

**Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay,
Highlands, New Jersey
Coastal Storm Risk Management
Feasibility Study**

**Final Integrated Feasibility Report and Environmental
Assessment**

May 2020

**Appendix A6:
Clean Air Act Conformity
Record of Non-Applicability**

January 17, 2020

RECORD OF NON-APPLICABILITY (RONA)

Project Name: Borough of Highlands Coastal Storm Risk Management Feasibility Study

Reference: Data files 110119_Equipment Hours.pdf and 10292019_Schedule.pdf and email discussion 1 November 2019 and 7 November 2019

Project/Action Point of Contact: Matthew Voisine 917.790.8718

Begin Date: Q1 2022

End Date: Q2 2025

1. The project described above has been evaluated for Section 176 of the Clean Air Act. Project related emissions associated with the federal action were estimated to evaluate the applicability of General Conformity regulations (40CFR§93 Subpart B).
2. The requirements of this rule do not apply because the total direct and indirect emissions from this project are less than the 50 tons trigger levels for NO_x, and VOCs, and less than 100 tons of PM_{2.5}, CO, and SO₂ for each project year (40CFR§93.153(b)(1) & (2)) and for the project as a whole. The estimated total NO_x emissions for the project are 27.7 tons. Emissions of VOC, PM_{2.5}, CO, and SO₂ are also all well below the applicable trigger levels (see attached estimates).
3. The project is presumed to conform with the General Conformity requirements and is exempted from Subpart B under 40CFR§93.153(c)(1).

22 Jan 20

WEPPLER.PETER Digitally signed by
.M.1228647353 WEPPLER.PETER.M.1228647353
Date: 2020.01.22 09:40:44 -05'00'

Peter Wepler
Chief, Environmental Analysis Branch

Encl



US Army Corps of Engineers – New York District
Borough of Highlands (NJ)
Coastal Storm Risk Management Feasibility Study
General Conformity Related Emission Estimates

Emissions have been estimated using project planning information developed by the New York District, consisting of anticipated dredging volumes, equipment types and estimates of the horsepower and operating hours of the diesel engines powering the equipment. In addition to this planning information, conservative factors have been used to represent the average level of engine load of operating engines (load factors) and the average emissions of typical engines used to power the equipment (emission factors). The basic emission estimating equation is the following:

$$E = \text{hrs} \times \text{LF} \times \text{EF}$$

Where:

E = Emissions per period of time such as a year or the entire project.

hrs = Number of operating hours in the period of time (e.g., hours per year, hours per project).

LF = Load factor, an estimate of the average percentage of full load an engine is run at in its usual operating mode.

EF = Emission factor, an estimate of the amount of a pollutant (such as NO_x) that an engine emits while performing a defined amount of work.

In these estimates, the emission factors are in units of grams of pollutant per horsepower hour (g/hphr). For each piece of equipment, the number of horsepower hours (hphr) is calculated by multiplying the engine's horsepower by the load factor assigned to the type of equipment and the number of hours that piece of equipment is anticipated to work during the year or during the project. For example, a crane with a 250-horsepower engine would have a load factor of 0.43 (meaning on average the crane's engine operates at 43% of its maximum rated power output). If the crane were anticipated to operate 1,000 hours during the course of the project, the horsepower hours would be calculated by:

$$250 \text{ horsepower} \times 0.43 \times 1,000 \text{ hours} = 107,500 \text{ hphr}$$

The emissions from diesel engines vary with the age of an engine and, most importantly, with when it was built. Newer engines of a given size and function typically emit lower levels of most pollutants than older engines. The emission factors used in these calculations assume that the equipment pre-dates most emission control requirements (known as Tier 0 engines in most cases), to provide a reasonable "upper bound" to the emission estimates. If newer engines are actually used in the work, then emissions will be lower than estimated for the same amount of work. In the example of the crane engine, a NO_x emission factor of 9.5 g/hphr would be used to estimate emissions from this crane on the project by the following equation:

$$\frac{107,500 \text{ hphr} \times 9.5 \text{ g NO}_x/\text{hphr}}{453.59 \text{ g/lb} \times 2,000 \text{ lbs/ton}} = 1.1 \text{ tons of NO}_x$$



*US Army Corps of Engineers – New York District
Borough of Highlands (NJ)
Coastal Storm Risk Management Feasibility Study
General Conformity Related Emission Estimates*

As noted above, information on the equipment types, horsepower, and hours of operation associated with the project have been obtained from the project's plans and represent current best estimates of the equipment and work that will be required. Load factors have been obtained from various sources depending on the type of equipment. Land-side nonroad equipment load factors are from the documentation for EPA's NONROAD emission estimating model, "Median Life, Annual Activity, and Load Factor Values for Nonroad Engine Emissions Modeling, EPA420-P-04-005, April 2004."

Emission factors have also been sourced from a variety of documents and other sources depending on engine type and pollutant. Nonroad equipment NOx and other emission factors have been derived from EPA emission standards and documentation. On-road emission factors have been developed from the MOVES2014b model based on model year 1995 trucks. As noted above, the emission factors have been chosen to be moderately conservative so as not to underestimate project emissions. Equipment turnover by the time the project is undertaken will likely result in newer equipment performing the work than assumed in this analysis, meaning the emissions presented in this analysis are likely higher than will actually occur.

The following pages summarize the estimated emissions in sum for the project including the anticipated equipment and engine information developed by the New York District, the load factors and emission factors as discussed above, and the estimated emissions for the project.

USACE - New York District
Borough of Highlands (NJ) Coastal Storm Risk Management Feasibility Study
Detailed Equipment Emission Estimates
6 November 2019
FINAL

Project Emission Summary

Pollutant	2022	2023	2024	2025	Project Total
	tons per year				
NO _x	7.9	7.9	7.9	4.0	27.7
VOC	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.06	0.39
SO _x	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.010
PM _{2.5}	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.05	0.33
CO	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.4	2.5

Description, off-road equipment	Engine	Horsepower (approx.)	Load Factor	Hours	hphrs
Prime contractor					
Compactor, roller, vibratory	Other diesel engines	100	0.59	99	5,832
Crane, hydraulic, self-propelled, yard	Crane	120	0.43	7	360
Crane, hydraulic, self-propelled, rough terrain	Crane	175	0.43	47	3,518
Crane, hydraulic, truck mounted	Crane	275	0.43	3	300
Crane, hydraulic, truck mounted	Crane	175	0.43	36	2,694
Cranes, hydraulic, truck mtd, all terrain	Crane	275	0.43	10	1,213
Crane, mechanical, lattice boom, crawler, dragline/clamshell	Crane	225	0.43	1,220	118,025
Crane, mechanical, lattice boom, crawler, lifting	Crane	175	0.43	46	3,456
Generator set, skid mounted, 35 kw	Generator	60	0.43	93	2,403
Hydraulic excavator, crawler, 30,000 lb	Excavator	500	0.59	31	9,112
Loader/backhoe, wheel, 1.10 cy	Rubber tired loader	135	0.59	246	19,607
Pile hammer, driver/extractor, vibratory, 107 ton	Other diesel engines	100	0.59	93	5,495
Concrete vibrator, 2.5" (63.5 mm) dia	Generator	10	0.43	67	287
Subcontractor					
Tractor, crawler (dozer)	Dozer	90	0.59	587	31,159
Compactor, roller, vibratory	Other diesel engines	100	0.59	3,086	182,071
Cranes, mechanical, lattice boom, crawler, dragline/clamshell	Crane	265	0.43	2,836	323,129
Crane, mechanical, lattice boom, crawler, dragline/clamshell	Crane	225	0.43	4,668	451,651
Generator set, skid mounted, 35 kw	Generator	60	0.43	4,668	120,440
Grader, motor, articulated	Grader	215	0.59	10	1,267
Hydraulic excavator, crawler	Excavator	500	0.59	696	205,406
Loader/backhoe, wheel, 1.10 cy (0.84 m3) front end bucket	Rubber tired loader	135	0.59	978	77,886
Pile hammer, driver/extractor, vibratory	Other diesel engines	100	0.59	4,668	275,425
Tractor, crawler (dozer)	Dozer	250	0.59	52	7,713
Welder, engine driven, diesel	Generator	25	0.43	984	10,583
Concrete vibrator, 2.5" (63.5 mm) dia	Other diesel engines	10	0.59	1,984	11,703
Total off-road					1,870,735

Description, on-road vehicles*	Hours	Miles
On-road truck, 45,000 lb	365	12,788
On-road truck, 35,000 lbs	154	5,406
On-road truck, 75,000 lbs	114	4,004
On-road truck, 45,000 lb	14	481
On-road truck, 45,000 lb	214	7,480
On-road truck, 35,000 lb	10,103	353,602
On-road truck, 75,000 lb	427	14,957
Total on-road	11,392	398,717

* On-road truck activity assuming travel at 35 mph average, conservative 1995 MY trucks

Emission factors, grams per hphr					Project emissions, tons				
NO _x	VOC	SO _x	PM _{2.5}	CO	NO _x	VOC	SO _x	PM _{2.5}	CO
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.1	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.0	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.0	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.0	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.0	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.0	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	1.2	0.02	0.001	0.02	0.2
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.0	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.0	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.1	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.2	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.1	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.0	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.3	0.01	0.000	0.01	0.0
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	1.9	0.04	0.001	0.03	0.2
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	3.4	0.07	0.002	0.06	0.4
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	4.7	0.09	0.002	0.08	0.6
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	1.3	0.03	0.001	0.02	0.2
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.0	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	2.2	0.04	0.001	0.04	0.3
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.8	0.02	0.000	0.01	0.1
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	2.9	0.06	0.002	0.05	0.4
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.1	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.1	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
9.5	0.19	0.0050	0.16	1.21	0.1	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
Total off-road					19.6	0.39	0.010	0.33	2.5

Emission factors, grams per mile					Project emissions, tons				
NO _x	VOC	SO _x	PM _{2.5}	CO	NO _x	VOC	SO _x	PM _{2.5}	CO
18.5	2.6	0.012	1.5	9.9	0.3	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
18.5	2.6	0.012	1.5	9.9	0.1	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
18.5	2.6	0.012	1.5	9.9	0.1	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
18.5	2.6	0.012	1.5	9.9	0.0	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
18.5	2.6	0.012	1.5	9.9	0.2	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
18.5	2.6	0.012	1.5	9.9	7.2	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
18.5	2.6	0.012	1.5	9.9	0.3	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0
Emission totals, off-road & on-road					8.1	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.0