

**C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground
Table A**

MRS Background Information

DIRECTIONS: Record the background information below for the MRS to be evaluated. Much of this information is available from Service and DoD databases. If the MRS is located on a FUDS property, the suitable FUDS property information should be substituted. In the MRS Summary, briefly describe the UXO, DMM, or MC that are known or suspected to be present, the exposure setting (the MRS's physical environment), any other incidental nonmunitions-related contaminants (e.g. benzene, trichlorethylene) found at the MRS, and any potentially exposed human and ecological receptors. If possible, include a map of the MRS.

Munitions Response Site Name: Southern Portion Proving Ground

Component: USACE FUDS/USACE FUDS/NAD/New England District (NAE)

Installation/Property Name: NJ29799F692400 Fort Hancock

Location (City, County, State): HIGHLANDS, MONMOUTH, NJ

Site Name/Project Name (Project No.): Southern Portion Proving Ground (05)

Date Information Entered/Updated: 3/22/2024

Point of Contact (Name/Phone): Public Affairs, 978-318-8238

Project Phase (check only one):

<input type="checkbox"/> PA	<input type="checkbox"/> SI	<input type="checkbox"/> RI	<input type="checkbox"/> RI/FS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RD
<input type="checkbox"/> RA-C	<input type="checkbox"/> RIP	<input type="checkbox"/> RA-O	<input type="checkbox"/> RC	<input type="checkbox"/> LTM

Media Evaluated (check all that apply):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Groundwater	<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment (human receptor)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface soil	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (ecological receptor)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment (ecological receptor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (human receptor)

MRS Summary:

MRS Description: Describe the munitions-related activities that occurred at the installation, the dates of operation, and the UXO, DMM, or MC known or suspected to be present. When possible, identify munitions, CWM, and MC by type:

The Fort Hancock Southern Portion Proving Ground was used by the U.S. Army from 1874 to 1918 for testing weapons and ordnance. Fort Hancock housed the Army's first official proving ground. This MRS consists of seven, noncontiguous portions of the down-range impact areas, south of the two firing batteries included in MRS 03. The seven portions were identified in the 2014 Remedial Investigation as MEC/MD Hazard Areas 1B, 2A, 3A, 3B, 4A, 5A, and 5B (Figs A-5-6, A-5-7, A-5-8, and A-5-9, RI Report). In total, the areas comprise 51 acres; 25 MD and 4 MEC items were found throughout 13 grids installed and intrusively investigated during the RI. The MEC items include: 5" AP HE projectile; 3" stokes mortar; 75 mm shrapnel round; and 4.5" British AP HE projectile, with base fuze (Secs 5.1.2-5.1.5, RI Report). No MC is known or suspected in the MRS. One biased incremental sample (IS) of soil (FHRI-02-SO-01) was collected following the blown-in-place (BIP) removal of one munitions/explosive constituent (MEC) item found in MRS 02 as part of the 2014 RI (C02NJ000403_03.10_0500_a). The portion of MRS 02 with the MEC item was redesignated as MRS 05 in the 2016 Amendment #1 to the RI report. The results of the IS are discussed in Section 6.2.3.9 of the 2014 RI report. Concentrations of arsenic, manganese, and thallium exceeded risk-based screening levels. Arsenic and manganese concentrations were below their respective State of New Jersey background levels, and thallium was below its average background concentration for Fort Hancock. Also per the approved work plan, no surface water or sediment samples were collected in the MRS during the RI (Secs 6.1.1 and 6.1.3, RI Report). Metals detected in soil samples collected during the SI in nearby areas were determined to represent background conditions (Secs 6.2.3.1, 6.2.3.2, and 6.3.3.3, RI Report). Five groundwater samples were collected during the RI to represent conditions across all MRSs. No explosives were detected and all metals reflected background conditions (Secs 4.2.3 and 5.3.3, RI Report). Based on the baseline risk assessment conducted during the RI, no unacceptable risk was found; the HHE module has been assigned an alternate rating of No Known or Suspected MC Hazard (Table 8-3, RI Report).

The Remedial Investigation Addendum #1, dated September 2016, realigned the MRS configurations again. MRS 5 was identified as the Southern Proving Ground and included areas 1B, 2A, 3A, 3B, R1 5A and 5B. The total acreage of the MRS was identified as 51 acres (Executive Summary).

The Record of Decision was finalized in September 2023. 46.2 acres of the MRS (areas 5B, 5E and 5G) had unacceptable risk and were identified for future remedial action. These acres remain as MRS 5. The remaining acres associated with areas 5A, C, D and F were broken out into a separate MRS/Project - Project 11. (ROD sections 1.3 and 1.4)

Both physical and historical evidence indicates that CWM was not present at this MRS (Secs 1.2.1 and 1.4.2, RI Report). Therefore, the CHE module has been assigned the alternative rating of No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard.

Stakeholder coordination of the MRSP evaluation occurred through the technical project planning process for the SI and RI. Documentation of stakeholder coordination can be found in FUDSDocs at C02NJ000403_01.22_0500. The MRSP scores were also provided in the RI Report and Addendums, which the stakeholders reviewed. Documentation of stakeholder coordination of the RI and Addendum can be found in FUDSDocs at C02NJ000403_03.01_0640_a. and C02NJ000403_03.01_0531_a. Public involvement on the input was offered during the SI (public notice at C02NJ000403_01.09_1004_a) and RI (public notice at C02NJ000403_08/01_1050a).

Throughout the MRSP, the reference to the "RI Report" refers to the "Final MMRP Remedial Investigation Report, Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study, Fort Hancock Formerly Used Defense Site, Monmouth County, New Jersey," dated January 2014, found on FUDSDocs under document sequence no. C02NJ000405_03.10_0500.

The "RI Report Addendum 1" dated September 2016, can be found on FUDSDocs under document sequence no. C02NJ000405_03.10_0502

The September 2023 Record of Decision can be found on FUDSDocs under document sequence no. C02NJ000405_05.09_0001

Description of Pathways for Human and Ecological Receptors:

The potential exposure media and associated exposure pathways for human receptors are: Soil: direct contact with surface soil (ingestion, dermal contact); inhalation via the soil-to-air pathway; and Groundwater: direct contact (ingestion,

	dermal contact). The potential exposure pathways for ecological receptors are: Soil: Direct contact; and Bioaccumulation into plants, soil invertebrates, and small mammals, and consumption of these food items. (Sections 6.2.1 and 6.3.1, RI Report).
Description of Receptors (Human and Ecological):	Based on the current land use, the following human receptors were identified: (1) Outdoor maintenance worker (represents a National Park Service [NPS] ranger who spends the majority of his/her time patrolling the area on foot); (2) Adult and child recreational user (represent members of the public who partake in recreational activities at Fort Hancock); and (3) NPS Archaeologist. Ecological receptors include three potentially-affected terrestrial avian communities (granivores, insectivores, and carnivores) are represented by the mourning dove (granivore), American woodcock (insectivore), red-tailed hawk (carnivore) and the great blue heron (piscivore). For terrestrial mammals, the representative species will be the meadow vole (herbivore), short-tailed shrew (insectivore), and red fox (carnivore)(see Sections 6.2.1.2 and 6.3.1 RI Report).

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground Table 1

EHE Module: Munitions Type Data Element Table

Directions: Below are 11 classifications of munitions and their descriptions. Check the scores that correspond with all the munitions types known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Notes: The terms practice munitions, small arms ammunition, physical evidence, and historical evidence are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Sensitive	*UXO that are considered most likely to function upon any interaction with exposed persons (e.g. submunitions, 40mm high-explosive [HE] grenades, white phosphorus [WP] munitions, high-explosive antitank [HEAT] munitions, and practice munitions with sensitive fuzes, but excluding all other practice munitions). *Hand grenades containing energetic filler. *Bulk primary explosives, or mixtures of these with environmental media, such that the mixture poses an explosive hazard.	<input type="checkbox"/> 30
High explosive (used or damaged)	*UXO containing a high-explosive filler (e.g., RDX, Composition B), that are not considered "sensitive." *DMM containing a high-explosive filler that have: *Been damaged by burning or detonation *Deteriorated to the point of instability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25
Pyrotechnic (used or damaged)	*UXO containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades). *DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades) that have: *Been damaged by burning or detonation *Deteriorated to the point of instability	<input type="checkbox"/> 20
High explosive (unused)	*DMM containing a high-explosive filler that: *Have not been damaged by burning or detonation *Deteriorated to the point of instability	<input type="checkbox"/> 15
Propellant	*UXO containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). *DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor) that are: *Damaged by burning or detonation *Deteriorated to the point of instability	<input type="checkbox"/> 15
Bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnics, or propellant	*DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). *DMM that are bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnic compositions, or propellant (not contained in a munition), or mixtures of these with environmental media such that the mixture poses an explosive hazard.	<input type="checkbox"/> 10
Pyrotechnic (not used or damaged)	*DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler (i.e., red phosphorus), other than white phosphorus filler, that: *Have not been damaged by burning or detonation *Are not deteriorated to the point of instability.	<input type="checkbox"/> 10
Practice	*UXO that are practice munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze. *DMM that are practice munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze and that have not: *Been damaged by burning or detonation *Deteriorated to the point of instability	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Riot control	*UXO or DMM containing a riot control agent filler (e.g., tear gas).	<input type="checkbox"/> 3
Small arms	*Used munitions or DMM that are categorized as small arms ammunition. (Physical evidence or historical evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades, subcaliber training rockets, demolition charges] were used or are present on the MRS is required for selection of this category.)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2
Evidence of no munitions	*Following investigation of the MRS, there is a physical evidence that there are no UXO or DMM present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present.	<input type="checkbox"/> 0
Munitions Type	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 30).	25

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Munitions Type classifications in the space provided.) MEC items found in MRS 05 during the RI included a 5 inch, APHE round with a base fuze, a 75 mm shrapnel round, a 3 inch Stokes mortar, and a 4.5 inch, Mark V British APHE round with a base fuze, (see Sections 5.1.2, 5.1.4, and 5.1.5 and Appendix C-2 of the RI Report; photos of the MEC items are in Appendix C-4).

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground
Table 2
EHE Module: Source of Hazard Data Element Table

Directions: Below are 11 classifications describing sources of explosive hazards. Check the scores that correspond with all the sources of explosive hazards known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Notes: The terms former range, practice munitions, small arms range, physical evidence, and historical evidence are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Former range	*The MRS is former military range where munitions (including practice munitions with sensitive fuzes) have been used. Such areas include impact or target areas and associated buffer and safety zones.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 10
Former munitions treatment (i.e., OB/OD) unit	*The MRS is a location where UXO or DMM (e.g., munitions, bulk explosives, bulk pyrotechnic, or bulk propellants) were burned or detonated for the purpose of treatment prior to disposal.	<input type="checkbox"/> 8
Former practice munitions range	*The MRS is a former military range on which only practice munitions without sensitive fuzes were used.	<input type="checkbox"/> 6
Former maneuver area	*The MRS is a former maneuver area where no munitions other than flares, simulators, smokes and blanks were used. There must be evidence that no other munitions were used at the location to place an MRS into this category.	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Former burial pit or other disposal area	*The MRS is a location where DMM were buried or disposed of (e.g., disposed of into a water body) without prior thermal treatment.	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Former industrial operating facilities	*The MRS is a location that is a former munitions maintenance, manufacturing, or demilitarization facility.	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Former firing points	*The MRS is a firing point, where the firing point is delineated as an MRS separate from the rest of a former military range.	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Former missile or air defense artillery emplacements	*The MRS is a former missile defense or air defense artillery (ADA) emplacement not associated with a military range.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2
Former storage or transfer points	*The MRS is a location where munitions were stored or handled for transfer between different modes of transportation (e.g., rail to truck, truck to weapon system).	<input type="checkbox"/> 2
Former small arms range	*The MRS is a former military range where only small arms ammunition was used. (There must be evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g. grenades] were used or are present to place an MRS into this category.)	<input type="checkbox"/> 1
Evidence of no munitions	*Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that no UXO or DMM are present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present.	<input type="checkbox"/> 0
Source of Hazard	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 10).	10

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Source of Hazard classifications in the space provided.) MRS 05 was part of the United States Army's first official proving ground for testing weapons and ordnance. Firing points and targets are as identified in the Ordnance History-Fort Hancock (1874-1919) (see Sections 1.2.2 and 1.3 of the RI Report).

**C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground
Table 3**

EHE Module: Location of Munitions Data Element Table

Directions: Below are eight classifications of munitions locations and their descriptions. Check the scores that correspond with all the locations where munitions are known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Notes: The terms confirmed, surface, subsurface, small arms ammunition, physical evidence, and historical evidence are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Confirmed surface	*Physical evidence indicates that there are UXO or DMM on the surface of the MRS. *Historical evidence (i.e., a confirmed report such as an explosive ordnance disposal [EOD], police, or fire department report that an incident or accident that involved UXO or DMM occurred) indicates there are UXO or DMM on the surface of the MRS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25
Confirmed subsurface, active	*Physical evidence indicates the presence of UXO or DMM in the subsurface of the MRS, and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought, flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, constructions, dredging) at the MRS are likely to expose UXO or DMM. *Historical evidence indicates that UXO or DMM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, construction, dredging) at the MRS are likely to expose UXO or DMM.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 20
Confirmed subsurface, stable	*Physical evidence indicates the presence of UXO or DMM in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed. *Historical evidence indicates that UXO or DMM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed.	<input type="checkbox"/> 15
Suspected (physical evidence)	*There is physical evidence (e.g., munitions debris such as fragments, penetrators, projectiles, shell casings, links, fins), other than the documented presence of UXO or DMM, indicating that UXO or DMM may be present at the MRS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 10
Suspected (historical evidence)	*There is historical evidence indicating that UXO or DMM may be present at the MRS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5
Subsurface, physical constraint	*There is physical or historical evidence indicating that UXO or DMM may be present in the subsurface, but there is a physical constraint (e.g., pavement, water depth over 120 feet) preventing direct access to the UXO or DMM.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2
Small arms (regardless of location)	*The presence of small arms ammunition is confirmed or suspected, regardless of other factors such as geological stability. (There must be evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades] were used or are present at the MRS to place an MRS into this category.)	<input type="checkbox"/> 1
Evidence of no munitions	*Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that there are no UXO or DMM present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present.	<input type="checkbox"/> 0
Location of Munitions	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 25).	25

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Location of Munitions classifications in the space provided.) A MEC item (5-inch APHE round) was found on the surface, and a 75mm shrapnel round, 3 inch Stokes mortar, and 4.5 inch, Mark V British APHE round with a base fuze were found in the subsurface in MRS 05 during the RI. In addition, 25 pieces of both intact and scrap/frag MD were found in the subsurface (see Section 5.1 and Appendix C-2 of the RI Report). This MRS is on the coast and is subject to flooding and significant erosion RI tables 3-1.

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground**Table 4****EHE Module: Ease of Access Data Element Table**

Directions: Below are four classifications of barrier types that can surround an MRS and their descriptions. The barrier type is directly related to the ease of public access to the MRS. Check the score that corresponds with the ease of access to the MRS

Notes: The term barrier is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
No barrier	*There is no barrier preventing access to any part of the MRS (i.e., all parts of the MRS are accessible.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 10
Barrier to MRS access is incomplete	*There is a barrier preventing access to parts of the MRS, but not the entire MRS.	<input type="checkbox"/> 8
Barrier to MRS access is complete but not monitored	*There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, but there is no surveillance (e.g., by a guard) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS.	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Barrier to MRS access is complete and monitored	*There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, and there is active, continual surveillance (e.g., by a guard, video monitoring) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS.	<input type="checkbox"/> 0
Ease of Access	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 10).	10

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Ease of Access classifications in the space provided.) The MRS is open to the public, upon entry into the Sandy Hook Unit of Gateway National Recreation Area (a national park). (see Section 1.2, 2.1.1, and 2.1.7 of the RI Report).

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground**Table 5****EHE Module: Status of Property Data Element Table**

Directions: Below are three classifications of the status of a property within the Department of Defense (DoD) and their descriptions. Check the score that corresponds with the status of property at the MRS.

Notes:

Classification	Description	Score
Non-DoD control	*The MRS is at a location that is no longer owned by, leased to, or otherwise possessed or used by DoD. Examples are privately owned land or water bodies; land or water bodies owned or controlled by state, tribal, or local governments; and land or water bodies managed by other federal agencies. *The MRS is at a location that is owned by DoD, but that DoD has leased to another entity and for which DoD does not control access 24 hours per day.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5
Scheduled for transfer from DoD control	*The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by DoD, and DoD plans to transfer that land or water body to the control of another entity (e.g., a state, tribal, or local government; a private party; another federal agency) within 3 years from the date the Protocol is applied.	<input type="checkbox"/> 3
DoD control	*The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by DoD. With respect to property that is leased or otherwise possessed, DoD must control access to the MRS 2 hours per day, every day of the calendar year.	<input type="checkbox"/> 0
Status of Property	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Status of Property classifications in the space provided.) The MRS is located on the Sandy Hook Peninsula of New Jersey. This peninsula, which encompasses approximately 1,700 acres, is known as the Sandy Hook Unit of the Gateway National Recreation Area and is a National Historic Landmark. The location of the MRS is currently managed by the Department of the Interior (NPS) (see Section 1.2 of the RI Report).

**C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground
Table 6**

EHE Module: Population Density Data Element Table

Directions: Below are three classifications for population density and their descriptions.

Determine the population density per square mile that most closely corresponds with the population of the MRS, including the area within a two-mile radius of the MRS's perimeter. Check the most appropriate score.

Notes: Use the U.S. Census Bureau tract data available to capture the highest population density within a two-mile radius of the perimeter of the MRS.

Classification	Description	Score
> 500 persons per square mile	*There are more than 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5
100-500 persons per square mile	*There are 100 to 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	<input type="checkbox"/> 3
< 100 persons per square mile	*There are fewer than 100 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	<input type="checkbox"/> 1
Population Density	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Population Density classifications in the space provided.) The 2020 population density of Monmouth County, NJ is 1,344.7 persons per square mile (www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/monmouthcountynewjersey/PST040223)

**C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground
Table 7**

EHE Module: Population Near Hazard Data Element Table

Directions: Below are six classifications describing the number of inhabited structures near the MRS. The number of inhabited buildings relates to the potential population near the MRS. Determine the number of inhabited structures within two miles of the MRS boundary and check the score that corresponds with the number of inhabited structures.

Notes: The term inhabited structures is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
26 or more inhabited structures	*There are 26 or more inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5
16 to 25 inhabited structures	*There are 16 to 25 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
11 to 15 inhabited structures	*There are 11 to 15 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	<input type="checkbox"/> 3
6 to 10 inhabited structures	*There are 6 to 10 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2
1 to 5 inhabited structures	*There are 1 to 5 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	<input type="checkbox"/> 1
0 inhabited structures	*There are no inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	<input type="checkbox"/> 0
Population Near Hazard	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Population Near Hazard classifications in the space provided.) Inhabited structures include NPS and USCG buildings, residences, a school and daycare facility, and beach houses for use by recreational visitors (see Section 2.1.7 of the RI Report; Google Earth was used to calculate the total number of inhabited structures within the two-mile radius for this MRS).

https://earth.google.com/web/search/sandy+hook,+nj/@40.44057761,-73.99722535,-3.00566518a,19733.33837669d,35y,-0h,0t,0r/data=CigiJgokCZqZpSRNUzxAEQ9FnkwtzxAGemY1oUHXVTAIcTui_PoXVTA

**C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground
Table 8**

EHE Module: Types of Activities/Structures Data Element Table

Directions: Below are five classifications of activities and/or inhabited structures and their descriptions. Review the types of activities that occur and/or structures that are present within two miles of the MRS and check the scores that correspond with all the activities/structure classifications at the MRS.

Notes: The term inhabited structure is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Residential, educational, commercial, or subsistence	*Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with any of the following purposes: residential, educational, child care, critical assets (e.g., hospitals, fire and rescue, police stations, dams), hotels, commercial, shopping centers, playgrounds, community gathering areas, religious sites, or sites used for subsistence hunting, fishing, and gathering.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5
Parks and recreational areas	*Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with parks, nature preserves, or other recreational uses.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Agricultural, forestry	*Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with agriculture or forestry.	<input type="checkbox"/> 3
Industrial or warehousing	*Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with industrial activities or warehousing.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2
No known or recurring activities	*There are no known or recurring activities occurring up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary.	<input type="checkbox"/> 1
Types of Activities/Structures	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Types of Activities/Structures classifications in the space provided.) Types of activities/structures within 2 miles include NPS and U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) buildings, residences, a school and daycare facility, and beach houses for use by recreational visitors. An active USCG Station is positioned on the northwest corner of the peninsula (approximately 68 acres) (see Section 2.1.7 of the RI Report).

**C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground
Table 9**

EHE Module: Ecological and/or Cultural Resources Data Element Table

Directions: Below are four classifications of ecological and/or cultural resources and their descriptions. Review the types of resources present and check the score that corresponds with the ecological and/or cultural resources present on the MRS.

Notes: The terms ecological resources and cultural resources are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Ecological and cultural resources present	*There are both ecological and cultural resources present on the MRS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5
Ecological resources present	*There are ecological resources present on the MRS.	<input type="checkbox"/> 3
Cultural resources present	*There are cultural resources present on the MRS.	<input type="checkbox"/> 3
No ecological or cultural resources present	*There are no ecological resources or cultural resources present on the MRS.	<input type="checkbox"/> 0
Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Ecological and/or Cultural Resources classifications in the space provided.) This MRS exhibits a diverse fauna that depend on a wide variety of habitats including forest, wetland, dune shrubland, dune grassland, and beach as well as intertidal marine habitats. Based on previous archaeological investigations, Fort Hancock includes archaeological artifacts, features and locations that are associated with the former military use of Fort Hancock. The Fort Hancock and Sandy Hook Proving Ground Historic District, which includes all of the Fort's structures, and the Sandy Hook Lighthouse are National Historic Landmarks (see Sections 1.2 and 2.1.8 of the RI Report).

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground

Table 10 EHE

Directions: 1. From Tables 1-9, record the data element scores in the Score boxes to the right. 2. Add the Score boxes for each of the three factors and record this number in the Value boxes to the right. 3. Add the three Value boxes and record this number in the EHE Module Total below. 4. Check the appropriate range for the EHE Module Total below. 5. Circle the EHE Module Rating that corresponds to the range selected and record this value in the EHE Module Rating box found at the bottom of the table.

Notes: An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.

	Source	Score	Value
Explosive Hazard Factor Data Elements			
Munitions Type	Table 1	25	35
Source of Hazard	Table 2	10	
Accessibility Factor Data Elements			
Location of Munitions	Table 3	25	40
Ease of Access	Table 4	10	
Status of Property	Table 5	5	
Receptor Factor Data Elements			
Population Density	Table 6	5	20
Population Near Hazard	Table 7	5	
Types of Activities/Structures	Table 8	5	
Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 9	5	
EHE Module Total			95
EHE Module Total	EHE Module Rating		
92 to 100	A		
82 to 91	B		
71 to 81	C		
60 to 70	D		
48 to 59	E		
38 to 47	F		
0 to 37	G		
Alternative Module Ratings	<input type="checkbox"/> Evaluation Pending		
	<input type="checkbox"/> No Longer Required		
	<input type="checkbox"/> No Known or Suspected Explosive Hazard		
EHE Module Rating	A		

EHE Module Description (4000 characters max):

**C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground
Table 11**

CHE Module: CWM Configuration Data Element Table

Directions: Below are seven classification of CWM configuration and their descriptions. Check the scores that correspond with all the CWM configurations known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Notes: The terms CWM/UXO, CWM/DMM, physical evidence, and historical evidence are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
CWM, that are either UXO, or explosively configured damaged DMM	The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: *CWM that are UXO (i.e., CWM/UXO) *Explosively configured CWM that are DMM (i.e., CWM/DMM) that have been damaged.	<input type="checkbox"/> 30
CWM mixed with UXO	*The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are undamaged CWM/DMM or CWM not configured as a munition that are commingled with conventional munitions that are UXO.	<input type="checkbox"/> 25
CWM, explosive configuration that are undamaged DMM	*The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are explosively configured CWM/DMM that have not been damaged.	<input type="checkbox"/> 20
CWM/DMM, not explosively configured or CWM, bulk container	The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: *Nonexplosively configured CWM/DMM either damaged or undamaged *Bulk CWM (e.g., ton container).	<input type="checkbox"/> 15
CAIS K941 and CAIS K942	*The CWM/DMM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are CAIS K941-toxic gas set M-1 or CAIS K942-toxic gas set M2/E11.	<input type="checkbox"/> 12
CAIS (chemical agent identification sets)	*CAIS, other than CAIS K941 and K942, are known or suspected of being present at the MRS.	<input type="checkbox"/> 10
Evidence of no CWM	*Following investigation, the physical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS, or the historical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0
CWM Configuration	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 30).	0

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the CWM Configuration classifications in the space provided.) Both physical and historical evidence indicates that CWM was not present at this MRS (see Sections 1.2.1 and 1.4.2 of the RI Report). Therefore, Tables 12 through 19 are intentionally omitted according to Army Guidance.

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground

Table 20

CHE

Directions: 1. From Tables 11-19, record the data element scores in the Score boxes to the right. 2. Add the Score boxes for each of the three factors and record this number in the Value boxes to the right. 3. Add the three Value boxes and record this number in the CHE Module Total box below. 4. Check the appropriate range for the CHE Module Total below. 5. Check the CHE Module Rating that corresponds to the range selected and record this value in the CHE Module Rating box found at the bottom of the table.

Notes: An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.

	Source	Score	Value
CWM Hazard Factor Data Elements			
CWM Configuration	Table 11	0	0
Sources of CWM	Table 12		
Accessibility Factor Data Elements			
Location of CWM	Table 13		0
Ease of Access	Table 14		
Status of Property	Table 15		
Receptor Factor Data Elements			
Population Density	Table 16		0
Population Near Hazard	Table 17		
Types of Activities/Structures	Table 18		
Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 19		
CHE Module Total			0
CHE Module Total	CHE Module Rating		
92 to 100	A		
82 to 91	B		
71 to 81	C		
60 to 70	D		
48 to 59	E		
38 to 47	F		
0 to 37	G		
Alternative Module Ratings	<input type="checkbox"/> Evaluation Pending		
	<input type="checkbox"/> No Longer Required		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard		
CHE Module Rating	No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard		

CHE Module Description (4000 characters max):

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground

Table 21

Groundwater

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maximum concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's groundwater and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional groundwater contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard present in the groundwater, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0
CHF > 100	H (High)	$\text{CHF} = \sum \frac{\text{[Maximum Concentration of Contaminant]}}{\text{[Comparison Value for Contaminant]}}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		

CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	
----------------------------------	---	--

Migratory Pathway Factor

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the groundwater is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure	<input type="checkbox"/> H
Potential	Contamination in the groundwater has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	<input type="checkbox"/> M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the groundwater to a potential point of exposure (possible due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	<input type="checkbox"/> L

MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	
---------------------------------	--	--

Receptor Factor

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to groundwater to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> H
Potential	Potential for receptors have access to groundwater to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to groundwater to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> L

RECEPTOR FACTOR	Check the value that corresponds most closely to the groundwater receptors at the MRS.	
------------------------	---	--

No Known or Suspected Groundwater MC Hazard ☒

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the ground water contaminants in the space provided.

Only arsenic and manganese were detected above screening levels, however, these detections are not indicative of munitions activities and would not impact the MRS Score (Year? RI Report, Secs 4.2.3 and 5.3.3

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground
Table 22
Surface Water - Human Endpoint

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maximum concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's surface water and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional surface water contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with human endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0
CHF > 100	H (High)	$\text{CHF} = \sum \frac{\text{[Maximum Concentration of Contaminant]}}{\text{[Comparison Value for Contaminant]}}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		

CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	
----------------------------------	---	--

Migratory Pathway Factor

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface water is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure	<input type="checkbox"/> H
Potential	Contamination in the surface water has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	<input type="checkbox"/> M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface water to a potential point of exposure (possible due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	<input type="checkbox"/> L

MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	
---------------------------------	--	--

Receptor Factor

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> H
Potential	Potential for receptors have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> L

RECEPTOR FACTOR	Check the value that corresponds most closely to the surface water receptors at the MRS.	
------------------------	---	--

No Known or Suspected Surface Water (Human Endpoint) MC Hazard ☐

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the surface water contaminants in the space provided.

Per the Final RI Work Plan, no surface water samples were collected in this MRS (see Section 4.2.2 of the RI Report). Media not evaluated.

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground
Table 23
Sediment - Human Endpoint

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maximum concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with human endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0
CHF > 100	H (High)	$\text{CHF} = \sum \frac{\text{[Maximum Concentration of Contaminant]}}{\text{[Comparison Value for Contaminant]}}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		

CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	
----------------------------------	---	--

Migratory Pathway Factor

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the sediment is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure	<input type="checkbox"/> H
Potential	Contamination in the sediment has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	<input type="checkbox"/> M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the sediment to a potential point of exposure (possible due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	<input type="checkbox"/> L

MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	
---------------------------------	--	--

Receptor Factor

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> H
Potential	Potential for receptors have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> L

RECEPTOR FACTOR	Check the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment receptors at the MRS.	
------------------------	--	--

No Known or Suspected Sediment (Human Endpoint) MC Hazard ☐

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the sediment contaminants in the space provided.

Media not evaluated. Per the Final RI Work Plan, no sediment samples were collected in this MRS (Section 4.2.2, RI Report).

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground
Table 24
Surface Water - Ecological Endpoint

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maximum concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's surface water and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional surface water contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0
CHF > 100	H (High)	$\text{CHF} = \sum \frac{\text{[Maximum Concentration of Contaminant]}}{\text{[Comparison Value for Contaminant]}}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		

CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	
----------------------------------	---	--

Migratory Pathway Factor

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface water is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure	<input type="checkbox"/> H
Potential	Contamination in the surface water has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	<input type="checkbox"/> M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface water to a potential point of exposure (possible due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	<input type="checkbox"/> L

MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	
---------------------------------	--	--

Receptor Factor

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> H
Potential	Potential for receptors have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> L

RECEPTOR FACTOR	Check the value that corresponds most closely to the surface water receptors at the MRS.	
------------------------	---	--

No Known or Suspected Surface Water (Ecological Endpoing) MC Hazard ☐

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the surface water contaminants in the space provided.

Media not evaluated. Per the Final RI Work Plan, no surface water samples were collected in this MRS (section 4.2.2, RI Report).

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground
Table 25
Sediment - Ecological Endpoint

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maximum concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0
CHF > 100	H (High)	$\text{CHF} = \sum \frac{\text{[Maximum Concentration of Contaminant]}}{\text{[Comparison Value for Contaminant]}}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		

CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	
----------------------------------	---	--

Migratory Pathway Factor

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the sediment is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure	<input type="checkbox"/> H
Potential	Contamination in the sediment has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	<input type="checkbox"/> M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the sediment to a potential point of exposure (possible due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	<input type="checkbox"/> L

MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	
---------------------------------	--	--

Receptor Factor

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> H
Potential	Potential for receptors have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> L

RECEPTOR FACTOR	Check the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment receptors at the MRS.	
------------------------	--	--

No Known or Suspected Sediment (Ecological Endpoint) MC Hazard ☐

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the sediment contaminants in the space provided.

Media not evaluated. Per the Final RI Work Plan, no sediment samples were collected in this MRS.(section 4.2.2, RI Report).

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground
Table 26
Surface Soil

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maximum concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's surface soil and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional surface soil contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard present in the surface soil, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios
Arsenic	6.50	34	0.19117647
Lead	41	400	0.1025
Mercury (methyl)	0.0270	7.80	0.00346154
Zinc	36	23000	0.00156522
Antimony	0.85	31	0.02741935
Copper	81.20	3100	0.02619355
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0.35231613
CHF > 100	H (High)	$\text{CHF} = \sum \frac{\text{[Maximum Concentration of Contaminant]}}{\text{[Comparison Value for Contaminant]}}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		

CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	L
----------------------------------	---	---

Migratory Pathway Factor

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface soil is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure	<input type="checkbox"/> H
Potential	Contamination in the surface soil has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface soil to a potential point of exposure (possible due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	<input type="checkbox"/> L

MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	M
---------------------------------	--	---

Receptor Factor

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> H
Potential	Potential for receptors have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> L

RECEPTOR FACTOR	Check the value that corresponds most closely to the surface soil receptors at the MRS.	M
------------------------	--	---

No Known or Suspected Surface Soil MC Hazard

☐

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the soil contaminants in the space provided.

No MC detected above background. One biased incremental sample (IS) of soil (FHRI-02-SO-01) was collected following the blown-in-place (BIP) removal of one munitions/explosive constituent (MEC) item found in MRS 02 as part of the 2014 RI (C02NJ000403_03.10_0500_a). The portion of MRS 02 with the MEC item was redesignated as MRS 05 in the 2016 Amendment #1 to the RI report. The results of the IS discussed in Section 6.2.3.9 of the 2014 RI report. The baseline risk assessment found no unacceptable risk from MC in soil and soil samples collected from areas surrounding MRS 05 had analytical results for metals reported at or below background. 2014 RI Section 8.1.2.

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground

Table 28

Determining the HHE Module Rating

Directions: 1. Record the letter values (H, M, L) for the Contaminant Hazard, Migration Pathway, and Receptor Factors for the media (from Tables 21-26) in the corresponding boxes below. 2. Record the media's three-letter combinations in the Three-Letter Combination boxes below (three-letter combinations are arranged from Hs to Ms to Ls). 3. Using the HHE Ratings provided below determine each media's rating (A-G) and record the letter in the corresponding Media Rating box below.

Media (Source)	Contaminant Hazard Factor Value	Migratory Pathway Factor Value	Receptor Factor Value	Three-Letter Combination (Hs-Ms-Ls)	Media Rating (A-G)	
Groundwater (Table 21)						
Surface Water - Human Endpoint (Table 22)						
Sediment - Human Endpoint (Table 23)						
Surface Water - Ecological Endpoint (Table 24)						
Sediment - Ecological Endpoint (Table 25)						
Surface Soil (Table 26)	L	M	M	LMM	E	
<p>DIRECTIONS (cont.): 4. Select the single highest Media Rating (A is highest; G is lowest) and enter the letter in the HHE Module Rating box.</p> <p>Notes: An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.</p>				HHE MODULE RATING		N
				HHE Ratings (for reference only)		
				Combination	Rating	
				HHH	A	
				HHM, HMH, MHH	B	
				HHL, HLH, LHH, HMM, MHM, MMH	C	
				HML, HLM, MHL, MLH, LHM, LMH, MML	D	
				HLL, LHL, LLH, MML, MLM, LMM	E	
				MLL, LML, LLM	F	
				LLL	G	
Alternative Module Ratings		<input type="checkbox"/> Evaluation Pending				
		<input type="checkbox"/> No Longer Required				
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Known or Suspected MC Hazard				

HHE Module Description (4000 characters max):

The baseline risk assessment concluded no unacceptable risk from MC in soil, and no MC was identified in groundwater because all reported concentrations of metals were at or below background concentrations

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 05 - MMRP - Southern Portion Proving Ground
Table 29
MRS Priority

In the chart below, circle the letter rating for each module recorded in Table 10 (EHE), Table 20 (CHE), and Table 28 (HHE). Check the corresponding numerical priority for each module. If information to determine the module rating is not available, choose the appropriate alternative module rating. The MRS Priority is the single highest priority, record this relative priority in the MRS Priority or Alternative MRS Rating at the bottom of the table.

An MRS assigned Priority 1 has the highest relative priority; an MRS assigned Priority 8 has the lowest relative priority. Only an MRS with CWM known or suspected to be present can be assigned Priority 1; an MRS that has CWM known or suspected to be present cannot be assigned Priority 8.

EHE Rating	Priority	CHE Rating	Priority	HHE Rating	Priority
		A	1		
A	2	B	2	A	2
B	3	C	3	B	3
C	4	D	4	C	4
D	5	E	5	D	5
E	6	F	6	E	6
F	7	G	7	F	7
G	8			G	8
Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending	
No Longer Required		No Longer Required		No Longer Required	
No Known or Suspected Explosive Hazard		No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard		No Known or Suspected MC Hazard	
MRS Priority or Alternative MRS Rating				2	