C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 07 - MMRP - Battery Complex & Other No Hazard Areas Table A

MRS Background Information

DIRECTIONS: Record the background information below for the MRS to be evaluated. Much of this information is available from Service and DoD databases. If the MRS is located on a FUDS property, the suitable FUDS property information should be substituted. In the MRS Summary, briefly describe the UXO, DMM, or MC that are known or suspected to be present, the exposure setting (the MRS's physical environment), any other incidental nonmunitions-related contaminants (e.g. benzene, trichlorethylene) found at the MRS, and any potentially exposed human and ecological receptors. If possible, include a map of the MRS.

Munitions Response Site Name: Battery Complex & Other No Hazard Areas

Component: USACE FUDS/USACE FUDS/NAD/New England District (NAE)

Installation/Property Name: NJ29799F692400 Fort Hancock

Location (City, County, State): HIGHLANDS, MONMOUTH, NJ

Site Name/Project Name (Project No.): Battery Complex & Other No Hazard Areas (07)

Date Information Entered/Updated: 3/22/2024

Point of Contact (Name/Phone): Public Affairs, 978-318-8238

Project Phase (check only one):

ПРА	□sı	RI	RI/FS	□RD
RA-C		RA-O	☑RC	

Media Evaluated (check all that apply):

Groundwater	Sediment (human receptor)
☑ Surface soil	Surface Water (ecological receptor)
Sediment (ecological receptor)	Surface Water (human receptor)

MRS Summary:

MRS Summary:	
MRS Description: Describe the munitions-related activities that occurred at the installation, the dates of operation, and the UXO, DMM, or MC known or suspected to be present.When possible, identify munitions, CWM, and MC by type:	Project/MRS 07 is approx. 774 acres and encompasses the majority of the eastern side of the Sandy Hook peninsula. The southern portion of the MRS was used from 1874 to 1918 as part of the Army's first proving ground used for testing weapons and ordnance. The northern portion was used for coastal defense; multiple firing batteries were placed, which faced the Atlantic Ocean. No MEC or MD was found on those portions investigated during the RI, and the Final Record of Decision concluded that there was no unacceptable risk for MRS 07. The remedy is no further action. (2023 ROD Section 1.3, 1.4.3 and 2.7.2) A rating of No Known or Suspected Hazard was assigned.
	Nike pond during the RI. No explosives were detected in the surface water samples, but several MC metals were detected above background concentrations. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene was detected in sediment, along with several metals above background. Five groundwater samples collected during the RI were used to represent conditions across all MRSs. No explosives were detected, and no metals were detected above background concentrations (Secs 4.2.3 and 5.3.3, RI Report). Because the human health and ecological risk assessments determined that no unacceptable risk is posed by surface water or sediment (Sections 6.2.3.3 and 6.2.3.8, RI Report), the HHE module has been assigned the rating of No Known or Suspected Hazard.
	Both physical and historical evidence indicates that CWM was not present at this MRS (Secs 1.2.1 and 1.4.2, RI Report). Therefore, the CHE module has been assigned the alternative rating of No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard. Stakeholder coordination of the MRSPP evaluation occurred through review of the RI Addendum #1.
	Stakeholder coordination of the MRSPP evaluation occurred through the technical project planning process for the RI. Documentation of stakeholder coordination can be found in FUDSDocs at C02NJ000403_01.22_0500. The MRSPP scores were also provided in the RI Report and Addendums, which the stakeholders reviewed. Documentation of stakeholder coordination of the RI and Addendums can be found in FUDSDocs at C02NJ000403_03.01_0640_a, C02NJ000403_03.01_0531_a and C02NJ000403_03.01_0558_a
	 Throughout the MRSPP: "RI Report" refers to the "Final MMRP Remedial Investigation Report, Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study, Fort Hancock Formerly Used Defense Site, Monmouth County, New Jersey," dated January 2014, on FUDSDocs at C02NJ000403_03.10_0500 and _0501. "RI Addendum #1" refers to the "Final Military Munitions Response Program, Remedial Investigation Addendum #1 Report," dated 2016, located on FUDSDocs at C02NJ000403_03.10_0502 "EOD, 2015" refers to an e-mail from EOD, Naval Weapons Station Earle, to USACE listing items found at Sandy Hook in 2010, 2011, and 2013, dated October 29, 2015 and located on FUDSDocs at C02NJ000407_01.01_0500.
Description of Pathways for Human and Ecological Receptors:	The potential exposure media and associated exposure pathways for human receptors are: Soil: direct contact with surface soil (ingestion, dermal contact); inhalation via the soil-to-air pathway; Groundwater: direct contact (ingestion, dermal contact). The potential exposure pathways for ecological receptors are: Soil: Direct contact; and Bioaccumulation into plants, soil invertebrates, and small mammals, and consumption of these food items. Potential for contact with MEC includes walking over surface MEC, handling/collecting MEC, or contact with subsurface MEC due to any intrusive activities (Sections 6.2.1 and 6.3.1, RI Report).
Description of Receptors (Human and Ecological):	Based on the current land use, the following human receptors were identified: Outdoor maintenance worker (represents a National Park Service [NPS] ranger who spends the majority of his/her time patrolling the area on foot); Adult and child recreational user (represent members of the public who partake in recreational activities at Fort Hancock); and NPS Archaeologist. Ecological receptors include three potentially-affected terrestrial avian communities (granivores, insectivores, and carnivores) are represented by the mourning dove (granivore), American woodcock (insectivore), red-tailed hawk (carnivore) and the great blue heron (piscivore). For terrestrial mammals, the representative species will be the meadow vole (herbivore), short-tailed shrew (insectivore), and red fox (carnivore) (Sections 6.2.1.2 and 6.3.1, RI Report).

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 07 - MMRP - Battery Complex & Other No Hazard Areas Table 1

EHE Module: Munitions Type Data Element Table

Directions: Below are 11 classifications of munitions and their descriptions. Check the scores that correspond with all the munitions types known or suspected to be present at the MRS. Notes: The terms practice munitions, small arms ammunition, physical evidence, and historical evidence are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Sensitive	*UXO that are considered most likely to function upon any interaction with exposed persons (e.g. submunitions, 40mm high-explosive [HE] grenades, white phosphorus [WP] munitions, high-explosive antitank [HEAT] munitions, and practice munitions with sensitive fuzes, but excluding all other practice munitions). *Hand grenades containing energetic filler. *Bulk primary explosives, or mixtrues of these with environmental media, such that the mixture poses an explosive hazard.	30
High explosive (used or damaged)	*UXO containing a high-explosive filler (e.g., RDX, Composition B), that are not considered "sensitive." *DMM containing a high- explosive filler that have: *Been damaged by burning or detonation *Deteriorated to the point of instability	25
Pyrotechnic (used or damaged)	*UXO containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades). *DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades) that have: *Been damaged by burning or detonation *Deteriorated to the point of instability	20
High explosive (unused)	*DMM containing a high-explosirve filler that: *Have not been damaged by burning or detonation *Deteriorated to the point of instability	15
Propellant	*UXO containing mostly singe-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). *DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor) that are: *Damaged by burning or detonation *Deteriorated to the point of instability	15
Bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnics, or propellent	*DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). *DMM that are bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnic compositions, or propellant (not contained in a munition), or mixtures of these with environmental media such that the mixture poses an explosive hazard.	10
Pyrotechnic (not used or damaged)	*DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler (i.e., red phosphorus), other than white phosphorus filler, that: *Have not been damaged by burning or detonation *Are not deteriorated to the point of instability.	10
Practice	*UXO that are practce munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze. *DMM that are practice munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze and that have not: *Been damaged by burning or detonation *Deteriorated to the point of instability	D 5
Riot control	*UXO or DMM containing a riot control agent filler (e.g., tear gas).	 3
Small arms	*Used munitions or DMM that are categorized as small arms ammunition. (Physical evidence or historical evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades, subcaliber training rockets, demolition charges] were used or are present on the MRS is required for selection of this category.)	2
Evidence of no munitions	*Following investigation of the MRS, there is a physical evidence that there are no UXO or DMM present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present.	Ø
Munitions Type	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 30).	0
provided.) No MEC or I	ent any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Munitions Type classification MC was found in the MRS. (Sec 1.3, RI Report and Sec 6.2, RI Addendum # d in accordance with Army guidance.	ns in the space 1). Tables 2-9

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 07 - MMRP - Battery Complex & Other No Hazard Areas Table 10 EHE

Directions: 1. From Tables 1-9,		Source	Score	Value
record the data element scores in the Score boxes to the right. 2.	Explosive Hazard Factor Data Elements			
Add the Score boxes for each of	Munitions Type	Table 1	0	
the three factors and record this number in the Value boxes to the	Source of Hazard	Table 2		0
right. 3. Add the three Value boxes and record this number in	Accessibility Factor Data E	lements		
the EHE Module Total below. 4.	Location of Munitions	Table 3		
Check the appropriate range for the EHE Module Total below. 5.	Ease of Access	Table 4		0
Circle the EHE Module Rating that	Status of Property	Table 5		
corresponds to the range selected and record this value in the EHE	Receptor Factor Data Element	ents		
Module Rating box found at the bottom of the table.	Population Density	Table 6		
	Population Near Hazard	Table 7		
Notes: An alternative module rating may be assigned when a	Types of	Table 8		0
module letter rating is in appropriate. An alternative	Activities/Structures			Ū
module rating is used when more information is needed to score	Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 9		
one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was		EHE Mod	ule Total	0
previously addressed, or there is	EHE Module Total EHE Module Rating			
no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at	92 to 100	A		
an MRS.	82 to 91	В		
	71 to 81	(2	
	60 to 70	Γ)	
	48 to 59	E		
	38 to 47	F	=	
	0 to 37	(3	
		Evaulation Pending		
	Alternative Module Ratings	No Longer Required		
		No Known or Suspect Explosive Hazard		ed
	EHE Module Rating	No Known or Sus Haz	spected Ex	plosive

EHE Module Description (4000 characters max):

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 07 - MMRP - Battery Complex & Other No Hazard Areas Table 11

CHE Module: CWM Configuration Data Element Table

Directions: Below are seven classification of CWM configuration and their descriptions. Check the scores that correspond with all the CWM configurations known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Notes: The terms CWM/UXO, CWM/DMM, physical evidence, and historical evidence are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
CWM, that are either UXO, or explosively configured damaged DMM	The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: *CWM that are UXO (i.e., CWM/UXO) *Explosively configured CWM that are DMM (i.e., CWM/DMM) that have been damaged.	30
CWM mixed with UXO	*The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are undamaged CWM/DMM or CWM not configured as a munition that are commingled with conventional munitions that are UXO.	25
CWM, explosive configuration that are undamaged DMM	*The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are explosively configured CWM/DMM that have not been damaged.	20
CWM/DMM, not explosively configured or CWM, bulk container	The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: *Nonexplosively configured CWM/DMM either damaged or undamabed *Bulk CWM (e.g., ton container).	15
CAIS K941 and CAIS K942	*The CWM/DMM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are CAIS K941-toxic gas set M-1 or CAIS K942-toxic gas set M2/E11.	12
CAIS (chemical agent identification sets)	*CAIS, other than CAIS K941 and K942, are known or suspected of being present at the MRS.	10
Evidence of no CWM	*Following investigation, the physical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS, or the historical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS.	V 0
CWM Configuration	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 30).	0

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the CWM Configuration classifications in the space provided.) Both physical and historical evidence indicates that CWM was not present at this MRS (see Sections 1.2.1 and 1.4.2 of the RI Report). Tables 12-19 are intentionally omitted in accordance with Army guidance.

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 07 - MMRP - Battery Complex & Other No Hazard Areas Table 20 CHE

Directions: 1. From Tables 11-19,		Source	Score	Value
record the data element scores in the Score boxes to the right. 2.	CWM Hazard Factor Data E	lements		
Add the Score boxes for each of the three factors and record this number in the Value boxes to the	CWM Configuration	Table 11	0	0
	Sources of CWM	Table 12		0
right. 3. Add the three Value boxes and record this number in	Accessibility Factor Data E	lements		
the CHE Module Total box below.	Location of CWM	Table 13		
4. Check the appropriate range for the CHE Module Total below. 5.	Ease of Access	Table 14		0
Check the CHE Module Rating	Status of Property	Table 15		
that corresponds to the range selected and record this value in	Receptor Factor Data Elem	ents		
the CHE Module Rating box found at the bottom of the table.	Population Density	Table 16		
	Population Near Hazard	Table 17		
Notes: An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is	Types of Activities/Structures	Table 18		0
nappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score	Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 19		
one or more data elements,	CHE Module Total 0			
contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is	CHE Module Total	CHE Module Rating		
no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at	92 to 100	ŀ	4	
an MRS.	82 to 91	В		
	71 to 81	(2	
	60 to 70	[)	
	48 to 59	E		
	38 to 47	F	=	
	0 to 37	G		
		Evaulation Pending		
	Alternative Module Ratings	No Longer	Required	
	Alternative module Matings	No Known Hazard		ed CWM
	CHE Module Rating	No Known or Susp	ected CWN	/ Hazaro

CHE Module Description (4000 characters max):

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 07 - MMRP - Battery Complex & Other No Hazard Areas Table 21 Groundwater

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maxium concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's groundwater and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maxium concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional groundwater contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard present in the groundwater, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0
CHF > 100	H (High)	[Maximum Concentr	ation of Contaminant]
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	CHF = <u> </u>	
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Valu	e for Contaminant]
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHI to the right (maximum value =	 Value from above in the box H). 	
	Migratory Pa	thway Factor	
Classification	Descr	iption	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evide the groundwater is present at, mov point of exposure	ence indicates that contamination in in ing toward, or has moved to a	Пн
Potential	Contamination in the groundwater has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.		Шм
Confined	Information indicates a low potentia the source via the groundwater to a (possible due to the presence of ge controls).	a potential point of exposure	
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the sing in the box to the right (maxim	gle highest value from above um value = H).	
	Recepto	or Factor	
Classification	Descr	iption	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to contamination has moved or can m	groundwater to which nove.	Пн
Potential	Potential for receptors have access contamination has moved or can m	s to groundwater to which nove.	Шм
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to which contamination has moved or	b have access to groundwater to can move.	
RECEPTOR FACTOR	Check the value that correspondent of the second se	onds most closely to the MRS.	

No Known or Suspected Groundwater MC Hazard

 \checkmark

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the ground water contaminants in the space provided.

No explosives compounds were found above the detection limit in any sample. Only Arsenic and manganese concentrations were found at levels higher than the screening level. However, arsenic appears to be consistent with NJ background concentrations and the highest manganese concentration was from the untreated raw water of the potable drinking water well. (Secs 4.2.3 and 5.3.3, RI Report). Detections are not indicative of munitions activities and would not impact the MRS Score.

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 07 - MMRP - Battery Complex & Other No Hazard Areas Table 22 Surface Water - Human Endpoint

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maxium concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's surface water and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional surface water contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with human endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios
	(µg/L)		
Arsenic	2.80	4.50	0.6222
Iron	777	11000	0.07063636
Lead	6.60	15	0.44
Thallium	1.30	0.16	8.1250
Antimony	0.61	15	0.0407
Copper	18	620	0.02903226
Manganese	37.30	1700	0.0219
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	9.34946862
CHF > 100	H (High)	-	ation of Contaminant
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	$CHF = \sum$	
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Valu	e for Contaminant]
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHI to the right (maximum value =	F Value from above in the box = H).	М
		thway Factor	
Classification	Desci	ription	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evide the surface water is present at, mo point of exposure	ence indicates that contamination in wing toward, or has moved to a	Пн
Potential	Contamination in the surface water the source (i.e., tens of feet), could appreciably, or information is not s Evident or Confined.	r has moved only slightly beyond I move but is not moving ufficient to make a determination of	М
Confined	Information indicates a low potentia the source via the surface water to (possible due to the presence of ge controls).	a potential point of exposure	ΩL
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the sing in the box to the right (maxim	gle highest value from above hum value = H).	М
	Recepto	or Factor	
Classification	Desci	ription	Value
dentified	Identified receptors have access to contamination has moved or can m		Пн
Potential	Potential for receptors have access contamination has moved or can m		М
_imited	Little or no potential for receptors to which contamination has moved or		ΩL
RECEPTOR FACTOR	Check the value that correspondent surface water receptors at the	onds most closely to the e MRS.	М
		Human Endpoint) MC Hazard	
		ed in selecting the surface water	contaminants in th

space provided. Surface water sample results are summarized in Tables 2.12 of Appendix G-1, RI Report.

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 07 - MMRP - Battery Complex & Other No Hazard Areas Table 23 Sediment - Human Endpoint

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maxium concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with human endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.

	1		
Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.16	18	0.00888889
Arsenic	7.70	22	0.35
Iron	14600	23000	0.6348
Lead	286	400	0.7150
Total Chromium (1:6 ratio Cr VI:Cr III)	34.60	1600	0.021625
Antimony	2.20	31	0.07096774
Copper	41.20	3100	0.01329032
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	1.81457195
CHF > 100	H (High)	[Maximum Concentr	ation of Contaminant]
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	$CHF = \sum_{i=1}^{n}$	
2 > CHF	L (Low)		e for Contaminant]
CONTAMINANT		Value from above in the box H).	L
	-	thway Factor	
Classification	Descr	iption	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evide the sediment is present at, moving exposure	nce indicates that contamination in toward, or has moved to a point of	Пн
Potential	Contamination in the sediment has source (i.e., tens of feet), could mo or information is not sufficient to ma Confined.	ve but is not moving appreciably,	⊿м
Confined	Information indicates a low potentia the source via the sediment to a po due to the presence of geological s	tential point of exposure (possible	
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the sing in the box to the right (maxim	gle highest value from above um value = H).	Μ
	Recepto	or Factor	
Classification	Descr	iption	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to has moved or can move.	sediment to which contamination	Пн
Potential	Potential for receptors have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.		✓м
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.		
RECEPTOR FACTOR	Check the value that correspondent sediment receptors at the MR		М
No Kn	own or Suspected Sediment (I	Human Endpoint) MC Hazard	
DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the sediment contaminants in the space provided. Sediment sample results are summarized in Table 2.11 of Appendix G-1, RI Report.			

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 07 - MMRP - Battery Complex & Other No Hazard Areas Table 24 Surface Water - Ecological Endpoint

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maxium concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's surface water and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional surface water contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios
Lead	6.60	2.50	2.64
Thallium	1.30	0.80	1.6250
Antimony	0.61	30	0.02033333
Arsenic	2.80	150	0.01866667
Manganese	37.30	120	0.31083333
Copper	18	9	2
Iron	777	1000	0.7770
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	7.39183333
CHF > 100	H (High)	[Maximum Concentr	ation of Contaminant
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	□ CHF = ∑	
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Valu	e for Contaminant]
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR		F Value from above in the box = H).	М
	Migratory Pa	athway Factor	
Classification	Desc	ription	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evide the surface water is present at, mo point of exposure	ence indicates that contamination in oving toward, or has moved to a	□н
Potential	Contamination in the surface water has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.		⊠м
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface water to a potential point of exposure (possible due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).		ΩL
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the sin in the box to the right (maxim	gle highest value from above num value = H).	М
	Recepto	or Factor	
Classification	Desc	ription	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to contamination has moved or can n		Пн
Potential	Potential for receptors have acces contamination has moved or can n	s to surface water to which nove.	⊻м
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors t which contamination has moved or	o have access to surface water to r can move.	
RECEPTOR FACTOR	Check the value that correspondent surface water receptors at the		М
No Known or	Suspected Surface Water (Ecc		
DIRECTIONS: Doci space provided.	ument any MRS - specific data us	sed in selecting the surface water	

Surface water sample results are summarized in Tables 2.12 of Appendix G-1, RI Report.

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 07 - MMRP - Battery Complex & Other No Hazard Areas Table 25 Sediment - Ecological Endpoint

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maxium concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.

coological		The select the box at the bottom of	
Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios
Arsenic	7.70	9.80	0.7857
Mercury	0.34	0.18	1.8889
Selenium	2.50	2	1.25
Iron	14600	20000	0.73
Lead	286	35.80	7.9888
Antimony	2.20	2	1.10
Copper	41.20	31.60	1.3038
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.16	0.0398	4.0201
Total Chromium (1:6 ratio Cr VI:Cr III)	34.60	43.40	0.7972
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	19.8645
CHF > 100	H (High)		ation of Contaminant]
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	CHF = 2	-
2 > CHF	L (Low)		e for Contaminant]
CONTAMINANT		F Value from above in the box	M
INALAND FACTOR	-	thway Factor	
Classification		ription	Value
Oldoomodion		ence indicates that contamination in	Taido
Evident	the sediment is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure		Пн
Potential	Contamination in the sediment has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.		∕и
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the sediment to a potential point of exposure (possible due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).		
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the sing in the box to the right (maxim	gle highest value from above um value = H).	М
	Recepto	or Factor	
Classification	Desci	ription	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to has moved or can move.	sediment to which contamination	Ωн
Potential	Potential for receptors have access contamination has moved or can m		М
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to which contamination has moved or		ΩL
RECEPTOR FACTOR	Check the value that correspondent sediment receptors at the MR		М
No Know	n or Suspected Sediment (Eco	ological Endpoint) MC Hazard	
		ed in selecting the sediment con	taminants in the

Sediment sample results are summarized in Table 2.11 of Appendix G-1, RI Report.

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 07 - MMRP - Battery Complex & Other No Hazard Areas Table 26 Surface Soil

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maxium concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's surface soil and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional surface soil contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard present in the surface soil, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios	
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0	
CHF > 100	H (High)	-	ation of Contaminant]	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	$CHF = \sum $		
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Valu	e for Contaminant]	
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF to the right (maximum value =			
	Migratory Pa	thway Factor		
Classification	Descr	Value		
Evident	Analytical data or observable evide the surface soil is present at, movir of exposure	Пн		
Potential	Contamination in the surface soil has source (i.e., tens of feet), could mo or information is not sufficient to ma Confined.	Шм		
Confined	Information indicates a low potentia the source via the surface soil to a (possible due to the presence of ge controls).			
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the sing in the box to the right (maxim	gle highest value from above um value = H).		
	Recepto	or Factor		
Classification	Descr	iption	Value	
Identified	Identified receptors have access to contamination has moved or can m	Пн		
Potential	Potential for receptors have access contamination has moved or can m	Шм		
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to which contamination has moved or			
RECEPTOR FACTOR	Check the value that correspondent solution of the second se	onds most closely to the /IRS.		

No Known or Suspected Surface Soil MC Hazard

 \checkmark

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the soil contaminants in the space provided.

No explosives compounds were detected in surface soil samples, and metals were found to be at or below background concentrations (Secs 6.2.3.1-5, RI Report). Detections are not indicative of munitions activities, and would not impact the MRS Score.

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 07 - MMRP - Battery Complex & Other No Hazard Areas Table 28 Determining the HHE Module Rating

Directions: 1. Record the letter values (H, M, L) for the Contaminant Hazard, Migration Pathway, and Receptor Factors for the media (from Tables 21-26) in the corresponding boxes below. 2. Record the media's three-letter combinations in the Three-Letter Combination boxes below (three-letter combinations are arranged from Hs to Ms to Ls). 3. Using the HHE Ratings provided below determine each media's rating (A-G) and record the letter in the corresponding Media Rating box below.

			Rating box b	below.	
Media (Source)	Contamina ntHazard Factor Value	Migratory Pathway Factor Value	Receptor Factor Value	Three- Letter Combinatio n (Hs-Ms- Ls)	Media Rating (A-G)
Groundwater (Table 21)					
Surface Water - Human Endpoint (Table 22)	М	М	М	MMM	D
Sediment - Human Endpoint (Table 23)	L	М	М	LMM	Е
Surface Water - Ecological Endpoint (Table 24)	М	М	М	MMM	D
Sediment - Ecological Endpoint (Table 25)	М	М	М	MMM	D
Surface Soil (Table 26)					
DIRECTIONS (cont.): 4. Select the single		HHE M	ODULE RATING	D D	
highest Media Ra lowest) and enter	ting (A is highe the letter in the	est; G is e HHE Module	HHE Ratings (for		or reference only)
Rating box.		Combination		Rating	
Notes: An alterna	tive module rat	ting may be	ННН		A
assigned when a	module letter r	ating is	HHM,HMH,MHH		В
inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect				I,HMM,MHM,MMH	
			HML,HLM,MHL,MLH,LHM,LMH,M MM		1 D
			HLL,LHL,LLH,MML,MLM,LMM		E
contamination was ever present at an MRS.		MLL,LML,LLM		F	
			LLL		G
			Alternative Module Ratings		Evaluation Pending
					No Longer Required
					No Known or Suspected MC Hazard
HHE Module Description (4000 characters max):					

HHE Module Description (4000 characters max):

Because the human health and ecological risk assessments determined that no unacceptable risk is posed by surface water or sediment (Sections 6.2.3.3 and 6.2.3.8, RI Report), the HHE module has been assigned the rating of No Known or Suspected Hazard.

C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 07 - MMRP - Battery Complex & Other No Hazard Areas Table 29 **MRS** Priority

In the chart below, circle the letter rating for each module recorded in Table 10 (EHE), Table 20 (CHE), and Table 28 (HHE). Check the corresponding numerical priority for each module. If information to determine the module rating is not available, choose the appropriate alternative module rating. The MRS Priority is the single highest priority, record this relative priority in the MRS Priority or Alternative MRS Rating at the bottom of the table.

An MRS assigned Priority 1 has the highest relative priority; an MRS assigned Priority 8 has the lowest relative priority. Only an MRS with CWM known or suspected to be present can be assigned Priority 1; an MRS that has CWM known or suspected to be present cannot be assigned Driority 8

Priority

	F HOIRY O.					
Priority	CHE Rating	Priority	HHE Rating			
	А	1				
2	В	2	А			
3	C	3	В			

EHE Rating

		A	1		
A	2	В	2	А	2
В	3	С	3	В	3
С	4	D	4	С	4
D	5	Е	5	D	5
E	6	F	6	E	6
F	7	G	7	F	7
G	8			G	8
Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending	
No Longer Required		No Longer Required		No Longer Required	
No Known or Suspected Explosive Hazard		No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard		No Known or Suspected MC Hazard	
MRS Priority or Alternative MRS Rating				5	