## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook

Α.	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION  REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): JUN 0 4 2014
B. 007	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: New York District, Jointa Galusha, LLC / Goodsell Quarry, NAN-2012- 53-JD1
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: New York County/parish/borough: Washington City: Fort Ann Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 43.4617° N, Long73.4554° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator:  Name of nearest waterbody: unnamed trIbutary to the Champlain Canal  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Champlain Canal  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Lake George NY-VT (02010001)  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  Office (Desk) Determination. Date:  Field Determination. Date(s): 10 JUL 2012, 19 JUL 2012, and 9 AUG 2012
SEC A.	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
.01	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В. (	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	The Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required 1. Waters of the U.S.
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs  Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Impoundments of jurisdictional waters  Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:  Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.  Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List

Explain: Wetland B appears to be isolated from the tributary system and has no significant nexus to the tributary system that would make it jurisdictional. The closest tributary is an ephemeral stream located over 400 feet west of Wetland B. The closest RPW to Wetland B is an intermittent stream approximately 600 feet west of the wetland. This

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

intermittent tributary is situated in a valley over 50 feet below the lowest ground surface elevation within Wetland B. Given the separation distance and the elevation change; Wetland B would not be considered neighboring, or otherwise providing any measurable benefit to the biological, physical, or chemical quality of the RPW or any water of the United States. No evidence of any past connections between this wetland and any other surface waterbody was observed. There is no man-made or natural discrete and/or confined surface water connection between the wetland and any other jurisdictional water. The wetland is not located within a mapped 100-year flood plain. Therefore, during times of heavy precipitation, there is very low probability that floodwater would reach an elevation necessary for water to flow from other jurisdictional waters into the subject wetland. The wetland would not be considered a traditional navigable water in that it does not have the necessary water depth to support navigation of any kind, and it does not have any surface hydrologic connection to a waterbody that would. The wetland does not cross any state boundary and does not have a use that would associate it with interstate commerce.

#### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

General Area Conditions:

	Watershed size: Pick List
	Drainage area: Pick List
	Average annual rainfall: inches
	Average annual snowfall: inches
ii)	Physical Characteristics:
,	(a) Relationship with TNW:
	Tributary flows directly into TNW.
	Tributary flows through <b>Pick List</b> tributaries before entering TNW.
	Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW.
	Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW.
	Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
	Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
	Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
	Identify flow route to TNW <sup>5</sup> :
	Tributary stream order if known

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width: feet  Average depth: feet  Average side slopes: Pick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics:
	Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line shelving the presence of wrack line sediment sorting leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community  Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):  High Tide Line indicated by: Oil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):  Mean High Water Mark indicated by: survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
Char	mical Characteristics: acterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain: ify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

	(iv) B	iological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):  Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):  Wetland fringe. Characteristics:  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2.	Chara	cteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
		hysical Characteristics:  (a) General Wetland Characteristics:  Properties:  Wetland size: acres  Wetland type. Explain:  Wetland quality. Explain:  Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
	(b	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain:
		Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:
		Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
	(c	<ul> <li>Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:</li> <li>□ Directly abutting</li> <li>□ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:</li> <li>□ Ecological connection. Explain:</li> <li>□ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:</li> </ul>
	(d	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
	Cl	hemical Characteristics: naracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: entify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii) <b>Bi</b>	ological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):  Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3.	Al	teristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)  l wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List  proximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:  Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary i seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
7.	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
SUC	OLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

<sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

E.

To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Duo	sulda austria a Control de la control de
	FIG	ovide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft)
	H	Other and the state of the stat
		Identify type(s) of waters:  • acres.
	П	Wetlands: acres.
		reduited. deles.
F.	NO	ON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
		in potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criterio in the 1007 Company of the contract of the criterio in the 1007 Company of the criterio in the 1007 Company of the criterio in the criterio
		World Defined of Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements
	$\boxtimes$	Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
		Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC" the review area would have been regulated based and all the state of the state
		Migratory Dird Kule (MDK)
	<u> </u>	Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
	Ш	Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Dwa	
	foot	wide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR
	raci	tors (i.e., presence of inigratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best graftering to
	Juug	Non-model of
	H	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.
	$ \forall $	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: 0.06 acres.
	-	The state of the s
	Pro	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such
	a fir	nding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft)
		Lakes/ponds: acres.
		Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
	Ш	Wetlands: acres.
eir.	CTIO	N. IV. DATA COUDCES
314	CIIC	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.
4.	SUPI	PORTING DATA Data reviewed for ID (check all that apply shorted it as 1111 to 1111)
	and	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	$\boxtimes$	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:""Jointa Galusha, LLC, Goodsell Quarry, Waters of
	the	United States, Inset Map #7 for New York State Department of Environmental Conservation", prepared by Griggs-Lang Consulting
	Geo	ologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.
	$\boxtimes$	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant
		Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
	*************	☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
		Corps navigable waters' study:
	Ш	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
		USGS NHD data.
	K-7	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	$\boxtimes$	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000 scale Fort Ann, NY quadrangle.
	台	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey, Citation Web Soil Survey mapping for Washington County, NV
	H	ivational wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
	0.000	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
		FEMA/EIDM mana.
	H	FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodulain Floration in Control Cont
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs:  Aerial (Name & Date):
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date):  or ☒ Other (Name & Date): Photos included with delineation report and on-site photos from site inspections on July
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date):  or ☒ Other (Name & Date): Photos included with delineation report and on-site photos from site inspections on July 2012, July 19, 2012, and August 9, 2012.
	10, 2	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date):     or ☒ Other (Name & Date): Photos included with delineation report and on-site photos from site inspections on July 2012, July 19, 2012, and August 9, 2012.  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
	10, 2	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date):     or ☒ Other (Name & Date): Photos included with delineation report and on-site photos from site inspections on July 2012, July 19, 2012, and August 9, 2012. Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
	10, 2	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date): or ☒ Other (Name & Date): Photos included with delineation report and on-site photos from site inspections on July 2012, July 19, 2012, and August 9, 2012. Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:

## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SE	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
Α.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): JUN 0 4 2014
В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: New York District, Jointa Galusha, LLC / Goodsell Quarry, NAN-2012-
007	753-JD2
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: New York County/parish/borough: Washington City: Fort Ann Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 43.4568° N, Long73.4474° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator:
	Name of nearest waterbody: unnamed trIbutary to the Champlain Canal
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Champlain Canal Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Lake George NY-VT (02010001)  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  Office (Desk) Determination. Date:
	Field Determination. Date(s): 10 JUL 2012, 19 JUL 2012, and 9 AUG 2012
SEC	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
Α.	RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
Γhe evi	waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
В. (	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
Γhe	re Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
	Relatively permanent waters <sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:  Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.  Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Wetlands D and E appears to be isolated from the tributary system and have no significant nexus to the tributary system that would make them jurisdictional. The closest downstream wetland is over 300 feet west of Wetlands D and E. The closest RPW to Wetlands D and E is the Champlain Canal, which is a TNW located over 500

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

feet west of Wetlands D and E. The Canal is situated in a valley lowest ground surface elevation within Wetlands D and E. Given Wetlands D and E would not be considered neighboring, or otherwise providing any measurable benefit to the biological, physical, or chemical quality of the TNW or any water of the United States. No evidence of any past connections between these wetlands and any other surface waterbody was observed. There is no man-made or natural discrete and/or confined surface water connection between these wetlands and any other jurisdictional water. The wetlands are not located within a mapped 100-year flood plain. Therefore, during times of heavy precipitation, there is very low probability that floodwater would reach an elevation necessary for water to flow from other jurisdictional waters into the subject wetlands. The wetlands would not be considered traditional navigable waters in that they do not have the necessary water depth to support navigation of any kind, and do not have any surface hydrologic connection to a waterbody that would. The wetlands do not cross any state boundary and do not have a use that would associate them with interstate commerce.

### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

#### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i)	General Area Conditions:
	Watershed size: Pick List
	Drainage area: Pick List
	Average annual rainfall: inches
	Average annual snowfall: inches
(ii)	Physical Characteristics:
	(a) Relationship with TNW:
	Tributary flows directly into TNW.
	Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.
	Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW.
	Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> river miles from RPW.
	Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
	Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
	Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
	Identify flow route to TNW <sup>5</sup> :
	Tributary stream order, if known:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is:	
	Artificial (man-made). Explain:  Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:	
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width: feet  Average depth: feet  Average side slopes: Pick List.	
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Cobbles Gravel Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:	☐ Concrete ☐ Muck
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %	6]. Explain:
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:	List
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics:	
	Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:	
	changes in the character of soil   destruction   destru	esence of litter and debris ection of terrestrial vegetation esence of wrack line ent sorting le observed or predicted flow events change in plant community
	oil or scum line along shore objects survey to fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical	ent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): Water Mark indicated by: Do available datum; markings; On lines/changes in vegetation types.
Char	mical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; w Explain: tify specific pollutants, if known:	ater quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.)

(iii)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

Third.

	(iv)	Bio	Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:	
2.	Cha	aract	Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or in	directly into TNW
	(i)	Phy	Visical Characteristics:  General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain:	
		(b)	Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:  General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:	
			Flow is: Pick List. Explain:  Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:	
			Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:	
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:	
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floating to th	oodplain.
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics:  aracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film of characteristics; etc.). Explain:  attify specific pollutants, if known:	n surface; water quality; general watershed
	(iii)	Biol	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:	
3.	Cha	All ·	eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List proximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumul	ative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIC	DNAL FINDINGS	THE SUBJECT V	WATERS/WETLANDS AR	E (CHECK ALL
	THAT APPLY):				

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimed TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.	nates in review area:
2.	<ul> <li>RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</li> <li>Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurist tributary is perennial:</li> <li>Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III seasonally:</li> </ul>	(e.g., typically three months each year) are

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.  Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DE	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
Ide	entify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

E.

 <sup>8</sup> See Footnote # 3.
 9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Pro	ovide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):	
	片	Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.	
		Identify type(s) of waters:  Acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:	
		Wetlands: acres.	
	-		
F.	NC	ON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK AI	LL THAT APPLY):
	Ш	in potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not r	meet the criteria in the 1987 Corns of Engineers
		The stand Defined on Manual and/of appropriate Regional Sinniemente	
		Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or	foreign) commerce.
		Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review a "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).	area would have been regulated based solely on the
		rangiatory Diru Kule (IVIDK).	
	靣	Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is re Other: (explain, if not covered above):	required for jurisdiction. Explain:
	300000000000000000000000000000000000000	serv (supram, it not covered above).	
	Pro	ovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the	e sole notantial basis of invitative and a sopp
	100	tions (i.e., presence of inigiatory birds, brescrice of engangered species like of wat	ter for irrigated agriculture) using best and facility
	jud	agment (check an that apply).	ter for irrigated agriculture), using best professional
	Ц	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).	
	님	Lakes/ponds: acres.	
	H	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:	
		Wetlands: 0.06 acres.	
	Pro	Ovide acreage estimates for non invisdictional automatical and	
	a fi	ovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not inding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):	t meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).	
		Lakes/ponds: acres.	
		Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource	
	ш	acres. List type of adulatic resource	
	ᆸ	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.	
	Ħ	Tri de adams resource.	
SE		Wetlands: acres.	
SE.	CTIC	Tri de adams resource.	
		Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.	
	SUP	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply a checked item	ns shall be included in case file and, where checked
	SUP and	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item direquested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant/	"Jointo Colvebe LLC Co. 1, 11 O
	SUP and and the	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item drequested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Consultants.	"Jointo Colvebe LLC Co. 1, 11 O
	sup and and the	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item drequested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014	"Jointo Colvebe LLC Co. 1, 11 O
	sup and and the	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item direquested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	"Jointo Colvebe LLC Co. 1, 11 O
	sup and and the	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item direquested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.	"Jointo Colvebe LLC Co. 1, 11 O
	and ⊠ the Geo	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item direquested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report	"Jointo Colvebe LLC Co. 1, 11 O
	and ⊠ the Geo	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item direquested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:	"Jointo Colvebe LLC Co. 1, 11 O
	and ⊠ the Geo	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item direquested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:	"Jointo Colvebe LLC Co. 1, 11 O
	and ⊠ the Geo	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item direquested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:	"Jointo Colvebe LLC Co. 1, 11 O
	and ⊠ the Geo	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item direquested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.	"Jointo Colvebe LLC Co. 1, 11 O
	and ⊠ the Geo	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item di requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: except from 1:24 000 december 1:24 00	"Jointa Galusha, LLC, Goodsell Quarry, Waters of Conservation", prepared by Griggs-Lang Consulting
	and ⊠ the Geo	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item di requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: except from 1:24,000 suspenses and survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: except from 1:24,000 suspenses and survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: except from 1:24,000 suspenses and survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: except from 1:24,000 suspenses and survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: except from 1:24,000 suspenses and survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: except from 1:24,000 suspenses and survey map(s).	"Jointa Galusha, LLC, Goodsell Quarry, Waters of Conservation", prepared by Griggs-Lang Consulting
	and ⊠ the Geo	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item di requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: except from 1:24,000 suspenses and survey map(s). Cite name:	"Jointa Galusha, LLC, Goodsell Quarry, Waters of Conservation", prepared by Griggs-Lang Consulting
	and ⊠ the Geo	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item di requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: except from 1:24,000 support of the properties of the p	"Jointa Galusha, LLC, Goodsell Quarry, Waters of Conservation", prepared by Griggs-Lang Consulting
	and ⊠ the Geo	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item di requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: except from 1:24,000 suspand the properties of the prope	"Jointa Galusha, LLC, Goodsell Quarry, Waters of Conservation", prepared by Griggs-Lang Consulting scale Fort Ann, NY quadrangle. I Survey mapping for Washington County, NY.
	and ⊠ the Geo	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item di requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: except from 1:24,000 substant and wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1)	"Jointa Galusha, LLC, Goodsell Quarry, Waters of Conservation", prepared by Griggs-Lang Consulting scale Fort Ann, NY quadrangle. I Survey mapping for Washington County, NY.
	and ⊠ the Geo	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item di requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: except from 1:24,000 suspensive submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  State/Local wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1 Photographs: Acrial (Name & Date):	"Jointa Galusha, LLC, Goodsell Quarry, Waters of Conservation", prepared by Griggs-Lang Consulting scale Fort Ann, NY quadrangle. I Survey mapping for Washington County, NY.
	SUPP and the Geo	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item of requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.'  United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: except from 1:24,000 suspensed in the survey of the survey.  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1 Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Photos included with delineation reports.	"Jointa Galusha, LLC, Goodsell Quarry, Waters of Conservation", prepared by Griggs-Lang Consulting scale Fort Ann, NY quadrangle. I Survey mapping for Washington County, NY.
	SUPP and the Geo	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item of requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.¹  United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ○ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  □ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  □ USGS NHD data.  □ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: except from 1:24,000 suspensed and survey map(s). Cite name: State/Local wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1 Photographs: □ Aerial (Name & Date): or ○ Other (Name & Date): Photos included with delineation report 2012, July 19, 2012, and August 9, 2012.	"Jointa Galusha, LLC, Goodsell Quarry, Waters of Conservation", prepared by Griggs-Lang Consulting scale Fort Ann, NY quadrangle. I Survey mapping for Washington County, NY.
	SUPP and the Geo	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item of requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: except from 1:24,000 suspan Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is:  (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1 Photographs:  Aerial (Name & Date):  or Other (Name & Date):  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:	"Jointa Galusha, LLC, Goodsell Quarry, Waters of Conservation", prepared by Griggs-Lang Consulting scale Fort Ann, NY quadrangle. I Survey mapping for Washington County, NY.
	SUPP and the Geo	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item of requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.'  United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ○ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  □ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  □ USGS NHD data.  □ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: except from 1:24,000 state/Local wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1 Photographs: □ Aerial (Name & Date):  or ○ Other (Name & Date):  or ○ Other (Name & Date):  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:  Applicable/supporting case law:  Applicable/supporting scientific literature:	"Jointa Galusha, LLC, Goodsell Quarry, Waters of Conservation", prepared by Griggs-Lang Consulting scale Fort Ann, NY quadrangle.  I Survey mapping for Washington County, NY.  1929)  and on-site photos from site inspections on July
	SUPP and the Geo	Wetlands: acres.  ON IV: DATA SOURCES.  PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked item of requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: United States, Inset Map #4 for New York State Department of Environmental Cologists, Inc., dated December 16, 2009, and last revised March 5, 2014.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: except from 1:24,000 suspan Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is:  (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1 Photographs:  Aerial (Name & Date):  or Other (Name & Date):  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:	"Jointa Galusha, LLC, Goodsell Quarry, Waters of Conservation", prepared by Griggs-Lang Consulting scale Fort Ann, NY quadrangle.  I Survey mapping for Washington County, NY.  1929)  and on-site photos from site inspections on July

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: