APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION	ON
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROV	VED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 28-Feb-2013
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMB	ER: New York District, NAN-2013-00031-JD1
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND	INFORMATION:
State : County/parish/borough: City: Lat: Long: Universal Transverse Mercator	NJ - New Jersey Hudson Town of Kearny 40.739 -74.093 Folder UTM List UTM list determined by folder location • NAD83 / UTM zone 18N Waters UTM List UTM list determined by waters location
Name of nearest waterbody: Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (
Check if map/diagram of review area and/or	r potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation s form.	sites, disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUAT	ION:
Office Determination Date: Field Determination Date(s): 23-Jan-20	013
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JUI	RISDICTION Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
Waters subject to the ebb and flow	
commerce.	e been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign res tidal waters from the Hackensack River, a navigable waterway, through a 36-inch culvert
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF J There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water	URISDICTION. Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review Water Name	

Linear: (m)

c. Limits (boun	daries) of jurisdiction:
based on: OHWM Elevati	on: (if known)
2. Non-regulate	ed waters/wetlands: ³
Potentially jur	sdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
SECTION III	: CWA ANALYSIS
A. TNWs AND	WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs
1.TNW	Commonwhite and a common district and a comm
TNW Name	Summarize rationale supporting determination:
Wetland A	Wetland A is a tidal wetland. This wetland recieves tidal waters from Wetland D, and Wetland D recieves tidal waters from the Hackensack River, a navigable waterway. A 36-inch culvert pipe connects Wetland A to Wetland D and which allows tidal waters to enter Wetland A.
2. Wetland Adj Not Applicable.	acent to TNW
B. CHARACTE	RISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
1. Characterist	cics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i) General Are	a Conditions:
Watershed siz	e:
Drainage area	
-	al rainfall: inches
Average annu	al snowfall: inches
(ii) Physical Ci (a) Relationshi	
2000-00	lows directly into TNW.
	lows through [] tributaries before entering TNW.
:Number of tri	•
Project waters	s are river miles from TNW.
•	s are river miles from RPW.
Project Water	s are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters	s are aerial(straight) miles from RPW.
Project w	aters cross or serve as state boundaries.
Explain:	
Identify flow r	oute to TNW: ⁵
Tributary Stree Not Applicable.	am Order, if known:
(b) General Tr	ibutary Characteristics:
Tributary is: Not Applicable.	
Tributary prop Not Applicable	erties with respect to top of bank (estimate):
Primary tribut Not Applicable	ary substrate composition:

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient): Not Applicable.
(c) Flow: Not Applicable.
Surface Flow is: Not Applicable.
Subsurface Flow: Not Applicable.
Tributary has: Not Applicable.
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:
High Tide Line Indicated by: Not Applicable.
Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Not Applicable.
(iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports: Not Applicable.
2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Not Applicable.
(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
Flow is: Not Applicable.
Surface flow is: Not Applicable.
Subsurface flow: Not Applicable.
(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Not Applicable.
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW: Not Applicable.
(ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.
(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports: Not Applicable.
3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis: Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

-	Wetland Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
	Wetland A	TNWs, including territorial seas	-	1902.02232
	Total:	3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	0	1902.02232

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:⁸ Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:9
Not Applicable.

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR
DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:10
Not Applicable.

Identify water	body and	summarize	rationale	supporting	determinat	tion:
Not Applicable.				_		

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.

=	NON-	LIUDISDICTIONAL	WATEDS	INCLUDING WET	LANDS
г.	NON-	-JUNIOUIU IIUNAL	. WAIERO.	. INCLUDENCE VYET	

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:
Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):
Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):
Other (Explain):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:

Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.

Not Applicable.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	CONTRACTOR VIDENTIAL PROPERTY VI	¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, August 2012¿, drawings 1 through 5, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated August 2012
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. Report dated October 17, 2012
Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report	•	The USACE concurs with the data sheet for this wetland.
USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps	-	02030103180
U.S. Geological Survey map(s).	-	Jersey City, NJ
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey.	•	Hudson County Soil Map from State of New Jersey
National wetlands inventory map(s).	-	U.S. Fish and Wildlife NWI Map
Photographs	-	
Other	*	Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc., report dated October 17, 2012
Other information	-	USACE Site Investigation Report dated January 24, 2013

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Not Applicable.

¹⁻Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3

months).

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize 3}}\mbox{-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.}$

⁴⁻Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵⁻Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

⁶-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

^{7&}lt;sub>-Ibid</sub>.

⁸⁻See Footnote #3.

 $^{^{\}mbox{9}}$ -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVE	D JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 28-Feb-2013
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER	:: New York District, NAN-2013-00031-JD2
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND IN	FORMATION:
State :	NJ - New Jersey
County/parish/borough:	Hudson
City:	Town of Kearny
Lat:	40.739
Long:	-74.093
Universal Transverse Mercator	Folder UTM List
	UTM list determined by folder location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 18N
	Waters UTM List
	UTM list determined by waters location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 18N
Name of nearest waterbody:	Unnamed Tributary to the Hackensack River
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (T	
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (H	UC): 02030103180
Check if map/diagram of review area and/or p	otential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation site form.	es, disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION	DN:
Office Determination Date:	
Field Determination Date(s): 23-Jan-201	3
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURI	SDICTION
There are "navigable waters of the U.S." within Riv	vers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
Waters subject to the ebb and flow o	of the tide.
Waters are presently used, or have commerce.	been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign
Explain: Wetland Areas B and F are adjacent to \ a navigable waterway.	Wetland A. Wetland A is a tidal wetland with recieves tidal waters from the Hackensack River,
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JU	RISDICTION.
There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water A	ct (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
%	
1. Waters of the U.S.	
a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review a	ırea;¹
Water Name Water Type(s) Present	
Wetland B Wetlands adjacent to TNWs	
Wetland F Wetlands adjacent to TNWs	

2/28/2013

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:

based on:

OHWM Elevation: (if known)

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:3

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

1.TNW

Not Applicable.

2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW

Wetland Name	Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":
Wetland B	Wetland B is adjacent to Wetland A which recieves tidal waters from the Hackensack River, a navigable waterway in accordance with 33 CFR 328.3(c). Wetland B is situated at the same elevation as Wetland A, consists of the same hydrophytic vegetation and hydric soils and Munsell color notations. In addition, the State of New Jersey Tideland Claim boundary extends throughout the project site and this referenced wetland area.
Wetland F	Wetland F is adjacent to Wetland A which recieves tidal waters from the Hackensack River, a navigable waterway in accordance with 33 CFR 328.3(c). Wetland F is situated at the same elevation as Wetland A, consists of the same hydrophytic vegetation and hydric soils and Munsell color notations. In addition, the State of New Jersey Tideland Claim boundary extends throughout the project site and this referenced wetland area.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

- 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
- (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size:

Drainage area:

Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches

- (ii) Physical Characteristics
- (a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

Project waters are river miles from TNW.

Project waters are river miles from RPW.

Project Waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW:5

Tributary Stream Order, if known:

Not Applicable.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics:

Tributary is:

Not Applicable.

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Not Applicable.
Primary tributary substrate composition: Not Applicable.
Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient): Not Applicable.
(c) Flow: Not Applicable.
Surface Flow is: Not Applicable.
Subsurface Flow: Not Applicable.
Tributary has: Not Applicable.
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:
High Tide Line indicated by: Not Applicable.
Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Not Applicable.
(iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports: Not Applicable.
2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Not Applicable.
(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
Flow is: Not Applicable.
Surface flow is: Not Applicable.
Subsurface flow: Not Applicable.
(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Not Applicable
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW: Not Applicable.
(ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports: Not Applicable.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis: Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

Wetland Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
Wetland B	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs	-	2225.7708
Wetland F	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs	-	1699.67952
Total:		0	3925.45032

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:⁸ Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review	area:
Not Applicable.	

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:⁹ Not Applicable.

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:¹⁰ Not Applicable.

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS.	INCLUDING WETL	ANDS.
-------------------------------	----------------	-------

Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:
Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):
Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):
Other (Explain):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:

Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.

Not Applicable.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, August 2012¿, drawings 1 through 5, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated August 2012
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultant, Inc., report dated October 17, 2012
Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report	-	The USACE concurs with the data sheet for this wetland
USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps	-	02030103180
U.S. Geological Survey map(s).	-	Jersey City, NJ
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey.		Hudson County Soil Survey from the State of New Jersey
National wetlands inventory map(s).	-	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NWI Map
Photographs	-	-
Other	w.	Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc., report dated October 17, 2012
Other information	-	USACE Site Investigation Report dated January 24, 2013

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Not Applicable.

¹⁻Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

 $^{^{3}\}text{-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.}$

⁴⁻Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵⁻Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

⁶⁻A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

^{7&}lt;sub>-lbid</sub>.

⁸⁻See Footnote #3.

 $^{^{9}}$ -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰⁻Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMA	TION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPR	ROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 28-Feb-2013
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NU	WBER: New York District, NAN-2013-00031-JD3
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUP	ND INFORMATION:
State:	NJ - New Jersey
County/parish/borough:	Hudson
City:	Town of Kearny
Lat:	40.739
Long:	-74.093
Universal Transverse Mercator	Folder UTM List
	UTM list determined by folder location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 18N
,	Waters UTM List UTM list determined by waters location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 18N
Name of nearest waterbody:	Unnamed Tributary to the Hackensack River
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Wat	
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Coo	,
Check if map/diagram of review area and	d/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation form.	on sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALU	JATION:
Office Determination Date:	
Field Determination Date(s): 23-Jar	ı - 2013
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF	JURISDICTION
There are "navigable waters of the U.S." withi	in Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
Waters subject to the ebb and f	low of the tide.
Waters are presently used, or h commerce.	nave been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign
Explain: Wetland D is a tidal wetland that red	cieves tidal waters from the Hackensack River, a navigable waterway, through a 68-inch culvert.
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF	F JURISDICTION.
There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Wat	ter Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
to .	
1. Waters of the U.S.	
a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in revi	ew area: ¹
Water Name Water Type(s) Present	
Wetland D TNWs, including territorial se	38S
b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.	S. in the review area:
Area: (m²)	
Linear: (m)	
c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:	

based on: OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:3 Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS** A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs **1.TNW TNW Name** Summarize rationale supporting determination: Wetland D is a tidal wetland. This wetland recieves tidal waters from the Hackensack River, a navigable waterway, through a 68-Wetland D inch culvert. 2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW Not Applicable. B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: Drainage area: Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches (ii) Physical Characteristics (a) Relationship with TNW: Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW. :Number of tributaries Project waters are river miles from TNW. Project waters are river miles from RPW. Project Waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are aerial(straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Identify flow route to TNW:5 Tributary Stream Order, if known: Not Applicable. (b) General Tributary Characteristics: Tributary is: Not Applicable. Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Not Applicable. Primary tributary substrate composition: Not Applicable.

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

Not Applicable.

(c) Flow: Not Applicable.
Surface Flow is: Not Applicable.
Subsurface Flow: Not Applicable.
Tributary has: Not Applicable.
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:
High Tide Line indicated by: Not Applicable
Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Not Applicable.
(iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports: Not Applicable.
2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Not Applicable.
(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
Flow is: Not Applicable.
Surface flow is: Not Applicable.
Subsurface flow: Not Applicable.
(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Not Applicable.
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW: Not Applicable.
(ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.
(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports: Not Applicable.
3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):
All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Not Applicable

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

Wetland Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
Wetland D	TNWs, including territorial seas	-	6029.81544
Total:		0	6029.81544

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:⁸ Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:9

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:¹⁰ Not Applicable.

vide estimates for jurisdictional v	waters in the revi	ew area:
Applicable.		
ION-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS.		
If potential wetlands were assess elineation Manual and/or appropriate	ed within the revie e Regional Supple	ew area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland ements:
Review area included isolated wa	aters with no subst	tantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:
Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Cule" (MBR):	ourt decision in "S	SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory B
Waters do not meet the "Significa	ant Nexus" standar	rd, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):
Other (Explain):		
Other (Explain).		
ovide acreage estimates for non-j	urisdictional water	ers in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR
tors (ie., presence of migratory b	irds, presence of	endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best profession
gment: : Applicable.		
Applicable.		
ovide acreage estimates for non-i	urisdictional wate	ers in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where
ovide acreage estimates for non-j	urisdictional wate	ers in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where
ovide acreage estimates for non-j nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable.	urisdictional wat	ers in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where
nding is required for jurisdiction.	urisdictional wate	ers in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable.	•	ers in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where s
nding is required for jurisdiction.	•	ers in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where s
nding is required for Jurisdiction. Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES	ansan engane saan manenamenan enteren	ers in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie	s.	ers in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie	s.	
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed	s. wed for JD d, where checked and	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat	s. wed for JD d, where checked and	requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7,
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. . SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the	s. wed for JD d, where checked and	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 20132, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	s. wed for JD d, where checked and	requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7,
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultantData sheets	wed for JD d, where checked and Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013
nding is required for jurisdiction. It Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on	s. wed for JD d, where checked and	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 20132, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultantData sheets	wed for JD d, where checked and Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Office concurs with data	wed for JD d, where checked and Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc.
nding is required for jurisdiction. It Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. SUPPORTING DATA. Data revies ted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant for the applicant	wed for JD d, where checked and Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Office concurs with data	wed for JD d, where checked and Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc.
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES . SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report	wed for JD d, where checked and Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc.
nding is required for jurisdiction. It Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES SUPPORTING DATA. Data revies the ditems shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC	wed for JD d, where checked and Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc.
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. . SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps U.S. Geological Survey map(s).	Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. Yes 02030103180 Jersey City, NJ
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES . SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps	Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. Yes 02030103180 Jersey City, NJ STATSGO Soils Map (State Soil Geographic Database for New Jersey, U. S. Dept.
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps U.S. Geological Survey map(s). USDA Natural Resources	Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. Yes 02030103180 Jersey City, NJ
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps U.S. Geological Survey map(s). USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey.	Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. Yes 02030103180 Jersey City, NJ STATSGO Soils Map (State Soil Geographic Database for New Jersey, U. S. Dept. Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Fort Worth Texas, 1994).
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. SUPPORTING DATA. Data revies the ditems shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed. —Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. —Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. —Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. —USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. —U.S. Geological Survey map(s). —USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil	Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. Yes 02030103180 Jersey City, NJ STATSGO Soils Map (State Soil Geographic Database for New Jersey, U. S. Dept.
nding is required for jurisdiction. It Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. SUPPORTING DATA. Data revies the ditems shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed. —Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. —Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. —Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. —USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. —U.S. Geological Survey map(s). —USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. —National wetlands inventory map(s).	Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. Yes 02030103180 Jersey City, NJ STATSGO Soils Map (State Soil Geographic Database for New Jersey, U. S. Dept. Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Fort Worth Texas, 1994).
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. SUPPORTING DATA. Data revies the ditems shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed. —Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. —Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. —Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. —USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. —U.S. Geological Survey map(s). —USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. —National wetlands inventory	Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc., and dated February 5, 2013 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. Yes 02030103180 Jersey City, NJ STATSGO Soils Map (State Soil Geographic Database for New Jersey, U. S. Dept. Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Fort Worth Texas, 1994). National Wetland Inventory Map
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. . SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. National wetlands inventory map(s). Photographs	Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. Yes 02030103180 Jersey City, NJ STATSGO Soils Map (State Soil Geographic Database for New Jersey, U. S. Dept. Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Fort Worth Texas, 1994). National Wetland Inventory Map - Amy S.Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. "Request fo a U.S. Army Corps of
nding is required for jurisdiction. It Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. SUPPORTING DATA. Data revies the ditems shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed. —Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. —Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. —Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. —USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. —U.S. Geological Survey map(s). —USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. —National wetlands inventory map(s).	Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. Yes 02030103180 Jersey City, NJ STATSGO Soils Map (State Soil Geographic Database for New Jersey, U. S. Dept. Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Fort Worth Texas, 1994). National Wetland Inventory Map - Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. "Request fo a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Jurisdictional Determintion for: CSX Parking Mitigation for Replacement of
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. . SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. National wetlands inventory map(s). Photographs	Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. Yes 02030103180 Jersey City, NJ STATSGO Soils Map (State Soil Geographic Database for New Jersey, U. S. Dept. Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Fort Worth Texas, 1994). National Wetland Inventory Map - Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. "Request fo a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Jurisdictional Determintion for: CSX Parking Mitigation for Replacement of Route 7 Wittpenn Bridge over the Hackensack River, Structure #0909-150, Town of
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. SUPPORTING DATA. Data revies the sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed. Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). USDA Natural Resources. Conservation Service Soil Survey. National wetlands inventory map(s). Photographs.	s. wwed for JD d, where checked and Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. Yes 02030103180 Jersey City, NJ STATSGO Soils Map (State Soil Geographic Database for New Jersey, U. S. Dept. Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Fort Worth Texas, 1994). National Wetland Inventory Map - Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. "Request fo a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Jurisdictional Determintion for: CSX Parking Mitigation for Replacement of Route 7 Wittpenn Bridge over the Hackensack River, Structure #0909-150, Town of Kearny, Hudson County, New Jersey. October 17, 2012
nding is required for jurisdiction. t Applicable. ECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. . SUPPORTING DATA. Data revie sted items shall be included in case file an Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. National wetlands inventory map(s). Photographs	Source Label	d requested, appropriately reference below): Source Description ¿New Jersey Department of Transportation, Wetland Delineation Plan, Route 7, Section 2, Contract No. 000068089, February 2013¿, Sheets 1 through 3, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc, and dated February 5, 2013 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. Yes 02030103180 Jersey City, NJ STATSGO Soils Map (State Soil Geographic Database for New Jersey, U. S. Dept. Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Fort Worth Texas, 1994). National Wetland Inventory Map - Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. "Request fo a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Jurisdictional Determintion for: CSX Parking Mitigation for Replacement of Route 7 Wittpenn Bridge over the Hackensack River, Structure #0909-150, Town of

¹-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²⁻For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴⁻Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵⁻Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

⁶⁻A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷⁻Ibid

⁸⁻See Footnote #3.

^{9 -}To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.