### C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table A

**MRS Background Information** 

DIRECTIONS: Record the background information below for the MRS to be evaluated. Much of this information is available from Service and DoD databases. If the MRS is located on a FUDS property, the suitable FUDS property information should be substituted. In the MRS Summary, briefly describe the UXO, DMM, or MC that are known or suspected to be present, the exposure setting (the MRS's physical environment), any other incidental nonmunitions-related contaminants (e.g. benzene, trichlorethylene) found at the MRS, and any potentially exposed human and ecological receptors. If possible, include a map of the MRS.

Componer Installation Location (0	Munitions Response Site Name: Eastern Shoreline Component: USACE FUDS/USACE FUDS/NAD/New England District (NAE) nstallation/Property Name: NJ29799F692400 Fort Hancock Location (City, County, State): HIGHLANDS, MONMOUTH, NJ						
Site Name	/Project Name (P	roject No.): Easte	ern Shoreline	(10)			
	mation Entered/Uր ontact (Name/Pho			238			
	Project Phase (ch	eck only one):					
	□РА	□sı	□RI		☐RI/FS	☑RD	
	□RA-C	□RIP	□RA-O		□RC	□LTM	
Media Evaluated (check all that apply):							
✓	☑ Groundwater ☐ Sediment (human receptor)						
☑ Surface soil					Surface Wate	er (ecological red	ceptor)
	Sediment (ecolo	ogical receptor)			Surface Wate	er (human recep	tor)

#### MRS Summary:

MRS Description: Describe the munitions-related activities that occurred at the installation, the dates of operation, and the UXO, DMM, or MC known or suspected to be present. When possible, identify munitions, CWM, and MC by type:

Project/MRS 10 was created from MRS 07 dynamic shoreline acreage as part of RI Áddendum #3. It was developed to address munitions that have historically been found on the beaches after storm events. It is 179 acres encompassing the beach and surf zone on the eastern side of the Sandy Hook peninsula, where MEC washes onto the shore after large storm events in the Atlantic Ocean. In part, the MRS encompasses portions of the former proving ground that have eroded into the ocean. Although none were found during the 2014 RI, munitions historically found on the beaches have been investigated by Explosives Ordnance Disposal (EOD) units. Items that have washed up on the Atlantic beaches since 2010 include: 3.5-inch, 6-inch, and 8-inch projectiles, Marine flare, Mk-25 Marine Marker, and 5-inch AP projectile. These items were identified as live and blown in place by EOD units from Naval Weapons Station Earle. The MRS extends to the northernmost end of the Sandy Hook peninsula and to the southernmost boundary of the national recreation area. Portions of the southern part of the MRS were used from 1874 to 1918 as part of the Army's first proving ground for testing weapons and ordnance. Portions of the northern part were used for coastal defense; multiple firing batteries facing the Atlantic Ocean were just west of the shoreline MRS. Munitions items historically wash up on the beaches after significant storm events, and there is a risk to users of the Gateway National Recreation Area including fishermen, beachgoers, and hikers. In addition, ongoing erosion and shifting sand dunes in this dynamic environment could expose potential subsurface MEC in the portions of the MRS that were not investigated during the RI (i.e., the northern portions). Potential munitions include any UXO that was fired both in the proving ground as well as at off-shore targets in the Atlantic Ocean and may wash ashore during storm events.

No soil, surface water, or groundwater samples were collected directly from the shoreline area, but many MC samples were collected from various MRS locations near MRS 10 during the RI. No explosives were detected in surface water samples collected from nearby Nike Pond, but several MC metals were detected above background concentrations. No explosives compounds were detected in surface soil samples. Metals were found to be at or below background concentrations (Secs 6.2.3.1-5, RI Report). Five groundwater samples collected during the RI were used to represent conditions across all MRSs. No explosives were detected, and no metals were detected above background concentrations (Secs 4.2.3 and 5.3.3, RI Report). Because the human health and ecological risk assessments determined that no unacceptable risk is posed by any media (Sections 6.2.3.3 and 6.2.3.8, RI Report), the HHE module has been assigned an overall rating of No Known or Suspected Hazard.

Both physical and historical evidence indicates that CWM was not present at this MRS (Secs 1.2.1 and 1.4.2, RI Report). Therefore, the CHE module has been assigned the alternative rating of No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard.

The overall Priority Rating for MRS 10 is '3', based on the EHE module.

Stakeholder coordination of the MRSPP evaluation occurred through the technical project planning process for the RI. Documentation of stakeholder coordination can be found in FUDSDocs at C02NJ000403\_01.22\_0500. The MRSPP scores were also provided in the RI Report and Addendums, which the stakeholders reviewed. Documentation of stakeholder coordination of the RI and Addendums can be found in FUDSDocs at C02NJ000403\_03.01\_0640\_a, C02NJ000403\_03.01\_0531\_a, C02NJ000403\_03.01\_0558\_a and C02Nj000403\_03\_01\_0574.

#### Throughout this MRSPP:

- "RI Report" refers to the "Final MMRP Remedial Investigation Report, Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study, Fort Hancock Formerly Used Defense Site, Monmouth County, New Jersey," dated January 2014, on FUDSDocs at C03NJ000403\_03.10\_0500 and \_0501.
   "RI Addendum #1" refers to the "Final Military Munitions Response Program,
- "RI Addendum #1" refers to the "Final Military Munitions Response Program, Remedial Investigation Addendum #1 Report," dated September 2016, located on FUDSDocs at C03NJ000403 03.10 0502
- "RI Addendum #3" refers to the "Final Military Munitions Response Program, Remedial Investigation Addendum #3 Report," dated November 2018, located on FUDSDocs at C03NJ000403\_03.10\_0508
- "EOD, 2015" refers to an e-mail from EOD, Naval Weapons Station Earle, to USACE listing items found at Sandy Hook in 2010, 2011, and 2013, dated October 29, 2015 and located on FUDSDocs at C03NJ000407\_01.01\_0500.

#### Description of Pathways for Human and Ecological Receptors:

The potential exposure media and associated exposure pathways for human receptors are: Soil: direct contact with surface soil (ingestion, dermal contact); inhalation via the soil-to-air pathway; Groundwater: direct contact (ingestion,

	dermal contact). The potential exposure pathways for ecological receptors are: Soil: Direct contact; and Bioaccumulation into plants, soil invertebrates, and small mammals, and consumption of these food items. Potential for contact with MEC includes walking over surface MEC, handling/collecting MEC, or contact with Subsurface MEC due to any intrusive
Description of Receptors (Human and Ecological):	activities (Sections 6.2.1 and 6.3.1, RI Report).  Based on the current land use, the following human receptors were identified: Outdoor maintenance worker (represents a National Park Service [NPS] ranger who spends the majority of his/her time patrolling the area on foot); Adult and child recreational user (represent members of the public who partake in recreational activities at Fort Hancock); and NPS Archaeologist. Ecological receptors include three potentially-affected terrestrial avian communities (granivores, insectivores, and carnivores) are represented by the mourning dove (granivore), American woodcock (insectivore), red-tailed hawk (carnivore) and the great blue heron (piscivore). For terrestrial mammals, the representative species will be the meadow vole (herbivore), short-tailed shrew (insectivore), and red fox (carnivore) (Sections 6.2.1.2 and 6.3.1, RI Report).

### C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 1 EHE Module: Munitions Type Data Element Table

Directions: Below are 11 classifications of munitions and their descriptions. Check the scores that correspond with all the munitions types known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Notes: The terms practice munitions, small arms ammunition, physical evidence, and historical evidence are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

evidence are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.				
Classification	Description	Score		
Sensitive	*UXO that are considered most likely to function upon any interaction with exposed persons (e.g. submunitions, 40mm high-explosive [HE] grenades, white phosphorus [WP] munitions, high-explosive antitank [HEAT] munitions, and practice munitions with sensitive fuzes, but excluding all other practice munitions). *Hand grenades containing energetic filler. *Bulk primary explosives, or mixtrues of these with environmental media, such that the mixture poses an explosive hazard.	□30		
High explosive (used or damaged)	*UXO containing a high-explosive filler (e.g., RDX, Composition B), that are not considered "sensitive." *DMM containing a high-explosive filler that have: *Been damaged by burning or detonation *Deteriorated to the point of instability	<b>2</b> 5		
Pyrotechnic (used or damaged)	*UXO containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades). *DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades) that have: *Been damaged by burning or detonation *Deteriorated to the point of instability	<b>2</b> 0		
High explosive (unused)	*DMM containing a high-explosirve filler that: *Have not been damaged by burning or detonation *Deteriorated to the point of instability	<b>□</b> 15		
Propellant	*UXO containing mostly singe-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). *DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor) that are: *Damaged by burning or detonation *Deteriorated to the point of instability	<b>□</b> 15		
Bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnics, or propellent	*DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). *DMM that are bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnic compositions, or propellant (not contained in a munition), or mixtures of these with environmental media such that the mixture poses an explosive hazard.	<b>1</b> 0		
Pyrotechnic (not used or damaged)	*DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler (i.e., red phosphorus), other than white phosphorus filler, that: *Have not been damaged by burning or detonation *Are not deteriorated to the point of instability.	<b>1</b> 0		
Practice	*UXO that are practice munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze. *DMM that are practice munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze and that have not: *Been damaged by burning or detonation *Deteriorated to the point of instability	<b></b> 5		
Riot control	*UXO or DMM containing a riot control agent filler (e.g., tear gas).	<b>3</b>		
Small arms	*Used munitions or DMM that are categorized as small arms ammunition. (Physical evidence or historical evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades, subcaliber training rockets, demolition charges] were used or are present on the MRS is required for selection of this category.)	<u></u> 2		
Evidence of no munitions	*Following investigation of the MRS, there is a physical evidence that there are no UXO or DMM present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present.	<b></b> 0		
Munitions Type	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 30).	25		

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Munitions Type classifications in the space provided.) MEC found in MRS 10 includes the following UXO that were identified as HE items: 3.5-inch, 6-inch, and 8-inch projectiles, Marine flare, Mk-25 Marine Marker, and 5-inch AP projectile. These items were found between 2010 and
2015 and responded to by EOD units out of Naval Weapons Station Earle. The items were identified as live and blown in place by EOD (EOD, 2015).

### C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 2 EHE Module: Source of Hazard Data Element Table

Directions: Below are 11 classifications describing sources of explosive hazards. Check the scores that correspond with all the sources of explosive hazards known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Notes: The terms former range, practice munitions, small arms range, physical evidence, and

historical evidence are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Former range	*The MRS is former military range where munitions (including practice munitions with sensitive fuzes) have been used. Such areas include impact or target areas and associated buffer and safety zones.	<b>1</b> 10
Former munitions treatment (i.e., OB/OD) unit	*The MRS is a location where UXO or DMM (e.g., munitions, bulk explosives, bulk pyrotechnic, or bulk propellants) were burned or detonated for the purpose of treatment prior to disposal.	□8
Former practice munitions range	*The MRS is a former military range on which only practice munitions without sensitive fuzes were used.	<b></b> 6
Former maneuver area	*The MRS is a former maneuver area where no munitions other than flares, simulators, smokes and blanks were used. There must be evidence that no other munitions were used at the location to place an MRS into this category.	<b>□</b> 5
Former burial pit or other disposal area	*The MRS is a location where DMM were buried or disposed of (e.g., disposed of into a water body) without prior thermal treatment.	<b></b> 5
Former industrial operating facilities	*The MRS is a location that is a former munitions maintenance, manufacturing, or demilitarization facility.	<b>4</b>
Former firing points	*The MRS is a firing point, where the firing point is delineated as an MRS separate from the rest of a former military range.	<b>4</b>
Former missile or air defense artillery emplacements	*The MRS is a former missile defense or air defense artillery (ADA) emplacement not associated with a military range.	<b>1</b> 2
Former storage or transfer points	*The MRS is a location where munitions were stored or handled for transfer between different modes of transportation (e.g., rail to truck, truck to weapon system).	<b>1</b> 2
Former small arms range	*The MRS is a former military range where only small arms ammunition was used. (There must be evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g. grenades] were used or are present to place an MRS into this category.)	<b>□</b> 1
Evidence of no munitions	*Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that no UXO or DMM are present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present.	<b></b> 0
Source of Hazard	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 10).	10

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Source of Hazard classifications in the space provided.) MRS 10 is part of the former proving ground and firing range complex, with gun batteries that fired at off-shore targets. The southern portion encompasses a part of the former proving ground; the northern portion encompasses the land

portion of the range fans associated with the batteries that fired east, including the 9-Gun Battery (Sec 1.3, RI Report and Sec 6.2, RI Addendum #1).

## C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 3 EHE Module: Location of Munitions Data Element Table

Directions: Below are eight classifications of munitions locations and their descriptions. Check the scores that correspond with all the locations where munitions are known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Notes: The terms confirmed, surface, subsurface, small arms ammunition, physical evidence, and

historical evidence are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Confirmed surface	*Physical evidence indicates that there are UXO or DMM on the surface of the MRS. *Historical evidence (i.e., a confirmed report such as an explosive ordanance disposal [EOD], police, or fire department report that an incident or accident that involved UXO or DMM occurred) indicates there are UXO or DMM on the surface of the MRS.	<b>2</b> 5
Confirmed subsurface, active	*Physical evidence indicates the presence of UXO or DMM n the subsurface of the MRS, and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought, flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, constructions, dredging) atthe MRS are likely to expose UXO or DMM. *Historical evidence indicates that UXO or DMM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, construction, dredging) at the MRS are likely to expose UXO or DMM.	<b>2</b> 0
Confirmed subsurface, stable	*Physical evidence indicates the presence of UXO or DMM in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurrin phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed. *Historical evidence indicates that UXO or DMM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, the the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed.	<b>1</b> 5
Suspected (physical evidence)	*There is physical evidence (e.g., munitions debris such as fragments, penetrators, projectiles, shell casings, links, fins), other than the documented presence of UXO or DMM, indicating that UXO or DMM may be present at the MRS.	<b>1</b> 10
Suspected (historical evidence)	*There is historical evidence indicating that UXO or DMM may be present at the MRS.	<b>☑</b> 5
Subsurface, physical constraint	*There is physical or historical evidence indicating that UXO or DMM may be present in the subsurface, but there is a physical constraint (e.g., pavement, water depth over 120 feet) preventing direct access to the UXO or DMM.	<b>_</b> 2
Small arms (regardless of location)	*The presence of small arms ammunition is confirmed or suspected, regardless of other factors such as geological stability. (There must be evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades] were used or are present at the MRS to place an MRS into this category.)	<b>□</b> 1
Evidence of no munitions	*Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that there are no UXO or DMM present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present.	<b></b> 0
Location of Munitions	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 25).	20

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Location of Munitions classifications in the space provided.) Historical evidence (confirmed reports by EOD) indicate that UXO or DMM exist in the subsurface and conditions at the MRS are likely to cause items to be exposed, as evidenced by the discovery of UXO or DMM on the beaches of the

Gateway National Recreation Area (Sandy Hook) after significant storm events (EOD, 2015).

### C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 4 EHE Module: Ease of Access Data Element Table

Directions: Below are four classifications of barrier types that can surround an MRS and their descriptions. The barrier type is directly related to the ease of public access to the MRS. Check the score that corresponds with the ease of access to the MRS Notes: The term barrier is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
No barrier	*There is no barrier preventing access to any part of the MRS (i.e., all parts of the MRS are accessible.	<b>1</b> 0
Barrier to MRS access is incomplete	*There is a barrier preventing access to parts of the MRS, but not the entire MRS.	□8
Barrier to MRS access is complete but not monitored	*There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, but there is no surveillance (e.g., by a guard) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS.	<b></b> 5
Barrier to MRS access is complete and monitored	*There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, and there is active, continual surveillance (e.g., by a guard, video monitoring) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS.	<b></b> 0
Ease of Access	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 10).	10

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Ease of Access classifications in the space provided.) The MRS is open to the public, as it is located in a national park known as the Sandy Hook Unit of Gateway National Recreation Area (Sec 1.2, RI Report).

### C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 5 EHE Module: Status of Property Data Element Table

Directions: Below are three classifications of the status of a property within the Department of Defense (DoD) and their descriptions. Check the score that corresponds with the status of property at the MRS.

Notes:

Classification	Description	Score
Non-DoD control	*The MRS is at a location that is no longer owned by, leased to, or otherwise possessed or used by DoD. Examples are privately owned land or water bodies; land or water bodies owned or controlled by state, tribal, or local governments; and land or water bodies managed by other federal agencies. *The MRS is at a location that is owned by DoD, but that DoD has leased to another entity and for which DoD does not control access 24 hours per day.	<b>☑</b> 5
Scheduled for transfer from DoD control	*The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise posessed by DoD, and DoD plans to transfer that land or water body to the control of another entity (e.g., a state, tribal, or local government; a private party; another federal agency) within 3 years from the date the Protocol is applied.	<b>□</b> 3
DoD control	*The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by DoD. With respect to property that is leased or otherwise possessed, DoD must control access to the MRS 2 hours per day, every day of the calendar year.	<b></b> 0
Status of Property	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Status of Property classifications in the space provided.) The MRS is located on the Sandy Hook Peninsula. The location of the MRS is currently managed by the Department of the Interior (NPS). (see Section 1.2 of the RI Report).

#### C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 6 **EHE Module: Population Density Data Element Table**

Directions: Below are three classifications for population density and their descriptions. Deterimine the population density per square mile that most closely corresponds with the population of the MRS, including the area within a two-mile radius of the MRS's perimeter. Check the most appropriate score.

Notes: Use the U.S. Census Bureau tract data available to capture the highest population density

within a two-mile radius of the perimeter of the MRŠ.

Classification	Description	Score
> 500 persons per square mile	*There are more than 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	<b>☑</b> 5
100-500 persons per square mile	*There are 100 to 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	<b>3</b>
< 100 persons per square mile	*There are fewer than 100 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	<b>1</b>
Population Density	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Population Density classifications in the space provided.) The MRS is a public beach. The population density of Monmouth County, NJ is 1,344.7 persons per square mile https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/monmouthcountynewjersey,US/PST045217

#### C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 7 **EHE Module: Population Near Hazard Data Element Table**

Directions: Below are six classifications describing the number of inhabited structures near the MRS. The number of inhabited buildings relates to the potential population near the MRS. Determine the number of inhabited structures within two miles of the MRS boundary and check the score that corresponds with the number of inhabited structures. Notes: The term inhabited structures is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
26 or more inhabited structures	*There are 26 or more inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	<b>1</b> 5
16 to 25 inhabited structures	*There are 16 to 25 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	<b>4</b>
11 to 15 inhabited structures	*There are 11 to 15 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	<b>3</b>
6 to 10 inhabited structures	*There are 6 to 10 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	<b>1</b> 2
1 to 5 inhabited structures	*There are 1 to 5 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	<b>1</b>
0 inhabited structures	*There are no inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	О
Population Near Hazard	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 5).	5

11 to 15 inhabited structures	*There are 11 to 15 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	<b>3</b>
6 to 10 inhabited structures	*There are 6 to 10 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	<b>1</b> 2
1 to 5 inhabited structures	*There are 1 to 5 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	<b>1</b>
0 inhabited structures	*There are no inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	<b></b> 0
Population Near Hazard	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 5).	5
space provided.) Inhab and beach houses for calculate the total num	ent any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Population Near Hazard clasifited structures near MRS 10 include NPS buildings, residences, a school and use by recreational visitors (see Section 2.1.7 of the RI Report; Google Earth ber of thin the two-mile radius for this MRS).	daycare facility,

# C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 8 EHE Module: Types of Activities/Structures Data Element Table

Directions: Below are five classifications of activities and/or inhabited structures and their descriptions. Review the types of activities that occur and/or structures that are present within two miles of the MRS and check the scores that correspond with all the activities/structure classifications at the MRS.

Notes: The term inhabited structure is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Residential, educational, commercial, or subsistence	*Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with any of the following purposes: residential, educational, child care, critical assets (e.g., hospitals, fire and rescue, police stations, dams), hotels, commercial, shopping centers, playgrounds, community gathering areas, religious sites, or sites used for subsistence hunting, fishing, and gathering.	<b>☑</b> 5
Parks and recreational areas	*Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with parks, nature preserves, or other recreational uses.	<b>4</b>
Agricultural, forestry	*Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with agriculture or forestry.	<b>3</b>
Industrial or warehousing	*Activities are conducted, or inhabited strucutres are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with industrial activities or warehousing.	<b>1</b> 2
No known or recurring activities	*There are no known or recurring activities occuring up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary.	<b>1</b>
Types of Activities/Structures	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Types of Activities/Structures classifications in the space provided.) Types of activities/structures within 2 miles include NPS buildings, a school and daycare facility, and beach houses for use by recreational visitors (see Section 2.1.7 of the RI Report).

#### C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 9 **EHE Module: Ecological and/or Cultural Resources Data Element Table**

Directions: Below are four classifications of ecological and/or cultural resources and their descriptions. Review the types of resources present and check the score that corresponds with the ecological and/or cultural resources present on the MRS.

Notes: The terms ecological resources and cultural resources are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Description	Score
*There are both ecological and cultural resources present on the MRS.	<b></b> 5
*There are ecological resources present on the MRS.	<b>☑</b> 3
*There are cultural resources present on the MRS.	<b>3</b>
*There are no ecological resources or cultural resources present on the MRS.	О
DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 5).	3
	*There are both ecological and cultural resources present on the MRS.  *There are ecological resources present on the MRS.  *There are cultural resources present on the MRS.  *There are no ecological resources or cultural resources present on the MRS.  DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the Ecological and/or Cultural Resources classifications in the space provided.) This MRS encompasses a wide variety of habitats including wetland, dune shrubland, dune grassland, and beach as well as intertidal marine habitats. Beach and dune flora is predominantly characterized by grasses, forbs and stunted shrubs. (see Sections 1.2 and 2.1.8 of the RI Report).

## C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 10

Directions: 1. From Tables 1-9, record the data element scores in the Score boxes to the right. 2. Add the Score boxes for each of the three factors and record this number in the Value boxes to the right. 3. Add the three Value boxes and record this number in the EHE Module Total below. 4. Check the appropriate range for the EHE Module Total below. 5. Circle the EHE Module Rating that corresponds to the range selected and record this value in the EHE Module Rating box found at the bottom of the table.

Notes: An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.

	Source	Score	Value	
<b>Explosive Hazard Factor Da</b>	ata Elements			
Munitions Type	Table 1	25	25	
Source of Hazard	Table 2	10	35	
<b>Accessibility Factor Data E</b>	lements			
Location of Munitions	Table 3	20		
Ease of Access	Table 4	10	35	
t Status of Property	Table 5	5		
Receptor Factor Data Elem	ents			
Population Density	Table 6	5		
Population Near Hazard	Table 7	5		
Types of Activities/Structures	Table 8	5	18	
Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 9	3		
	EHE Mod	lule Total	88	
EHE Module Total	EHE Mod	ule Rating		
92 to 100	ļ ,	Α		
82 to 91	E	3		
71 to 81	(	2		
60 to 70	Γ	)		
48 to 59	E			
38 to 47	F	=		
0 to 37	(	3		
	Evaulation			
Alternative Module Ratings	☐ No Longer	-		
	☐No Known or Suspected Explosive Hazard			
EHE Module Rating	E	3		

EHE Module Description (4000 characters max):

## C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 11 CHE Module: CWM Configuration Data Element Table

Directions: Below are seven classification of CWM configuration and their descriptions. Check the scores that correspond with all the CWM configurations known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Notes: The terms CWM/UXO, CWM/DMM, physical evidence, and historical evidence are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score	
CWM, that are either UXO, or explosively configured damaged DMM	The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: *CWM that are UXO (i.e., CWM/UXO) *Explosively configured CWM that are DMM (i.e., CWM/DMM) that have been damaged.	□30	
CWM mixed with UXO	*The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are undamaged CWM/DMM or CWM not configured as a munition that are commingled with conventional munitions that are UXO.	<b>1</b> 25	
CWM, explosive configuration that are undamaged DMM	*The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are explosively configured CWM/DMM that have not been damaged.	<b>1</b> 20	
CWM/DMM, not explosively configured or CWM, bulk container	The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: *Nonexplosively configured CWM/DMM either damaged or undamabed *Bulk CWM (e.g., ton container).	<b>□</b> 15	
CAIS K941 and CAIS K942	*The CWM/DMM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are CAIS K941-toxic gas set M-1 or CAIS K942-toxic gas set M2/E11.	<b>1</b> 12	
CAIS (chemical agent identification sets)	*CAIS, other than CAIS K941 and K942, are known or suspected of being present at the MRS.	<b>1</b> 0	
Evidence of no CWM	*Following investigation, the physical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS, or the historical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS.	<b>1</b> 0	
CWM Configuration	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 30).	0	
DIDECTIONS: Dooum	ant any MPS consific data used in colocting the CWM Configuration classific	ations in the	

Evidence of no
CWM are not present at the MRS, or the historical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS, or the historical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS.

CWM are not present at the MRS.

DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right(maximum score = 30).

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the CWM Configuration classifications in the space provided.) Both physical and historical evidence indicates that CWM was not present at this MRS (see Sections 1.2.1 and 1.4.2 of the RI Report). Tables 12 to 19 omitted per Army Guidance.

## C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 20 CHE

Directions: 1. From Tables 11-19, record the data element scores in the Score boxes to the right. 2. Add the Score boxes for each of the three factors and record this number in the Value boxes to the right. 3. Add the three Value boxes and record this number in the CHE Module Total box below. 4. Check the appropriate range for the CHE Module Total below. 5. Check the CHE Module Rating that corresponds to the range selected and record this value in the CHE Module Rating box found at the bottom of the table.

Notes: An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.

	CIIL				
		Source	Score	Value	
CWM Hazard Factor Data Elements					
	CWM Configuration	Table 11	0	0	
	Sources of CWM	Table 12		0	
	<b>Accessibility Factor Data E</b>	lements			
	Location of CWM	Table 13			
r	Ease of Access	Table 14		0	
	Status of Property	Table 15			
	Receptor Factor Data Eleme	ents			
b	Population Density	Table 16			
	Population Near Hazard	Table 17			
	Types of Activities/Structures	Table 18		0	
	Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 19			
		CHE Mod	ule Total		
	CHE Module Total	CHE Mod	ule Rating		
ıt	92 to 100	A			
	82 to 91	E	3		
	71 to 81	(	)		
	60 to 70		)		
	48 to 59	E			
	38 to 47	F	=		
	0 to 37	G			
		Evaulation	Pending		
	Alternative Module Ratings	☐ No Longer	Required		
	7 itterriative Woodie Ratings	☑ No Known o Hazard	or Suspec	ted CWM	
	CHE Module Rating	No Known or Suspe	ected CWI	M Hazard	

CHE Module Description (4000 characters max):

#### C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 21 Groundwater

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maxium concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's groundwater and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maxium concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional groundwater contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard present in the groundwater, select the box at the bottom of the table.

in the groundwater, select the box at the bottom of the table.				
Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (μg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios	
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0	
CHF > 100	H (High)	-	ation of Contaminant]	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	CHF = \( \sum_{		
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Valu	e for Contaminant]	
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF to the right (maximum value =			
	Migratory Pa	thway Factor		
Classification	Descr	iption	Value	
Evident	Analytical data or observable evide the groundwater is present at, movipoint of exposure		□н	
Potential	Contamination in the groundwater I source (i.e., tens of feet), could more or information is not sufficient to ma Confined.	□м		
Confined	Information indicates a low potential the source via the groundwater to a (possible due to the presence of ge controls).			
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the sing in the box to the right (maxim			
	Recepto	or Factor		
Classification	Descr	iption	Value	
Identified	Identified receptors have access to contamination has moved or can m		□н	
Potential	Potential for receptors have access contamination has moved or can m		□м	
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to which contamination has moved or	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to groundwater to which contamination has moved or can move.		
RECEPTOR FACTOR	Check the value that corresponding the groundwater receptors at the	onds most closely to the MRS.		
	No Known or Suspec	ted Groundwater MC Hazard	<b>\</b>	
No Known or Suspected Groundwater MC Hazard  DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the ground water contaminants in the space provided.  Groundwater samples did not contain any MC above background (Secs 4.2.3 and 5.3.3, RI Report).  Detections are not indicative of munitions activities and would not impact the MRS Score				

### C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 22 Surface Water - Human Endpoint

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maxium concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's surface water and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional surface water contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with human endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Haman ona	points present in the surface wat		or tire tabler	
Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios	
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0	
CHF > 100	H (High)	[Maximum Concentr	ation of Contaminant]	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	CHF = \( \sum_{		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		e for Contaminant]	
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF to the right (maximum value =	M		
	Migratory Pathway Factor			
Classification	Descr	iption	Value	
Evident	Analytical data or observable evide the surface water is present at, morpoint of exposure	nce indicates that contamination in ving toward, or has moved to a	□н	
Potential	Contamination in the surface water the source (i.e., tens of feet), could appreciably, or information is not su Evident or Confined.	move but is not moving	Пм	
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface water to a potential point of exposure (possible due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).			
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	PATHWAY in the box to the right (maximum value = H).			
	Recepto	r Factor		
Classification	Recepto Descr		Value	
Classification Identified		iption surface water to which	Value H	
	Descr Identified receptors have access to	surface water to which ove. to surface water to which		
Identified	Descr Identified receptors have access to contamination has moved or can m Potential for receptors have access	surface water to which ove. to surface water to which ove. have access to surface water to	□н	
Identified Potential	Identified receptors have access to contamination has moved or can mention potential for receptors have access contamination has moved or can mention has moved or can mention between the contamination between the contamination has moved or can mention between the contamination between the contami	surface water to which ove. to surface water to which ove. have access to surface water to can move.	□н	
Identified Potential Limited RECEPTOR FACTOR	Identified receptors have access to contamination has moved or can mention has moved or can ment	surface water to which ove. to surface water to which ove. have access to surface water to can move.  nds most closely to the MRS.	□н □м □L	
Identified Potential Limited RECEPTOR FACTOR No Known of	Identified receptors have access to contamination has moved or can ment any MRS - specific data use	surface water to which ove. to surface water to which ove. have access to surface water to can move. Inds most closely to the MRS. Human Endpoint) MC Hazard	□н □м □L	

#### C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 23 Sediment - Human Endpoint

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maxium concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with human endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios
Contaminant	(mg/kg)	Companison value (mg/kg)	Ratios
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0
CHF > 100	H (High)	[Maximum Concentr	ation of Contaminant]
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	CHF = \( \sum_{	
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Valu	e for Contaminant]
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF to the right (maximum value =	L	
	thway Factor		
Classification	Descr	iption	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evide the sediment is present at, moving exposure	nce indicates that contamination in toward, or has moved to a point of	□н
Potential	Contamination in the sediment has source (i.e., tens of feet), could more or information is not sufficient to ma Confined.	ve but is not moving appreciably,	□м
Confined	Information indicates a low potentia the source via the sediment to a po due to the presence of geological s	tential point of exposure (possible	
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the sing in the box to the right (maxim	gle highest value from above um value = H).	
	Recepto	or Factor	
Classification	Descr	iption	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to has moved or can move.	sediment to which contamination	Пн
Potential	Potential for receptors have access contamination has moved or can m		□м
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to which contamination has moved or		
RECEPTOR FACTOR	Check the value that correspond sediment receptors at the MR	onds most closely to the S.	
No Kno	own or Suspected Sediment (I	Human Endpoint) MC Hazard	
	nent any MRS - specific data use	ed in selecting the sediment con	taminants in the

# C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 24 Surface Water - Ecological Endpoint

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maxium concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's surface water and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional surface water contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.

ecological endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.					
Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (μg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios		
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0		
CHF > 100	H (High)	[Maximum Concentr	ation of Contaminant]		
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	CHF = \( \sum_{			
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Valu	e for Contaminant]		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR					
	Migratory Pa	thway Factor			
Classification	Descr	iption	Value		
Evident	Analytical data or observable evide the surface water is present at, mo point of exposure	nce indicates that contamination in ving toward, or has moved to a	□н		
Potential	Contamination in the surface water the source (i.e., tens of feet), could appreciably, or information is not sure Evident or Confined.	has moved only slightly beyond move but is not moving ufficient to make a determination of	□м		
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface water to a potential point of exposure (possible due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).				
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the sing in the box to the right (maxim				
	Recepto	or Factor			
Classification	Descr	iption	Value		
Identified	Identified receptors have access to contamination has moved or can m	surface water to which ove.	□н		
Potential	Potential for receptors have access contamination has moved or can m		□м		
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to which contamination has moved or	have access to surface water to can move.			
RECEPTOR FACTOR	Check the value that corresponding the corresponding to the comments at the co				
No Known or S	uspected Surface Water (Eco	logical Endpoing) MC Hazard			
DIRECTIONS: Docur space provided. Media not sampled ir	•	ed in selecting the surface water	contaminants in the		

# C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 25 Sediment - Ecological Endpoint

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maxium concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.

ecological endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.					
Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios		
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0		
CHF > 100	H (High)	-	ation of Contaminant]		
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	CHF = \( \sum_{			
2 > CHF	L (Low)	L (Low) Comparison Value			
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR					
	Migratory Pa	thway Factor			
Classification	Descr	iption	Value		
Evident	Analytical data or observable evide the sediment is present at, moving exposure	nce indicates that contamination in toward, or has moved to a point of	□н		
Potential	Contamination in the sediment has source (i.e., tens of feet), could mo or information is not sufficient to ma Confined.	ve but is not moving appreciably,	□м		
Confined	Information indicates a low potential the source via the sediment to a podue to the presence of geological segments.	tential point of exposure (possible	ПL		
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the sing in the box to the right (maxim	gle highest value from above um value = H).			
	Recepto	r Factor			
Classification	Recepto		Value		
Classification Identified		iption	Value H		
	Descr Identified receptors have access to	sediment to which contamination to sediment to which			
Identified	Identified receptors have access to has moved or can move.  Potential for receptors have access	sediment to which contamination to sediment to which ove.  have access to sediment to	□н		
Identified Potential	Identified receptors have access to has moved or can move.  Potential for receptors have access contamination has moved or can multitle or no potential for receptors to	sediment to which contamination to sediment to which ove. have access to sediment to can move. onds most closely to the	□н □м		
Identified Potential Limited RECEPTOR FACTOR	Identified receptors have access to has moved or can move.  Potential for receptors have access contamination has moved or can multitle or no potential for receptors to which contamination has moved or Check the value that correspondents.	sediment to which contamination to sediment to which ove.  have access to sediment to can move.  onds most closely to the S.	□н □м		

#### C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 26 Surface Soil

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

Directions: Record the maxium concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's surface soil and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional surface soil contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard present in the surface soil, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration Comparison Value (mg/kg)				Ratios
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0		
CHF > 100	H (High)	[Maximum Concentr	ation of Contaminant]		
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	CHF = \( \sum_{			
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Valu	e for Contaminant]		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR					
	Migratory Pa	thway Factor			
Classification	Desci	ription	Value		
Evident		ence indicates that contamination in ng toward, or has moved to a point	□н		
Potential	source (i.e., tens of feet), could mo	contamination in the surface soil has moved only slightly beyond the ource (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, r information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or			
Confined	Information indicates a low potentia the source via the surface soil to a (possible due to the presence of ge controls).	ΩL			
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the sing in the box to the right (maxim	gle highest value from above um value = H).			
	Recepto	or Factor			
Classification		ription	Value		
Identified	Identified receptors have access to contamination has moved or can m	dentified receptors have access to surface soil to which ontamination has moved or can move.			
Potential	Potential for receptors have access contamination has moved or can m	Potential for receptors have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.			
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to which contamination has moved or	□L			
RECEPTOR FACTOR	Check the value that corresponding surface soil receptors at the l				
	No Known or Suspe	ected Surface Soil MC Hazard	$\searrow$		
provided.	DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS - specific data used in selecting the soil contaminants in the space				

No explosives compounds were detected in surface soil samples, and metals were found to be at or below background concentrations (Secs 6.2.3.1-5, RI Report).

### C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 28 Determining the HHE Module Rating

Directions: 1. Record the letter values (H, M, L) for the Contaminant Hazard, Migration Pathway, and Receptor Factors for the media (from Tables 21-26) in the corresponding boxes below. 2. Record the media's three-letter combinations in the Three-Letter Combination boxes below (three-letter combinations are arranged from Hs to Ms to Ls). 3. Using the HHE Ratings provided below determine each media's rating (A-G) and record the letter in the corresponding Media

Rating box below. Three-Contamina Migratory Letter Receptor Media ntHazard Pathway Combinatio Factor Media Rating (A-G) (Source) **Factor** Factor Value n (Hs-Ms-Value Value Ls) Groundwater (Table 21) **Surface Water -**Human M **Endpoint** (Table 22) Sediment -Human L **Endpoint** (Table 23) **Surface Water -Ecological** M **Endpoint** (Table 24) Sediment -**Ecological** M **Endpoint** (Table 25) **Surface Soil** (Table 26)

DIRECTIONS (cont.): 4. Select the single highest Media Rating (A is highest; G is lowest) and enter the letter in the HHE Module Rating box.

Notes: An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.

#### HHE MODULE RATING

9	HHE Ratings (for reference only)					
,	Combination	Rating				
	ННН	Α				
	ННМ,НМН,МНН	В				
	HHL,HLH,LHH,HMM,MHM,MMH	С				
	HML,HLM,MHL,MLH,LHM,LMH,M MM	D				
	HLL,LHL,LLH,MML,MLM,LMM	Е				
	MLL,LML,LLM	F				
	LLL	G				
		Evaluation Pending				
	Alternative Module Ratings	No Longer Required				
	Automative Module Ratings	No Known or Suspected MC Hazard				

HHE Module Description (4000 characters max):

Because the human health and ecological risk assessments determined that no unacceptable risk is posed by any media (Sections 6.2.3.3, 6.2.3.8, and Section 8.1.2 2014RI Report), the HHE module has been assigned an overall rating of No Known or Suspected Hazard.

### C02NJ0004 Fort Hancock - 10 - MMRP - Eastern Shoreline Table 29 MRS Priority

In the chart below, circle the letter rating for each module recorded in Table 10 (EHE), Table 20 (CHE), and Table 28 (HHE). Check the corresponding numerical priority for each module. If information to determine the module rating is not available, choose the appropriate alternative module rating. The MRS Priority is the single highest priority, record this relative priority in the MRS Priority or Alternative MRS Rating at the bottom of the table.

An MRS assigned Priority 1 has the highest relative priority; an MRS assigned Priority 8 has the lowest relative priority. Only an MRS with CWM known or suspected to be present can be assigned Priority 1; an MRS that has CWM known or suspected to be present cannot be assigned

Priority 8.

EHE Rating	Priority	CHE Rating	Priority	HHE Rating	Priority
		Α	1		
Α	2	В	2	Α	2
В	3	С	3	В	3
С	4	D	4	С	4
D	5	Е	5	D	5
Е	6	F	6	Е	6
F	7	G	7	F	7
G	8			G	8
Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending	
No Longer Required No Longer Required		Required	No Longer Required		
No Known or Susp Haza	nown or Suspected Explosive No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard		No Known or Su Haza		
	MRS Priority or Alternative MRS Rating 3				