The presence of territorial seas.

(7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). *If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make adjacency determination:* The water within the wetland on the project site flow into Ballston Creek, which flows into Round Lake, then drain into the Antony Kill, which flows into the Hudson River which is currently a navigable waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: High Tide Line indicated by:
 clear, natural line impressed on the bank oil or scum line along shore objects

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics |
| <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation | <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gages |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other: | |

- Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
 survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by: Ingalls & Assoc., LLP

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
 Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
 The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
 Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
 Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
 Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 This office concurs with the delineation report, dated January 11, 2007, prepared by: Ingalls & Assoc, LLP
 This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated _____, prepared by (company):
 Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
 Corps' navigable waters' studies:
 U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps: Round Lake Quad
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey:
 National wetlands inventory maps: Peck Lake Quad
 State/Local wetland inventory maps: Peck Lake Quad
 FEMA/FIRM maps:
 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: _____ (NGVD)
 Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
 Other photographs (Date):
 Advanced Identification Wetland maps:
 Site visit/determination conducted on: 12-14-06
 Applicable/supporting case law:
 Other information (please specify):

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Revised 8/13/04

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: NAN-2007-3-WSH

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Fulton
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat: 43.00779 lon: -74.45883
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 0.05 acres.
Name of nearest waterway: Wetlands
Name of watershed: Mohawk, New York

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Completed: Desktop determination Date: 1-4--07
Site visit(s) Date(s):

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

Preliminary JD - Based on available information, *there appear to be* (or) *there appear to be no* "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Check all that apply:

There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area:

There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area:

There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.

Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

(1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

(2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹.

(3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):

(i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

(ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

(iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

(4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.

(5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.

(6) The presence of territorial seas.

(7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). *If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make adjacency determination:* The water within the wetlands on within the project flow into Cayudutta Creek, which flows into the Mohawk River which is currently a navigable waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: High Tide Line indicated by:
 clear, natural line impressed on the bank oil or scum line along shore objects

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics |
| <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation | <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gages |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other: | |

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

- survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by: Barton and Loguidice

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
- The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
- Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 - Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 - Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 - Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 - Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
 - Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
 - Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 - Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 - Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
- This office concurs with the delineation report, dated December 2006, prepared by: Barton and Loguidice
- This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated _____, prepared by (company): _____
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
- Corps' navigable waters' studies:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 - U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps: Peck Lake Quad
 - U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 - U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 - USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey: Fulton County
 - National wetlands inventory maps: Peck Lake Quad
 - State/Local wetland inventory maps: Peck Lake Quad
 - FEMA/FIRM maps:
 - 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: _____ (NGVD)
 - Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): _____
 - Other photographs (Date): _____
 - Advanced Identification Wetland maps:
 - Site visit/determination conducted on: _____
 - Applicable/supporting case law: _____
 - Other information (please specify): _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Revised 8/13/04

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: NAN-2007-16-WDA

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Delaware
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat:42-00-08 lon:75-08-08
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: .3 acre.
Name of nearest waterway: East Branch, Delaware River
Name of watershed: East Branch, Delaware River

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Completed: Desktop determination Date: December 29, 2006
Site visit(s) Date:

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

Preliminary JD - Based on available information, *there appear to be* (or) *there appear to be no* "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).
Check all that apply:

There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area:

There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area:

There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.

Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

(1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

(2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹.

(3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):

(i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

(ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

(iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

(4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.

(5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.

(6) The presence of territorial seas.

(7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). *If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document*

the rationale used to make adjacency determination: The Delaware River, a navigable waterbody.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: | <input type="checkbox"/> High Tide Line indicated by: |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> oil or scum line along shore objects |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation | <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gages |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other: | |

- Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
 survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

- Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by:

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
 Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
 The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
 Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
 Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
 Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 This office concurs with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
 Corps' navigable waters' studies:
 U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps:
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey:
 National wetlands inventory maps:
 State/Local wetland inventory maps:
 FEMA/FIRM maps (Map Name & Date):
 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (NGVD)
 Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
 Other photographs (Date):
 Advanced Identification Wetland maps:
 Site visit/determination conducted on:
 Applicable/supporting case law:

Other information (please specify):

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Revised 8/13/04

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: NAN-2007-17-WSH

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Saratoga
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat: 43.08889 lon: -73.59080
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 0.004 acres.
Name of nearest waterway: Fish Creek
Name of watershed: Hudson-Hoosic. NY,MA,VT

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Completed: Desktop determination [X] Date: 1-10-07
Site visit(s) [] Date(s):

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

[X] Preliminary JD - Based on available information, [X] there appear to be (or) [] there appear to be no "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

[] Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).
Check all that apply:

[] There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area:

[] There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area:

[] There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.

[] Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

[] The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

[X] (1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

[] (2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹.

[] (3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):

[] (i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

[] (ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

[] (iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

[] (4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.

[] (5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.

[] (6) The presence of territorial seas.

[] (7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make adjacency determination: The work is being done in Fish Creek which is determined to be a historically navigable waterway, which drains into the Hudson River, which is currently navigable.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

[X] Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: [] High Tide Line indicated by:
[X] clear, natural line impressed on the bank [] oil or scum line along shore objects

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics |
| <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation | <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gages |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other: | |

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

- survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by:

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
- The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
- Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 - Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 - Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 - Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 - Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
 - Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
 - Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 - Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 - Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
- This office concurs with the delineation report, dated, prepared by:
- This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated, prepared by (company):
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
- Corps' navigable waters' studies:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps: Schuylerville Quad
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
- U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey:
- National wetlands inventory maps:
- State/Local wetland inventory maps:
- FEMA/FIRM maps:
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (NGVD)
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
- Other photographs (Date):
- Advanced Identification Wetland maps:
- Site visit/determination conducted on:
- Applicable/supporting case law:
- Other information (please specify):

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Revised 8/13/04

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: NAN-2007-20-WBR

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Clinton
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat:44-47-51 lon:73-21-40
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 0.01 acres.
Name of nearest waterway: Lake Champlain
Name of watershed: Lake Champlain

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Completed: Desktop determination Date:
Site visit Date: January 18, 2006

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

Preliminary JD - Based on available information, *there appear to be* (or) *there appear to be no* "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).
Check all that apply:

There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.

Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

- (1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- (2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹.
- (3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):
- (i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- (ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- (iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- (4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.
- (5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.
- (6) The presence of territorial seas.
- (7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). *If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make adjacency determination:* Lake Champlain is a navigable waterbody.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: High Tide Line indicated by:
- clear, natural line impressed on the bank oil or scum line along shore objects
- the presence of litter and debris fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation | <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gages |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other: | |

- Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
 survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

- Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by:

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
 Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
 The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
 Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
 Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
 Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 This office concurs with the delineation report, dated May 2006, prepared by: TEC
 This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
 Corps' navigable waters' studies:
 U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps:
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey:
 National wetlands inventory maps:
 State/Local wetland inventory maps:
 FEMA/FIRM maps (Map Name & Date):
 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (NGVD)
 Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
 Other photographs (Date):
 Advanced Identification Wetland maps:
 Site visit/determination conducted on: January 18, 2006
 Applicable/supporting case law:
 Other information (please specify):

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Revised 8/13/04

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: NAN-2007-33-WDE

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Delaware
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat: 42.2377065 lon: -75.0556722
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 1 acre.
Name of nearest waterway: Feak Hollow
Name of watershed: East Brook

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Completed: Desktop determination [X] Date: December 14, 2006
Site visit(s) [] Date(s):

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

- [X] Preliminary JD - Based on available information, [X] there appear to be (or) [] there appear to be no "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site.
[] Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).
[] There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area.
[] There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area.
[] There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.
[] Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

- [] The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

- [] (1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
[] (2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands.
[] (3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):
[] (i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
[] (ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
[] (iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
[] (4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.
[X] (5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.
[] (6) The presence of territorial seas.
[] (7) The presence of wetlands adjacent to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document

the rationale used to make adjacency determination: Feak Hollow is a tributary to the East Brook. The East Brook discharges into the West Branch of the Delaware River, a navigable waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: High Tide Line indicated by:
- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> oil or scum line along shore objects |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation | <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gages |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other: | |

- Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
 survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

- Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by:

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
 Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
 The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
- Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 - Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 - Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 - Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 - Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
 - Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
 - Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 - Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 - Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 This office concurs with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
 Corps' navigable waters' studies: Listing for the Delaware River and its branches
 U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps: Walton East Quadrangle
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey:
 National wetlands inventory maps:
 State/Local wetland inventory maps:
 FEMA/FIRM maps (Map Name & Date):
 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (NGVD)
 Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
 Other photographs (Date): July 5, 2006
 Advanced Identification Wetland maps:
 Site visit/determination conducted on:

Applicable/supporting case law:

Other information (please specify): FEMA Project Worksheet Report

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Revised 8/13/04

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: NAN-2007-38-WDA

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Delaware
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat:41-56-50 lon:74-58-50
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: .17 acre.
Name of nearest waterway: Beaver Kill
Name of watershed: East Branch, Delaware River

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Completed: Desktop determination Date: January 5, 2007
Site visit(s) Date:

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

Preliminary JD - Based on available information, *there appear to be* (or) *there appear to be no* "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).
Check all that apply:

There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.

Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

(1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

(2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹.

(3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):

(i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

(ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

(iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

(4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.

(5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.

(6) The presence of territorial seas.

(7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). *If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make adjacency determination.* The Beaver Kill is a tributary to the Delaware River, a navigable waterbody.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: High Tide Line indicated by:
 clear, natural line impressed on the bank oil or scum line along shore objects
 the presence of litter and debris fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation | <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gages |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other: | |

- Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
 survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

- Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by:

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
 Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
 The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
 Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
 Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
 Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 This office concurs with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
 Corps' navigable waters' studies:
 U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps:
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey:
 National wetlands inventory maps:
 State/Local wetland inventory maps:
 FEMA/FIRM maps (Map Name & Date):
 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (NGVD)
 Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
 Other photographs (Date):
 Advanced Identification Wetland maps:
 Site visit/determination conducted on:
 Applicable/supporting case law:
 Other information (please specify):

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Revised 8/13/04

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: NAN-2007-44-WDE

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Montgomery
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat: 42.8329332 lon: -74.5368661
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 52 acres.
Name of nearest waterway: Unnamed Tributary
Name of watershed: Canajoharie Creek

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Completed: Desktop determination Date:
Site visit(s) Date(s): January 5, 2007

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

Preliminary JD - Based on available information, *there appear to be* (or) *there appear to be no* "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).
Check all that apply:

There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area:

There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area:

There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.

Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

(1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

(2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹.

(3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):

(i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

(ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

(iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

(4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.

(5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.

(6) The presence of territorial seas.

(7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). *If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document*

the rationale used to make adjacency determination: The wetlands on the site are adjacent to an unnamed tributary of Canajoharie Creek. The unnamed stream is located just outside of the project area. The Canajoharie Creek discharges into the Mohawk River/Erie Canal, a navigable waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: | <input type="checkbox"/> High Tide Line indicated by: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> oil or scum line along shore objects |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics |
| <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation | <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gages |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other: | |

- Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
 survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

- Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by: As identified by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers representative during the inspection conducted on January 5, 2007.

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
 Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
 The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
 Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
 Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
 Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 This office concurs with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
 Corps' navigable waters' studies: Listing for Mohawk River/Erie Canal
 U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps: Sharon Springs Quadrangle
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey: Montgomery County, Ilion Soils
 National wetlands inventory maps:
 State/Local wetland inventory maps:
 FEMA/FIRM maps (Map Name & Date):
 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (NGVD)
 Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
 Other photographs (Date): October 2006

Advanced Identification Wetland maps:

Site visit/determination conducted on: January 5, 2007

Applicable/supporting case law:

Other information (please specify):

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Revised 8/13/04

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: NAN-2007-46-WDE

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Delaware
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat: 42.0731 lon: -74.9974
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 3.5 acres.
Name of nearest waterway: East Branch of the Delaware River
Name of watershed: Delaware River

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Completed: Desktop determination Date: November 28, 2006
Site visit(s) Date(s):

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

Preliminary JD - Based on available information, *there appear to be* (or) *there appear to be no* "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).
Check all that apply:

There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.

Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

(1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

(2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹.

(3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):

(i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

(ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

(iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

(4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.

(5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.

(6) The presence of territorial seas.

(7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). *If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document*

the rationale used to make adjacency determination: The East Branch of the Delaware River is a navigable waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
 - the presence of litter and debris
 - changes in the character of soil
 - destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 - shelving
 - other:
- High Tide Line indicated by:
- oil or scum line along shore objects
 - fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
 - physical markings/characteristics
 - tidal gages
 - other:
- Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
- survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
- Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by:

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
- The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
 - Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 - Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 - Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 - Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 - Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
 - Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
 - Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 - Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 - Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 - This office concurs with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 - This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
- Corps' navigable waters' studies: Listing for Delaware River and its East and West Branches
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps: Downsview Quadrangle
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
- U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey:
- National wetlands inventory maps:
- State/Local wetland inventory maps:
- FEMA/FIRM maps (Map Name & Date): Community Panel Numbers 380191 0001-0050
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (NGVD)
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
- Other photographs (Date): January 4, 2007
- Advanced Identification Wetland maps:
- Site visit/determination conducted on:
- Applicable/supporting case law:

[X] Other information (please specify): FEMA Project Worksheet Report

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Revised 8/13/04

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: NAN-2007-59-WDE

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Greene
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat: 42.2788 lon: -74.0370
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 2.3 acres.
Name of nearest waterway: Unnamed Tributary
Name of watershed: Catskill Creek

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Completed: Desktop determination Date:
Site visit(s) Date(s): December 5, 2006

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

Preliminary JD - Based on available information, *there appear to be* (or) *there appear to be no* "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).
Check all that apply:

There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.

Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

- (1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- (2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹.
- (3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):
 - (i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
 - (ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
 - (iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- (4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.
- (5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.
- (6) The presence of territorial seas.
- (7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). *If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document*

the rationale used to make adjacency determination: The unnamed stream on the site is a tributary of Trout Brook. Trout Brook discharges into the Catskill Creek. The lower 2.5 miles of the Catskill Creek, near its confluence with the Hudson River, have been designated as navigable.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: | <input type="checkbox"/> High Tide Line indicated by: |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> oil or scum line along shore objects |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation | <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gages |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other: | |

- Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
 survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

- Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by:

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
 Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
 The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
 Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
 Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
 Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 This office concurs with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated , prepared by (company):
 Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
 Corps' navigable waters' studies: Listing for Catskill Creek
 U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps: Freehold Quadrangle
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey:
 National wetlands inventory maps:
 State/Local wetland inventory maps: Freehold Quadrangle
 FEMA/FIRM maps (Map Name & Date):
 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (NGVD)
 Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
 Other photographs (Date): November 21, 2006
 Advanced Identification Wetland maps:

Site visit/determination conducted on: December 5, 2006

Applicable/supporting case law:

Other information (please specify):

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Revised 8/13/04

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

DISTRICT OFFICE: NEW YORK DISTRICT (CENAN)
FILE NUMBER: NAN-2007-94-WSH

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Fulton
Center coordinates of site (latitude/longitude): lat: 43.01733 lon: -74.38398
Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 0.15 acres.
Name of nearest waterway: Hall Creek
Name of watershed: Mohawk, New York

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Completed: Desktop determination [X] Date: 1-25-07
Site visit(s) [] Date(s):

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

Preliminary JD - Based on available information, *there appear to be* (or) *there appear to be no* "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).

Check all that apply:

There are "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: .

There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area.

Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

(1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

(2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands¹.

(3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):

(i) which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

(ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

(iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

(4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the US.

(5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) - (4) above.

(6) The presence of territorial seas.

(7) The presence of wetlands adjacent² to other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). *If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make adjacency determination:* The water within Hall Creek flows into the Cayadutta Creek, which flows into the Mohawk River which is considered a navigable waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (Reference: 33 CFR parts 328 and 329)

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

High Tide Line indicated by:

clear, natural line impressed on the bank

oil or scum line along shore objects

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics |
| <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation | <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gages |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other: | |

- Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
 survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by: CT Male Associates

Basis For Not Asserting Jurisdiction:

- The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.
 Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).
 The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:
 Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.
 Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
 Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
 Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
 Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).
 Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.
 Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:
 Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:
 Other (explain):

DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant.
 This office concurs with the delineation report, dated January 15, 2007, prepared by: CT Male Associates
 This office does not concur with the delineation report, dated _____, prepared by (company):
 Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
 Corps' navigable waters' studies:
 U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic maps: Peck Lake Quad
 U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic quadrangles:
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey: Fulton County
 National wetlands inventory maps: Peck Lake Quad
 State/Local wetland inventory maps: Peck Lake Quad
 FEMA/FIRM maps: City of Johnstown, 7-18-83
 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (NGVD)
 Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
 Other photographs (Date):
 Advanced Identification Wetland maps:
 Site visit/determination conducted on:
 Applicable/supporting case law:
 Other information (please specify):

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

²The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.